

Dredged Material Decision Tool
(DMDT) Criteria Worksheet

Objectives

The following worksheet is designed to collect the information necessary to populate the Dredged Material Decision Tool (DMDT). Depending on the details of the proposed beneficial use management alternative, some of the information may be unknown or unavailable. Fill in what you can based on what you know and can infer; leave fields blank if details are unknown.

Instructions

The worksheet is structured so that some categories will require you to fill in the blanks, while others provide a range of options in a drop-down list for you to choose from. For each criterion, there will be an option to select “yes,” indicating that criterion will be met, “no” indicating it will not be met, or “Unsure,” indicating uncertainty regarding that criterion. After choosing “yes,” “no,” or “unsure,” read through the content under each criterion and fill in what you can before moving on.

While you are working through the worksheet, hover over each blank/drop-down box and a tool tip will pop up with direction for exactly what information is required. If the criterion has defined answers such as the one in the example below, you will click on the arrow on the right side of the drop-down box to select your answer:

Maintain navigations channels:

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	Likelihood (of action):	Select an answer
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Magnitude (impact of action on alternative):	Select an answer
Unsure	<input type="checkbox"/>	Direction (how does action impact alternative feasibility):	High Possible Low

Definitions

There are three different measures of impact characterization under each criterion: likelihood, magnitude, and direction. There is a place at the end of the section for each category of criteria to comment on the strength of evidence for the responses for that category. Each of the impact characterization terms are defined below.

- **Likelihood** refers to the probability that an effect will happen with respect to the criterion. The values are “highly likely,” “possible,” or “not likely.”
- **Magnitude** indicates the expected size of the effect associated with the specific criterion. This can be described using the values “high,” “moderate,” or “low.” For criterion with a quantitative effect, a high magnitude would refer to a larger quantitative impact than moderate or low magnitude. For example, the creation of 100 acres of a certain type of habitat could be characterized as “high” while the creation of 10 acres could be “low.” A qualitative example would be determining the magnitude of impact that being enrolled in a voluntary program has on a beneficial use management alternative. If the alternative can only be completed through enrollment, the expected effect of enrollment is “high.” If enrollment is optional and will not provide many resources for the alternative, the effect is “low.” The drop-down boxes provide guidance as to how the magnitude should be ranked.

1. **Direction** indicates how criteria will affect different aspects of the beneficial use alternatives. For each category of criteria, the direction refers to the different goals listed below:
 - a. *Biophysical environment*: The goal of biophysical environment criteria is to assess the harmful and beneficial effects of the beneficial- use alternative on habitat and the organisms that utilize the habitat. Responses explain how each beneficial use management alternative will change the biophysical environment (habitat and organisms).
 - b. *Economic*: The goal of economic criteria is to assess the feasibility of the alternative given potential economic incentives and constraints. Responses explain how project funding elements and costs impact the economic feasibility of the alternative.
 - c. *Social*: The goal of social criteria is to assess how the proposed alternative will impact human health and well-being. Responses explain how each alternative has the potential to change human health and well-being outcomes in the community.
 - d. *Governance*: The goal of governance criteria is to assess the feasibility of beneficial use management alternatives and ensure they are compliant with place and project-relevant governance structures, including funding and regulations. Responses explain how different funding and regulatory requirements might impact the feasibility of the alternative.
 - e. *Built environment*: The goal of built environment criteria is to assess the feasibility of beneficial use management alternatives based on an alternative’s end uses and the ways that dredged materials will be utilized in construction. Responses explain how the beneficial use management alternative will be utilized in as a construction material.
2. **Strength of Evidence** refers to the quality and reliability of the evidence used to determine your evaluation of each criterion. Evidence can include personal experience, knowledge from colleagues, information from research conducted elsewhere, scientific literature. A blank space for comments on the strength of evidence has been included at the end of each section. When using this box, indicate which criterion you are referring to in your comments and what evidence was used. Please include any other thoughts or insights at the end of the worksheet in the provided “Comments” box.

Comments and Questions

If you have comments or questions while working through the worksheet, feel free to contact any of the individuals listed here:

[Katie Williams- williams.kathleen@epa.gov](mailto:williams.kathleen@epa.gov)

[Sebastian Paczuski- paczuski.sebastian@epa.gov](mailto:paczuski.sebastian@epa.gov)

[Keahna Margeson- margeson.keahna@epa.gov](mailto:margeson.keahna@epa.gov)

Project and Site Information

Name of Site:

Type of Site:

Owner:

Name of Owner:

State:

Purpose of project:

Dredging Information

Dredging location (lat/long):

Volume (c/y):

Dredged material source:

Primary soil type:

List other soil types:

Cost:

Funding source:

Mode of transportation

Barge:

Pipeline:

Truck:

Elevated contaminants:

Contracting

Reasonable Expectations:

Available:

Affordable:

Governance

Maintain navigations channels:

Yes	Likelihood (of action):
No	Magnitude (impact of action on alternative):
Unsure	Direction (how does action impact alternative feasibility):

Consideration of liability (past, present and future for project/ project site):

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Enrolled in a voluntary program (often assessment/clean-up support):

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Able to be completed inside of relevant environmental windows:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Referred to or included in existing guidance documents:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Permitting timeline conducive to project timeline:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Meets zoning requirements:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Flexible timeframe:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Replicable in other harbors, ports, environments, jurisdictions, or projects:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Built Environment

Demand on terrestrial borrow sources reduced:

Yes	Likelihood (of action):
No	Magnitude (impact of action on project alternative):
Unsure	Direction (how does action impact project feasibility):

Diversion to construction:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Provide fill or cap (development sites, roads, greenspace)

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Biophysical Environment

Habitat Gain and Loss	Quantity	Quality	Quantity	Quality
Rivers and Streams				
Gain				Likelihood (of habitat gain or loss):
Loss				Magnitude (of changes due to gain or loss):
No impact				Direction (impact on health of habitat and organisms):
N/A				
Lakes and Ponds				
Gain				Likelihood:
Loss				Magnitude:
No impact				Direction:
N/A				
Near Coastal Marine/Estuarine				
Gain				Likelihood:
Loss				Magnitude:
No impact				Direction:
N/A				
Open water				
Gain				Likelihood:
Loss				Magnitude:
No impact				Direction:
N/A				
Wetlands				
Gain				Likelihood:
Loss				Magnitude:
No impact				Direction:
N/A				
Urban/suburban				
Gain				Likelihood:
Loss				Magnitude:
No impact				Direction:
N/A				
Barren rock/sand				
Gain				Likelihood:
Loss				Magnitude:
No impact				Direction:
N/A				

Impact on priority habitat:

Gain	Likelihood:
Loss	Magnitude:
No impact	Direction:
N/A	

Type of priority habitat lost or gained:

Benefit or protect species of management concern:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
No impact	Direction:
N/A	

Restore or manage native vegetation:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
No impact	Direction:
N/A	

Reduce invasive vegetation:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
No impact	Direction:
N/A	

Influence biophysical environment by reducing contamination:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
No impact	Direction:
N/A	

Increase stormwater protection and control:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
No impact	Direction:
N/A	

Economic

Funding pathway identified:

Yes	Likelihood (of action):
No	Magnitude (impact of action on alt.):
Unsure	Direction (how does action impact feas.):

Funding application prepared:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Partnerships are established:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Potential partnerships have been identified:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Transportation to the site is feasible:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Alternative can accept materials for 5 years:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Project site can accept materials for 20 years:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Lead to the creation or growth of viable business:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Secondary benefits created:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Require long term maintenance

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Social

Improved access to parks or natural spaces:

Yes	Likelihood (of action):
No	Magnitude (impact of action on project alternative):
Unsure	Direction (benefit/harm to health):

Potential for indirect job creation:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Improvement of aesthetics:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Involves local community through inclusion in feedback, planning, other parts of the project:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Improved access to ecosystem services:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

New or improved infrastructure services for surrounding community/communities:

Yes	Likelihood:
No	Magnitude:
Unsure	Direction:

Strength of Evidence

Comments