

Note: This is a reference cited in *AP 42, Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume I Stationary Point and Area Sources*. AP42 is located on the EPA web site at www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/

The file name refers to the reference number, the AP42 chapter and section. The file name "ref02_c01s02.pdf" would mean the reference is from AP42 chapter 1 section 2. The reference maybe from a previous version of the section and no longer cited. The primary source should always be checked.

AP42 Section:	1.1
Background Chapter	3
Reference:	8
Title:	Trace Emissions from Coal and Oil Combustion Krishnan R.E. and G.V. Helwig, Environmental Progress, 1(4): 290-295. 1982.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Burnett, T. A. and K. D. Anderson, "Technical Review and Economic Evaluation of Spray Dryer FGD Systems," report prepared for U.S.E.P.A., August, 1980. (Also presented in part at Sixth FGD Symposium, Houston, Texas, October, 1980).
2. Hurst, T. B., "Dry Scrubbing Eliminates Wet Sludge," Joint Power Generation Conference, Charlotte, N.C., October, 1979.
3. Downs, W., W. J. Sanders, and C. E. Miller, "Control of SO₂ Emission By Dry Scrubbing," American Power Conference, Chicago, Ill., April, 1980.
4. Hurst, T. B. and G. T. Bielawski, "Dry Scrubber Demonstration Plant—Operating Results," Sixth F.G.D. Symposium, Houston, Texas, October 1980.
5. Parsons, E. L., Jr., L. F. Hemenway, O. T. Kragh, and T. G. Brna, "SO₂ Removal by Dry F.G.D.," Sixth F.G.D. Symposium, Houston, Texas, October, 1980.
6. Parsons, E. L., Jr. *et al.*, "Waste Disposal from Dry FGD," Symposium, Orlando, Fla., February, 1981.
7. Kelly, M. E. and J. C. Dickerman, "Current Status of Dry Flue Gas Desulfurization Systems," Sixth F.G.D. Symposium, Houston, Texas, October, 1980.
8. Ahman, Stefan, Tom Lillestolen, and James Farrington, Jr., "Flakt's Dry F.G.D. Technology: Capabilities and Experience," Sixth F.G.D. Symposium, Houston, Texas, October, 1980.
9. Argonne National Laboratory, RFP No. 80-19-007 (June, 1980).
10. Stevens, N. J., "Dry SO₂ Scrubbing Pilot Test Results," Sixth F.G.D. Symposium, Houston, Texas, October, 1980.
11. Gehri, D. C., R. L. Adams, and H. Phelan, "Sequential Removal of Sulfur Oxides from Hot Gases," U.S. Patent 4,197,278 (April 8, 1980).
12. Brna, T. G., S. J. Lutz, and J. A. Kezerle, "Performance Evaluation of an Industrial Spray Dryer for SO₂ Control," Sixth F.G.D. Symposium, Houston, Texas, October, 1980.
13. Bergmann, Lutz, "Fiber/Fabric Selection for SO₂ Dry Removal Baghouse Systems," *Power Engineering*, 86-88 (October, 1980).



Jim Henry teaches Chemical Engineer University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, he consults with TVA on spray dry development. He received his degrees Princeton Universities. Previously he is faculty member at Tulane, Yale, and Pratt, A & M Universities and he has worked for the Department of Energy. He is a member of AIChE and APCA.



Russell F. Robards graduated from Bellarmine College in Louisville, KY in 1974 with a BA in chemistry. Since that time he has worked with the Tennessee Valley Authority as a Chemical Engineer on various gaseous emission control projects including coal gasification, pilot and prototype FGD testing, full-scale FGD evaluations, and economics.



William L. Wells is currently program manager of Gaseous Emission Control in the Environmental Control and Assessment Branch, Office of Power, at Tennessee Valley Authority in Chattanooga, TN. Before assuming his present position, he was Chemical Engineer and Projects Manager with involvement in SO₂, NO_x, and particulate control technologies for flue gas cleanup. He has also served as TVA representative on both EPA and EPRI technical committees. He has degrees in both Chemistry and Chemical Engineering and is a Registered Professional Engineer in S.C. His professional affiliations include American Institute of Chemical Engineers, American Chemical Society, The Royal Society of Chemistry, and National Society of Professional Engineers.

Trace Emissions from Coal and Oil Combustion

How real is the threat from trace emissions of metals and organics? Here are experimentally based national estimates.

E. Radha Krishnan, PEDCo Environmental, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio 45246
G. Vinson Hellwig, PEDCo Environmental, Inc., Durham, N.C. 27001

Due to the need of national energy self-sufficiency and increasing energy demands in the United States, coal is supplanting imported oil as a fuel supply. Combustion of coal and oil results in varying quantities of trace pollutant emissions, many of which may be harmful to human health.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is currently engaged in a program to assess the environmental, economic, and energy impacts of stationary conventional processes firing coal, oil, and other fuels [1]. The program focuses on the assessment of trace pollutants in the gaseous emission streams from conventional combustion sources.

This article presents background information on atmospheric emissions of certain trace metals and hazardous pollutants from coal and oil combustion based on a review of the existing literature.

The following four principal categories of conventional stationary combustion systems are analyzed in this summary:

- Utility boilers
- Industrial boilers
- Commercial/institutional boilers
- Residential boilers

A minimum size of 264 GJ/hr (250 × 10⁶ Btu/hr) heat input was selected for the utility category in accordance with EPA's guidelines for New Source Performance Standards (NSPS). The industrial category includes both indus-

trial and commercial boilers with a minimum heat input of 26 GJ/hr (25×10^6 Btu/hr) while those with capacities below 26 GJ/hr (25×10^6 Btu/hr) are included in the commercial/institutional category. Residential boilers studied had a maximum size of 422 MJ/hr (0.4×10^6 Btu/hr) heat input.

The boiler categories are further divided into sub-categories according to a classification scheme based on fuel type and firing technique, as shown in Table 1. The choice of the various categories is based on representativeness and data availability of boiler population and emissions.

PRIORITIZATION OF TRACE POLLUTANTS

Several trace elements and organic species have received individual attention in the literature with regard to the environmental and health impacts of their emission from coal- and oil-fired plants. In order to limit the number of trace pollutants considered in this study, a preliminary prioritization technique was employed using the following criteria for selection of pollutants:

- Known or suspected carcinogens
- Substances listed by EPA as hazardous to human health
- Elements preferentially enriched in the fly ash as compared to the bottom ash
- Elements showing pronounced concentration trends with decreasing particle size

Based on the above considerations, the following list of pollutants comprising 10 trace metals and 4 organic species was developed.

Trace Metals

1. Arsenic (As)
2. Beryllium (Be)
3. Cadmium (Cd)
4. Chromium (Cr)
5. Lead (Pb)
6. Manganese (Mn)

TABLE 1. COMBUSTION SOURCE CATEGORIES

Category	Fuel type	Firing type
Utility	Bituminous coal	Pulverized dry bottom
		Pulverized wet bottom
		Cyclone
	Stoker	
Industrial	Anthracite coal	Pulverized dry bottom
	Stoker	
	Lignite coal	Pulverized dry bottom
		Pulverized wet bottom
		Cyclone
	Residual oil	Stoker
		Tangential
		Wall
Commercial/institutional	Coal (all types)	Pulverized
	Stoker	
	Residual oil	Tangential
	Wall	
Residential	Distillate oil	Tangential
	Wall	
	Bituminous coal	All types
	Anthracite coal	All types
	Lignite coal	All types
	Distillate oil	All types

7. Mercury (Hg)
8. Nickel (Ni)
9. Selenium (Se)
10. Vanadium (V)

Organic Species

1. Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP)
2. Dioxins
3. Formaldehyde (HCHO)
4. Polycyclic organic matter (POM)

Among the trace metals, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, and mercury are either known or suspected carcinogenic agents [2, 3]. With respect to organic emissions, a number of POM compounds have also been identified as active carcinogens. It should be noted that BaP is listed separately from POM even though BaP is a POM compound, since analysis is generally specific for BaP and reported emission data for other POM compounds are sparse by comparison [4]. Formaldehyde has been listed as a suspected carcinogen in the literature [3], and is therefore included in the list of trace pollutants. The acute toxic nature which has been reported [5] for a number of dioxin isomers supports the inclusion of dioxins in the trace pollutant list.

Arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, nickel, and selenium have been found to have a tendency to preferentially concentrate in the fly ash from coal-fired plants [6]. The above elements in addition to beryllium, manganese, and vanadium, show pronounced concentration trends with decreasing particle size. This is an important consideration since pollutants present in respirable particulates may pose an environmental hazard. Principal trace elements in oil include cadmium, chromium, nickel, and vanadium [7].

EXISTING EMISSIONS DATA BASE

An extensive literature search was conducted to obtain emissions data for the 14 trace pollutants from the combustion source categories described earlier. Available data and statistical reports from Federal Government agencies, e.g., Bureau of Census, Bureau of Mines, Bureau of Domestic Commerce, National Institute of Health, etc., were surveyed. Other significant sources of information included the Combustion Emissions Analysis Catalog System, computerized data bases, technical papers and reports by trade organizations, such as the Electric Power Research Institute. A concentrated effort was made to assess the trace emissions in terms of the type of particulate control device employed. Decisions as to the adequacy of the existing data base involved a consideration of both the reliability and variability of the data.

A brief discussion of the emissions data base is presented below for each of the four boiler categories.

Utility Boilers

Despite the widespread application of control devices, particulate emissions from utility boilers still pose a significant environmental problem, accounting for approximately 25 percent of the total particulate emissions from all stationary sources [8]. The literature is abundant in emissions data for the trace pollutants from bituminous coal-fired boilers equipped with electrostatic precipitators and from uncontrolled residual oil-fired utility boilers. Relatively less information, though, is available on trace emissions from lignite-firing, while data on emissions from anthracite-firing sources are almost nonexistent. For coal combustion in general, the data base characterizing gaseous emissions primarily represents sources equipped with electrostatic precipitators for pulverized and cyclone-fired boilers, and multicyclone-equipped stoker units. Trace

emissions data are seldom available for oil-fired boilers equipped with particulate control devices.

Industrial Boilers

The existing trace emissions data base for industrial boilers is inadequate, particularly for coal-fired units. Most of the existing data on coal-firing is for bituminous coal pulverized units equipped with multicyclones or scrubbers, and for stoker units equipped with multicyclones. No data on dioxin emissions, though, were found.

In the case of oil-firing, the data base covers uncontrolled as well as scrubber-equipped units.

Commercial/Institutional Boilers

The data base on commercial/institutional boilers is similarly limited for trace emissions; all reported data are for uncontrolled emissions.

Residential Boilers

Available literature contains extensive data on trace organic emissions, with the exception of dioxins, from both coal- and oil-fired residential units. The variability in the data, however, is significant since these emissions vary widely depending on boiler combustion efficiency. Data on trace metal emissions from residential units, though, are relatively sparse.

EMISSION FACTORS

Based on a review of the existing emissions data base and employing engineering judgement where needed, emission factors were estimated for the trace pollutants from the various combustion-source categories, as a function of particulate control device. The emission factor estimates for utility, industrial, commercial/institutional, and residential boilers are presented in Tables 2 through 5. As with all emission factors, these are only general estimators of the actual emissions and could vary widely from plant to plant.

Importance was given to the reliability of reported emissions data in estimating the emission factors. Average trace-element removal efficiencies reported in the literature were used where emissions were unavailable for certain control devices. Since emissions data on anthracite coal-fired emissions from utility boilers were unavailable in the literature, emission factors estimated for bituminous coals were assumed to apply for anthracite coals too. The type of oil used, residual or distillate, was not generally specified for industrial boilers, and hence estimated emission factors could not be delineated for the two oil types for this category.

For the utility category, flue gas emissions of arsenic, chromium, lead, manganese, nickel, and vanadium from bituminous coal-fired boilers are relatively high. Due to high emissions of arsenic, cadmium, nickel, and vanadium from residual oil-fired utility boilers, these pollutants warrant special concern. POM and BaP emissions are considerably lower for both coal- and oil-fired utility boilers; formaldehyde emissions are somewhat high for coal-fired utility boilers. Although dioxin emissions appear to be insignificant from combustion sources, additional emissions data on dioxins would be required to confirm the reported emission factor.

In the industrial category, trace metals comprising arsenic, chromium, lead, nickel, selenium, and vanadium are emitted at the highest concentrations in flue-gas streams from coal-fired boilers. For oil-fired boilers, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, nickel, and vanadium have high emission factors. POM emissions are generally low for both coal- and oil-fired industrial sources.

Emissions of all the selected trace metals and organic compounds, with the exception of beryllium, cadmium, and mercury, appear to be considerably high from stoker-fired commercial boilers. Significant quantities of POM, BaP, and formaldehyde are also emitted from these sources. For oil-fired combustion, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, nickel, and vanadium are emitted in substantial quantities.

The seven trace metals with a high level of emissions from commercial coal-fired boilers also have the highest emission factors for residential coal-fired boilers. POM and BaP emissions are very high due to the poor combustion efficiency of such units. For residential oil-fired units, nickel and formaldehyde are the only trace pollutants which are emitted in high concentrations.

FUEL CONSUMPTION FOR COMBUSTION SOURCE CATEGORIES

In order to quantify emissions of trace pollutants on a national basis, fuel (coal and oil) consumptions for the various combustion-source categories were estimated based on existing boiler population and fuel use data. The most reliable and current available information was used.

Fuel consumption estimates for utility, industrial, commercial/institutional, and residential boilers are presented in Tables 6 and 7. Utility boilers account for about 90% of the total coal consumption for the four categories. Combustion of bituminous coal represents 88% of the utility coal combustion, pulverized dry bottom units being the predominant furnace type. The utility category is responsible for about 66% of total residual-oil consumption, the remainder being shared almost equally between the industrial and commercial categories. The residential boiler category is the largest consumer of distillate oil (64%), followed by the commercial/institutional category (27%).

CONTROL-DEVICE POPULATION FOR EXISTING BOILERS

The national trace emissions from coal and oil combustion depend on the extent and type of particulate control employed in existing boilers, since control devices influence pollutant emission factors considerably. Comprehensive data on control-device population are, however, not readily available for the various categories.

Utility Boilers

Electrostatic precipitators (ESP) constitute the most popular type of control device employed for utility boilers firing pulverized coal [11]. ESP's also control over 83% of the capacity of cyclone-fired boilers using bituminous coal [12]. Wet scrubbers and baghouses are employed to a much lesser extent. Multicyclones are extensively employed on stoker units and on lignite-fired cyclone units. Utility oil-fired boilers typically do not utilize particulate controls [13], and it has been estimated that only 20% of such units are equipped with particulate control devices [14].

For the present study, the following simplifying assumptions were made regarding the control-device population for utility boilers (1978):

- All pulverized coal units are equipped with ESP's
- All stoker units are equipped with multicyclones
- All cyclone units firing bituminous coal are equipped with ESP's; lignite-fired cyclone units are equipped with multicyclones for 80% of their capacity, and with ESP's for the remaining 20%
- Oil-fired units are uncontrolled for 80% of their capacity, and equipped with ESP's for the remaining 20%.

TABLE 2. EMISSION FACTORS FOR TRACE POLLUTANTS FROM COAL- AND OIL-FIRED COMBUSTION: UTILITY BOILERS
(>264 GJ/hr INPUT)

Fuel type	Furnace type	Control device	Emission factor, pg/J													Di-oxins	HCHO	POM	BaP
			As	Be	Cd	Cr	Hg	Mn	Ni	Pb	Se	V							
Bituminous coal	Pulverized dry bottom	ESP	15.3	2.1	0.8	60.2	7.7	41.3	30.1	39.1	13.0	39.4	0.01	59.3	1.0	0.03			
		Multicyclones		6.9			7.7							59.3	1.2	0.03			
		Scrubber	5.3	0.3	1.7	170	1.5	48.2	22.1	10.4	16.3	62.8							
	Pulverized wet bottom	None	133	23.7	8.9	1505	7.7	98.0	130	537	161	110							
		ESP	12.4	1.7	0.6	49.0	7.7	33.5	24.3	31.0	10.5	32.3	0.01	35.3	1.0	0.08			
		Multicyclones		5.6			7.7							35.3	1.0	0.08			
	Cyclone	Scrubber		0.2			1.5												
		None		18.9			7.7												
		ESP	3.0	0.6	0.3	8.0	7.7	26.1	2.0	5.0	1.4	30.1	0.01	56.8	1.0	0.08			
	Stoker	Multicyclones		1.2			7.7												
Scrubber			0.7		46.0	2.1	54.2			33.0	30.0				ND ^a				
None			4.0			7.7	98.0	130											
Anthracite coal	Multicyclones	206	5.6	5.5	16.3	6.0	47.3	29.2	610	36.1	24.9	0.01	37.4	0.9	0.09				
	None		18.1			6.0							60.2						
Lignite coal	Pulverized dry bottom	ESP	15.3	2.1	0.8	60.2	7.7	41.3	30.1	39.1	13.0	39.8	0.01	59.3	1.0	0.06			
	Stoker	Multicyclones	206	5.6	5.5	16.3	6.0	47.3	29.2	610	36.1	24.9	0.01	37.4	1.2	0.10			
Residual oil	Pulverized dry bottom	ESP	6.8	0.6	0.3	26.5	10.3	18.1	13.2	2.5	5.7	17.5	0.01	56.8	1.1	0.07			
		Multicyclones		15.1	3.2		10.3				18.1								
		Scrubber		0.3			2.1												
	Pulverized wet bottom	None		64.5			10.3												
		ESP	5.5	0.5	0.3	21.6	10.3	14.7	10.7	2.0	4.6	14.2	0.01	35.3	1.2	0.09			
		Multicyclones					10.3												
	Cyclone	Scrubber					2.1												
		None					10.3												
		ESP	6.7	0.3	0.7	17.8	10.3	57.2	4.5	11.2	3.1	233	0.01	56.8	1.1	0.09			
	Stoker	Multicyclones	120	3.0	9.8	430	10.3	711	320	154	3.4	291	0.01	78.3	1.1	0.09			
Scrubber			0.2			2.1													
None			55.9			10.3													
Residual oil	Tangential	Multicyclones	206	6.0	5.5	16.3	2.4	47.3	29.2	121	36.1	24.9	0.01	37.3	1.1	0.09			
		None		24.1			2.4												
	Wall	ESP	9.5	0.6	14.4	5.7	0.9	2.2	57.2	4.0	2.0	3-3		10.8	0.04				
		Scrubber		0.02			0.2												
Residual oil	Wall	None	47.3	2.3	71.8	28.6	0.9	11.0	287	20.0	10.1	1516		10.8	0.09	0.02			
		ESP	9.5	0.6	14.4	5.7	0.9	2.2	57.2	4.0	2.0	303		10.8	0.04				
	None	Scrubber		0.02			0.2												
		None	47.3	2.3	71.8	28.6	0.9	11.0	287	20.0	10.1	1516		10.8	0.09				
*Not detected																			

*Not detected

TABLE 3. EMISSION FACTORS FOR TRACE POLLUTANTS FROM COAL- AND OIL-FIRED COMBUSTION: INDUSTRIAL BOILERS
(>26 GJ/hr INPUT)

Fuel type	Furnace type	Control device	Emission factor, pg/J													Di-oxins	HCHO	POM	BaP
			As	Be	Cd	Cr	Hg	Mn	Ni	Pb	Se	V							
Coal	Pulverized	ESP		1.9			7.7	29.4	39.0	161	48.6	33.0							
		Multicyclones	280	6.5	2.7	71.8	7.7	6.3	26.0	8.8	41.0	24.0		38.7	9.5	0.03			
		Scrubber	92.0	1.0	0.4	54.2	1.6							24.1	ND ^a	ND ^a			
	Stoker	None		21.5	8.9		7.7												
		Multicyclones	206	5.6	5.5	16.3	22.4	47.3	29.2	121	36.1	24.9		60.2	13.2	0.03			
		None	482	18.1	12.9	38.3	6.0	11.0	68.4	282	84.3	58.1		94.6	31.0	0.06			
Oil	Tangential	Scrubber	9.4	0.3	21.0	5.7	0.2	1.3	62.8	4.1	2.0	260		63.6	ND ^a	ND ^a			
		None	47.3	1.9	71.8	28.6	0.9	6.5	314	20.4	10.1	1300		86.0	0.5	0.13			
		Scrubber	9.4	0.3	21.0	5.7	0.2	1.3	62.8	4.1	2.0	26-		63.6	ND ^a	ND ^a			
	Wall	None	47.3	1.9	71.8	28.6	0.9	6.5	314	20.4	10.1	1300		86.0	0.8	0.2			

*Not detected.

Industrial Boilers

The extent of particulate control employed in industrial boilers depends, to a great extent, on the size of the boiler. Large pulverized coal industrial boilers are often equipped with fine particulate control devices, while multicyclones are the only form of control devices employed in smaller units [15]. Stoker-fired units also employ multicyclones in some cases, but are usually uncontrolled. In the case of oil-firing, control is rarely employed, except possibly for the larger residual oil-fired boilers.

The control-device population postulated in the current study for different size ranges of industrial boilers is shown in Table 8. Estimates of fuel consumption for the various size ranges are available in Reference 10.

Commercial/Institutional Boilers

Air pollution-control equipment is generally not installed on smaller commercial/institutional units [16]. It was assumed for this study that such sources are totally uncontrolled.

TABLE 4. EMISSION FACTORS FOR TRACE POLLUTANTS FROM COAL- AND OIL-FIRED COMBUSTION: COMMERCIAL BOILERS
(<26 GJ/hr INPUT)

Fuel type	Furnace type	Control device	Emission factor, pg/J													
			As	Be	Cd	Cr	Hg	Mn	Ni	Pb	Se	V	Di-oxins	HCHO	POM	BaP
Coal	Pulverized	None		9.1			7.8								19.1	
Residual oil	Stoker	None	482	18.1	12.9	38.3	6.2	111	68.4	282	84.3	58.1		163	45.2	4.7
	Tangential	None	47.3	0.1	71.8	50.0	0.9	6.5	804	20.4	10.1	3660		86.0	44.0	0.4
Distillate oil	Wall	None	47.3	0.1	71.8	50.0	0.9	6.5	804	20.4	10.1	3660		86.0	44.0	0.4
	Tangential	None	47.3	0.1	71.8	36.0	0.9	6.5	112	20.4	10.1	30.0		86.0	20.0	0.4
	Wall	None	47.3	0.1	71.8	36.0	0.9	6.5	112	20.4	10.1	30.0		86.0	20.0	0.4

TABLE 5. EMISSION FACTORS FOR TRACE POLLUTANTS FROM COAL- AND OIL-FIRED COMBUSTION: RESIDENTIAL BOILERS
(<422 MJ/hr INPUT)

Fuel type	Furnace type	Control device	Emission factor, pg/J													
			As	Be	Cd	Cr	Hg	Mn	Ni	Pb	Se	V	Di-oxins	HCHO	POM	BaP
Bituminous coal	All	None	717	7.2	17.9	71.8	7.2	2150	71.8	359	143	71.8		43.0	1737	108
Anthracite coal	All	None	166	6.6	6.6	66.2	6.6	66.2	66.2	265	99.3	66.2		43.0	1032	108
Lignite coal	All	None	430	1.7	1.7	17.2	172	430	17.2	172	51.6	34.4		43.0	8600	108
Distillate oil	All	None	1.5	1.9	11.0	1.1	1.2	0.6	103	9.5	2.9	10.1		262	10.3	0.1

TABLE 6. FUEL CONSUMPTION IN UTILITY BOILERS, U.S., 1978^a
Fuel consumption, PJ

Fuel consumption, Tj															All coal		Residual oil	
Bituminous					Coal Anthracite			Lignite										
Pul-verized dry bottom	Pul-verized wet bottom	Cy-clone	Stoker	Total	Pul-verized	Stoker	Total	Pul-verized dry bottom	Pul-verized wet bottom	Cy-clone	Stoker	Total	Total	Tan-gential	Wall	Total		
7558	1242	711	19	9530	6	6	12	1100	140	19	13	1272	10814	1738	1904	3642		

^aBased on data in Reference [9].

TABLE 7. FUEL CONSUMPTION, U.S.

Category	Fuel consumption, PJ					
	Pulverized	Coal	Stoker	Total	Residual	Oil
Industrial ^a	343	612	955	967	288	1255
Commercial/institutional ^a		207	207	891	897	1788
Residential ^b			113		2097	2097

^aBased on data in Reference [10], 1977.

^bBased on data in Reference [9], 1978.

TABLE 8. POSTULATED CONTROL DEVICE POPULATION FOR INDUSTRIAL BOILERS

Size range, GJ/h	Pulverized coal	Stoker coal	Residual oil	Distillate oil
26-53	100 _M	50 _M 50 _U	100 _U	100 _U
53-106	80 _M 20 _S	70 _M 30 _U	100 _U	100 _U
106-264	60 _M 40 _S	80 _M 20 _U	80 _M 20 _S	100 _U
>264	100 _S	100 _M	60 _M 40 _S	100 _U

^aNumbers represent the percentage of the total capacity and suffix denotes the type of control employed: M - Multicyclones, U - Uncontrolled, S - Scrubbers, e.g., 100_M means that 100% of the capacity is controlled by multicyclones.

Residential Boilers

Residential coal- and oil-fired units were assumed to be uncontrolled.

NATIONAL EMISSIONS

From a knowledge of fuel consumption and particulate control-device population for the various boiler categories, national emissions of the trace pollutants were estimated for coal and oil combustion sources, based on emission factors presented earlier. Results are summarized in Table 9.

Trace Metals

As would be expected, national emissions of trace metals are highest for the utility sector. Arsenic, chromium, lead, manganese, nickel, selenium, and vanadium are emitted at rates greater than 100 metric tons/yr from utility coal-fired boilers. Only arsenic and lead are emitted at such rates from industrial coal-fired boilers. These two metals are also emitted in significant quantities from commercial and residential coal-fired boilers. In addition, national emissions of manganese are considerably high for residential coal-fired units.

TABLE 9. NATIONAL EMISSIONS OF TRACE POLLUTANTS FROM COAL AND OIL COMBUSTION
(metric tons/yr)

Trace pollutant	Utility boilers ^a (>264 GJ/hr input)		Industrial boilers ^b (>26 GJ/hr input)		Commercial boilers ^b (<26 GJ/hr input)		Residential boilers ^a (<422 MJ/hr input)	
	Coal	Oil	Coal	Oil	Coal	Oil	Coal	Oil
Arsenic (As)	149.1	144.7	214.8	54.7	99.3	84.6	60.3	3.2
Beryllium (Be)	19.2	7.0	6.2	2.2	3.7	0.1	0.8	4.1
Cadmium (Cd)	7.7	219.7	4.9	83.9	2.7	128.4	1.6	23.1
Chromium (Cr)	561.3	87.5	33.3	33.1	7.9	76.8	7.8	2.3
Lead (Pb)	360.0	61.1	113.3	23.6	58.2	36.5	36.8	19.9
Manganese (Mn)	407.2	33.7	31.5	7.5	22.8	11.6	163.9	1.2
Mercury (Hg)	86.9	3.1	4.6	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.0	2.5
Nickel (Ni)	281.1	877.3	34.0	363.1	14.1	818.0	7.8	216.4
Selenium (Se)	120.9	30.9	44.4	11.7	17.4	18.1	14.5	21.2
Vanadium (V)	390.0	4637	29.4	1505	12.0	3293	7.8	6.1
Benzo-a-pyrene (BaP)	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	1.0	0.8	12.2	0.2
Dioxins	0.1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Formaldehyde (HCHO)	603.2	39.1	51.6	105.2	33.7	153.8	4.9	550.1
POM	11.2	0.3	11.6	0.8	9.3	57.4	171.6	21.6

a-1978. b-1977. ND-Not determined.

For oil-firing, trace-metal emissions of arsenic, cadmium, nickel, and vanadium are substantial from utility, industrial, and commercial boilers. Vanadium emissions exceed 1000 metric tons/yr from each of the three categories. For residential oil-fired boilers, nickel is the only trace metal emitted at a significant rate.

Organic Emissions

POM and BaP emissions are only significant from residential coal-fired units. Formaldehyde emissions exceed 100 metric tons/yr for utility coal-fired boilers and industrial and commercial oil-fired boilers. Owing to lack of data, national emissions of dioxins could not be projected for most of the boiler categories.

SUMMARY

In summary, coal combustion emits significant quantities of a greater number of trace pollutants than does oil combustion. Ongoing risk assessment studies will provide a greater insight into the hazards posed by such pollutants. Meanwhile, emissions data on toxic pollutants, such as dioxins, is required for the various boiler sectors to fill gaps in the existing emissions data base.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Ponder, W. H., "Conventional Combustion Environmental Assessment Program," presented at Joint Symposium on Stationary Combustion NO₂ Control, Denver, Colo., October 6-9, 1980.
2. Edwards, L. O., C. A. Muela, R. E. Sawyer, C. M. Thompson, D. H. Williams, and R. D. Delleney. "Trace Metals and Stationary Conventional Combustion Sources," Vol. I. Technical Report, EPA-600/7-80-155a (August, 1980).
3. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, "Suspected Carcinogens" 2nd Edition, HEW Publication No. (NIOSH) 77-149 (December, 1976).
4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Scientific and Technical Assessment Report on Particulate Polycyclic Organic Matter (PPOM)," EPA-600/6-75-001 (March, 1975).

5. Esposito, M. P., T. O. Tiernan, and F. E. Dryden. "Dioxins," EPA-600/2-80-197 (November, 1980).
6. Ray, S. S. and F. G. Parker, "Characterization of Ash from Coal-Fired Power Plants," EPA-600/7-77-010 (January, 1977).
7. Magee, E. M., H. J. Hall, and G. M. Varga, Jr. "Potential Pollutants in Fossil Fuels," EPA-R2-73-249 (June, 1973).
8. Shih, C. C., R. A. Orsini, D. G. Ackerman, R. Moreno, E. L. Moon, L. L. Scinto, and C. Yu. "Emissions Assessment of Conventional Stationary Combustion Systems: Volume III. External Combustion Sources for Electricity Generation - Project Summary," EPA-600/S7-81-003a (April, 1981).
9. U.S. Department of Energy, "State Energy Data Report Statistical Tables and Technical Documentation 1960 through 1978," DOE/EIA-0214(78) (April, 1980).
10. Devitt, T., P. Spaite, and L. Gibbs. "Population and Characteristics of Industrial/Commercial Boilers in the U.S.," EPA-600/7-79-178a (August, 1979).
11. DeAngelis, D. G., R. B. Reznick, D. S. Ruffin, J. N. Rigano, W. R. McCurley, J. C. Ochsner, and T. W. Hughes. "Source Assessment: Dry Bottom Utility Boilers Firing Pulverized Bituminous Coal - Project Summary," EPA-600/S2-80-042C (January, 1981).
12. Leavitt, C., K. Arledge, C. Shih, R. Orsini, A. Saur, W. Hamersma, R. Maddaloni, R. Beimer, G. Richard, S. Unges, and M. Yamada. "Environmental Assessment of a Coal-Fired Controlled Utility Boiler," EPA-600/7-80-086 (April, 1980).
13. Leavitt, C., K. Arledge, C. Shih, R. Orsini, A. Saur, W. Hamersma, R. Maddaloni, R. Beimer, G. Richard, S. Unges, and M. Yamada. "Environmental Assessment of an Oil-Fired Controlled Utility Boiler," EPA-600/7-80-087 (April, 1980).
14. Suprenant, N., R. Hall, S. Slater, T. Susa, M. Sussman, and C. Young. "Preliminary Emissions Assessment of Conventional Stationary Combustion Systems: Vol. II. Final Report," EPA-600/2-76-046b (March, 1976).
15. PEDCo Environmental, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio. "Summary of Status of Industrial Boilers and Air Pollution Compliance Problems and Solutions. Phase I," prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (March, 1980).
16. Suprenant, N. F., P. Hung, R. Li, K. T. McGregor, W. Piispainen, and S. M. Sandberg. "Emissions Assessment of Conventional Stationary Combustion Systems: Vol. IV. Commercial/Institutional Combustion Sources - Project Summary," EPA-600/S7-81-003b (April, 1981).



E. R. Krishnan, a project manager at PEDCo Environmental, Inc., earned his B.S.Ch.E. at Jadavpur University, India, and his M.S.Ch.E. at the University of Cincinnati. A registered professional engineer in Ohio, he has considerable experience in emissions assessment and control for combustion sources and nonferrous smelters. He has also worked as a research chemical engineer for Battelle Laboratories in Columbus, Ohio. He is listed in "Who's Who in Technology Today"



G. V. Hellwig, a senior engineer at PEDCo Environmental, Inc., earned his B.S. degree in chemistry at Shorter College, and has pursued graduate study at Clemson University and Auburn University. He has conducted engineering surveys and investigations directed at determining emission characteristics and compliance status of stationary air pollution sources. He has also served as a project manager and coordinator for technical workshops in the Region IV, EPA Air Enforcement Branch.

Chemical Transport Rates Near The Sediment in Wastewater Impoundments

A theoretical model permits the development of a consistent quantitative predictive equation.

L. J. Thibodeaux and B. Becker, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Ark. 72701

There are instances when estimates of the water-side transport parameters at the bottom of wastewater surface impoundments, lakes, or similar water bodies must be known for purposes of making chemodynamic calculations. These calculations can involve the transport of hazardous chemical species between the sludge or sediment and the water of surface impoundments containing industrial waste. A layer of water, covering a site containing soil contaminated with a hazardous chemical, can be an effective barrier to vapor emission. Further calculations include: rates of chemical movements to and from the mud-water interface of freshwater lakes, man-made reservoirs, land-locked seas and shallow marine bays. Examples include the rate of movement of metals, and of plant nutrients which originate in the bottom muds, into the water column (i.e., dissolution or desorption) and the adsorption rates or pesticides such as Mirex on the bottom muds. The present paper provides a mechanism for a qualitative understanding of the important variables involved and provides simple model equations and aid in estimating bottom-water transport parameters critical to realistic chemodynamic calculations.

The pertinent independent lake variables which effect chemical transport up and down the water column have not undergone a systematic study either in the field or in the laboratory. There appears to be no doubt that thermal stratification plays a major role in transport but, beyond dividing the year into stratified and unstratified periods and speculating about bottom-water turnover periods, little work has been done to study the effects of flow-through, surface winds, lake geometry, water depth, wind fetch and duration on chemical transport in the water above the sediment interface. In this paper we report on a study made of several of these variables and the effect on the water-side mass-transfer coefficient for unstratified lakes.

Imboden and Emerson [1], concerned with transport through the sediment-water interface in lakes, propose the use of Radon-222 as a natural tracer. A technique is proposed whereby the flux of solutes from the sediments to the overlying water can be estimated by using concentration

profiles in the overlying water together with information on mixing processes.

Model Equations

Model transport equations are developed which, it is claimed, could turn radon measurements in lakes into a powerful tool for detecting varying boundary layers. Lerman [2] uses a similar concentration-profile method, based upon steady-state, one-dimensional transport equations with radon, for computing vertical eddy diffusion in water. He claims that eddy diffusion is, at least in part, responsible for the fluxes of various chemical species from the sediments upward. Also, it may be possible to use the method to compute vertical eddy-diffusion coefficients at monthly intervals to demonstrate some short-term variability of the transport conditions in a small lake. Thibodeaux and Cheng [3] used ammonia nitrogen and total phosphorus concentrations in the bottom-water region with a transient diffusion-transport model to compute turbulent diffusivities during the stratified period of a shallow lake. The method was apparently incapable of detecting short-term variabilities in near-bottom transport rates during the stratified period.

In studying the impact of sediments as a nutrient source in shallow polluted lakes, Ryding and Forsberg [4] obtained evidence that the force and duration of the lengthwise winds in a lake were correlated with an increase in ammonia nitrogen and total phosphorus in the overlying water. They concluded that mixing plays a dominant role in the transport of nutrients from the sediments in shallow lakes, and that wind conditions obviously regulate to a great extent the internal loading and nutrient supply in the water. Lick [5] developed numerical models which are capable of realistically describing the currents throughout large lakes for the purpose of studying the dispersion of contaminants in near-shore areas. In modeling the wind-driven circulation in a lake, it was necessary to know the horizontal shear stress imposed as a boundary condition at the surface of the lake. This stress is due to the interaction of the turbulent air and water. Representative results of the calculations for Lake Ontario show the horizontal velocities at the surface due to a wind of 5.2 m/s and velocities in a vertical and longitudinal plane consisting of the circulation of one large cell in the case of the one-layer model. Water velocities near the bottom were approximately 5 cm/s in a direction opposite