Fusing Surface Monitors, Satellites and Forecasts for Near-real-time Air Quality

Barron H. Henderson

2023-10-04

Co-authors: Pawan Gupta, Shobha Kondragunta, Phil Dickerson, Alqamah Sayeed, Hai Zhang, Janica Gordon, Halil Cakir, Brett Gantt, Benjamin Wells, and HAQAST AirNow Team

Motivation

- AirNow communicates air quality in real time
  - Millions of visitors per day during fire seasons
  - Simple distance (d^{-5}) contours monitors only
- 4x more PurpleAir sensors than monitors
  - Increased the spatial coverage of monitored particulate matter.
  - Spoiler alert: sensor data improves predictions.
- Near-real-time satellite observations
  - Recent development by NOAA/NESDIS/STAR
  - NASA HAQAST project connecting AirNow to NOAA geostationary satellite data
- What about fusing AirNow, PurpleAir and satellites?

Example Day in AirNow and Aerosol Watch



Monitors and PurpleAir sensors

- Many agencies report monitor data to AirNow
  - ~1000 reporting monitors per hour
  - Publicly available thru AirNowAPI
- Schulte et al (2020) using PurpleAir
  - Residual Kriging with both AirNow and PurpleAir
    - NOAA Forecast model
    - Model Correction : $Y = M_n - Krig(M_n - O_n)$
  - Improved performance of PM2.5 in leave-one-out validation and compared to Federal Reference Monitors
- We use corrected PurpleAir low-cost sensors
  - Barkjohn et al. 2021 developed a national correction
  - Extended correction via RSIG



Better RMSE in Los Angeles



Figure 2. Root mean square error for $PM_{2.5}$ at each regulatory ambient monitoring station calculated using the independent gravimetric data. The RMSE is calculated from the 24-hour average concentrations. Vertical black solid lines are 95% confidence intervals.

Schulte et al 2020 (10.1088/1748-9326/abb62b)

4. **Enabling USEPA to ingest high-frequency satellite air quality data into the AirNow system**

Team Lead: HAQAST investigator Pawan Gupta

Partners: Phil Dickerson and Barron Henderson with the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and Shobha Kondragunta with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

HAQAST Members and Collaborators: Jianqiu Mao, Yang Liu, Kel Markert, Robert Levy, Randall Martin, Amber J. Soja, Martin Stuefer, Jenny Bratburd, Emily Gargulinksi, Yanshun Li, and Daniel Tong also contribute to this team.

https://haqast.org/tiger-teams/#2021-tiger-teams







1.Sayeed et al: Deep Neural Network bias corrections.
2.O'Dell et al.: Public Health Benefits from Improved Identification of Severe Air Pollution Events with Geostationary Satellite Data, *submitted to GeoHealth*, 2023.
3.Zhang et al.: Nowcasting Applications of Geostationary Satellite Hourly Surface PM2.5 Data. Weather and Forecasting, 37(12), 2313-2329, 2022. doi: 10.1175/WAF-D-22-0114.1
4.Bratburd et al.: Air Quality Data When You Need It: Incorporating Satellite Data Updates into AirNow, EM Plus, 2022.
5.Zhang and Kondragunta.: Daily and Hourly Surface PM2.5 Estimation From Satellite AOD, Earth Space Sci, 8, doi: 10.1029/2020EA001599, 2021.

Hourly National-scale Fusion Ensemble



Figure courtesy of: Brian Timin

- Interpolating bias to "correct" the forecast model*
  - NOAA's Forecast Model (NAQFC) as mediating layer
  - VNA Bias = $sum(d_n^{-2}(m_n - o_n)) / sum(d_n^{-2})$
  - Y_i = NAQFC – VNA $Bias_i$
- n = Voronoi Neighbor
- One layer from AirNow (Y_{AN}) ***observations***:
  - mostly regulatory grade hourly observations
  - paired with collocated grid cell.
- One layer from PurpleAir (Y_{PA}) ***observations***:
  - low-cost sensor hourly observations with calibration**
  - Aggregated within grid cells to create a pseudo-observation
- One layer from GOES-PM25 (Y_{GOES}) "***observations***"
  - Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES)
  - Aerosol Optical Depth from the GOES Advanced Baseline Imager
  - Geographic Weighted Regression (GWR) against AirNow
  - Deep Neural Network Corrected (Sayeed et al *in prep*)



*A multiplicative corrector of this type is called extended VNA (eVNA)
**Piece-wise regression as in Fire and Smoke Map

Ensemble Averaging Method

- Simple fusion of bias corrected surfaces
  - NAQFC, AirNow, PurpleAir, GOES-PM25
  - Fuse the surfaces based on distance
  - Apply different weights to ensembles
- $Y_{AN,PA,GOES} = \alpha_{AN} Y_{AN} + \alpha_{PA} Y_{PA} + \alpha_{GOES} Y_{GOES}$
  - $\alpha'_{AN} = (1 \times d_{AN})^{-2}$
  - $\alpha'_{PA} = (2 \times d_{PA})^{-2}$
  - $\alpha'_{GOES} = (10 \times d_{GOES})^{-2}$
  - $\alpha'_{tot} = \alpha'_{AN} + \alpha'_{PA} + \alpha'_{GOES}$
  - Normalize them all: $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i / \alpha'_{tot}$
- $Y_{AN,PA,GOES} = \beta \times Y_{AN,PA,GOES} + (1 - \beta) \times Y_{NAQFC}$



Case Study 2023-06-14T17Z

- Fairly typical day June day in the south western domain.
- Large fire contributions in Canada and sweeping down through Minnesota, Wisconsin and further
- 4 data sources
    - AirNow Monitors (top)
    - PurpleAir sensors
    - GOES PM25
    - NAQFC (bottom)
- Estimates
- Bias Corrections
- Full fusion



Los Angeles: 2023-06-14T17Z



Canadian Wildfires: 2023-06-14T17Z



Canadian Wildfires: 2023-06-14T17Z



Canadian Wildfires: 2023-06-14T17Z



Evaluating the approach

- That was just one hour…
- Applied daylight from Jun 2023 to Sept 2023
  - IDW as in AirNow (*)
  - NAQFC from NOAA (*)
  - Corrected w/ AirNow: AN
  - Correction w/ AN and PurpleAir: AN+PA
  - Correction w/ AN, PA and GOES: AN+PA+GOES
- Predicted each AirNow monitor without that monitor in the fusion
  - n=1.3M = 12 h/d * 30 d/m * 3.75m * 1000 /h
- Statistics: Normalized Mean Bias, Normalized Mean Error, RMSE, Correlation.



Performance Summary: June-Sept 2023 (daylight hours; n=1.3M)

- Multiple statistics matter
  - Pearson correlation (y-axis)
  - centered Root Mean Squared Error (x-axis)
  - Reproduction of standard deviation
- The NAQFC has the lowest correlation, the highest RMSE, and the worst standard deviation.
- The AirNow and IDW have similar correlation, AirNow has better standard deviation.
- The fusion with PurpleAir improves standard deviation, correlation, and root mean squared error.
- The fusion with GOES is even better.



Evaluating the approach

- That was just one hour…
- Applied hourly data from Jun 2021 to Jun 2022
  - IDW as in AirNow (*)
  - NAQFC from NOAA (*)
  - Corrected w/ AirNow: AN
  - Correction w/ AN and PurpleAir: AN+PA
  - ~~Correction w/ AN, PA and GOES: AN+PA+GOES~~
- Predicted each AirNow monitor without that monitor in the fusion
  - n=8M = 8760 h/y * 1000 /h
- Statistics: Normalized Mean Bias, Normalized Mean Error, RMSE, Correlation.



Performance Summary: June 2021-June 2022 (All hours; n=8M)

- Multiple statistics matter
  - Pearson correlation (y-axis)
  - centered Root Mean Squared Error (x-axis)
  - Reproduction of standard deviation
- The NAQFC has the lowest correlation, the highest RMSE, and the worst standard deviation.
- The AirNow and IDW have similar correlation, AirNow has better standard deviation.
- The fusion with PurpleAir improves standard deviation, correlation, and root mean squared error.
- Is the story more complex? When does one fail and the other succeeds?



Leave-1-out Validation National Mean Bias

- oIDW and aVNA(AN) have the most consistent bias.
- aVNA(AN,PA) has highest bias at night but is still quite good.
  - Currently, we use a single bias correction for PurpleAir.
  - Humidity varies with time of day and may need more complex correction.
  - Also, FEM technologies are evaluated most strictly for daily average concentration.
- Remember, this is validation. In application, the prediction at the monitor is equal to the monitor.



Summary

- AirNow needs an updated interpolation method.
    - EPA has long used models and statistical fusion to fill gaps with regulatory but has not incorporated these methods into AirNow.
    - Schulte et al. demonstrated including models and PurpleAir improved on simple interpolations and applied it in an AirNow-like system.
    - HAQAST Tiger Team evaluated GOES PM25 for real-time-applications.
- Fusion with PurpleAir is ready.
    - Discontinuities are less stark because datasets are more spatially consistent.
    - Value of PurpleAir is obvious because they are dense near monitors.
- Fusion with GOES PM25 ongoing work
    - HAQAST Tiger Team 2021 (Gupta) – now 2023 (Yang Liu)
    - Conceptually, the satellite value is highest away from monitors and sensors… making it hard to evaluate
    - ~5% of monitors are further than 30km from their nearest withheld monitor…

Questions?

henderson.barron@epa.gov

Extra Slides

henderson.barron@epa.gov

Leave-1-out Validation: National Mean Bias

- GOES shows structure in the bias that is associated with long-distance extrapolation…
- The fusion actually doesn't use those cells (too far away)
- Remember, this is validation. In application, the prediction at the monitor is equal to the monitor.





Leave-1-out Validation: National Average of Predictions

- This figure summarizes the concentration of PM2.5 over the months of the year by method.
- All methods peak during the fire season with the NAQFC peaking during July.
- Whereas the observations and other methods all peak during August.
- Remember, this is validation. In application, the prediction at the monitor is equal to the monitor.



Leave-1-out Validation: National Correlation

- Incorporating PA improves the correlation especially during the fire season.
- aVNA(AN) has lowest correlation overall.
- aVNA(AN,PA) improves the correlation over the time of day.
- Remember, this is validation. In application, the prediction at the monitor is equal to the monitor.



Leave-1-out Validation: National Correlation

- Incorporating PA improves the correlation especially during the fire season.
- aVNA(AN) has lowest correlation overall.
- aVNA(AN,PA) improves the correlation over the time of day.
- Remember, this is validation. In application, the prediction at the monitor is equal to the monitor.



Fires are challenge any method

- IDW miss fires between stations.
- NAQFC had "persistent" fire emissions.
  - A fire continues to emit until it is observed to have stopped.
  - Not great at fires in the first place.
- Satellite imagery shows some smoke over high biased reasons.







Preliminary weighting figure.