

Note: This is a reference cited in *AP 42, Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume I Stationary Point and Area Sources*. AP42 is located on the EPA web site at www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/

The file name refers to the reference number, the AP42 chapter and section. The file name "ref02_c01s02.pdf" would mean the reference is from AP42 chapter 1 section 2. The reference may be from a previous version of the section and no longer cited. The primary source should always be checked.

AP-42 Section Number: 9.11.1

Reference Number: 16

Title: Vegetable Oil Production (Meal Processing) Emission Test Report, MFA Soybeans, Mexico, Missouri

PEDCo Environmental Inc.

PEDCo Environmental Inc.

July 1979

11595

Air



Vegetable Oil Production (Meal Processing)

AP-42 Section 9.1.1
Reference 16
Report Sect. _____
Reference _____

Emission Test Report MFA Soybeans Mexico, Missouri

1

11595

Air

EPA

Vegetable Oil Production (Meal Processing)

Emission Test Report MFA Soybeans Mexico, Missouri

[REDACTED]

PEDCO ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

11499 CHESTER ROAD
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45246
(513) 782-4700
TELEX (513) 782-4807

DRAFT

VEGETABLE OIL EXTRACTION PLANT
MEAL SAMPLING AND OPACITY TEST
MFA SOYBEANS
MEXICO, MISSOURI

JULY 6, 1979

Prepared by:

PEDCo Environmental, Inc.
11499 Chester Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45246

79-VEG-6C
Contract No. 68-02-2811
Task No. 19

PN 3333-S

Project Technical Manager: Nancy McLaughlin

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Emission Measurement Branch



CHESTER TOWERS

BRANCH OFFICES

DALLAS, TEXAS
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI

COLUMBUS, OHIO
DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	2
2.1 Meal Samples	2
2.2 Stack Opacity	2
3 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	5
APPENDICES	
A Meal Sampling Log Sheet	A-1
B Meal Samples Laboratory Analysis Report	B-1
C Stack Opacity Data Sheets	C-1

SECTION 1
INTRODUCTION

As part of the program to gather emissions data from vegetable oil extraction plants, on July 6, 1979, a site visit to the MFA Soybean Plant in Mexico, Missouri was conducted for the purpose of collecting meal samples (to be analyzed for hexane content), monitoring process conditions, and checking selected sites for visible emissions. Meal samples and opacity measurements were taken by personnel from PEDCo Environmental, Inc. Representatives from Research Triangle Institute were on hand to monitor processing conditions.

Meal samples were taken in triplicate every hour for seven hours after each of the following process steps:

1. Desolventizer-Toaster (D T)
2. Meal cooler
3. Meal grinding

A total of six sites were checked for visible emissions.

SECTION 2

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

2.1 MEAL SAMPLES

Table 2-1 presents the average hexane content for each of the triplicate meal samples taken on both a wet and dry meal basis. The dry weight of the meal was determined by placing the sample in a drying oven after analysis and reweighing the sample after the moisture and hexane had been driven off. The average change in hexane concentration from wet to dry meal basis was about 26 percent.

Concentrations remained fairly constant at all of the sites through out the day with average values of 267 $\mu\text{g/g}$ of wet meal at the D T , 154 $\mu\text{g/g}$ of wet meal at the grinder and 210 $\mu\text{g/g}$ of wet meal at the meal cooler.

The laboratory analysis report showing the concentrations, sample weights, and the date of analysis for each sample is in Appendix B of this report. The sampling log sheet is in Appendix A.

2.2 OPACITY READINGS

Stack opacity was read at the following six sites according to the procedures of Method 9 of the Federal Register:*

* Federal Register, Vol. 42, No. 160, August 18, 1977.

<u>Location</u>	<u>Type of Control Device</u>
Hull aspirator	Baghouse
8 Dryer	None
6 Hexane extraction facility (HDS)	None
5 Cooler	Cyclone
Meal preparation building	Baghouse
3 Bean conditioner	None

In all cases there were no visible emissions and opacity was recorded as zero. The opacity data sheets are in Appendix C of this report.

SECTION 3

SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

3.1 MEAL SAMPLES

The meal sampling and analytical technique was adopted from a volitilization head-space sampling procedure developed at Texas A&M University.¹ Sample bottles used were 100 ml glass serum bottles with septum caps, tare weighed in the lab with two layers of filter paper in the bottom of each. In the field just prior to sampling, 0.5 ml of water was added to wet the filter paper, using an automatic pipette. A long handled scoop was used to take a sample from the conveyor belt. A small portion of this scoop was then transferred to each of the triplicate samples using a small spoon and a funnel. Septum caps were replaced immediately on the samples. An aluminum cap was then crimped tightly over the septum for a final seal. Each bottle was then weighed to determine the amount of sample collected. Meal samples were stored in a cooler with ice for shipment back to the PEDCo laboratory and stored in a refrigerator until analysis. Ideally a 2.0 gram sample should be taken each time. However, sampling had to be done quickly to prevent evaporation losses, and the actual sample weight varied from 1.2 g to 3.5 g.

¹P. J. Wan, M. Chittwood, C. M. Cater, "Determination of Residual Hexane in Solvent Extracted Meal," Food Protein R&D Center, Texas A&M University.

Analysis was done by placing the sample bottle into a sand-bath for two hours at 125°C and then gradually cooling the sample to room temperature. A 1.0 ml head space sample is then injected into a gas chromatograph. Calibration standards are made by adding a known amount of 99 mole percent n-hexane to processed meal that has been completely dried. To determine the dry weight of the meal sampled after analysis, the samples were placed in a drying oven uncapped and reweighed after the moisture and hexane had been driven off.

3.2 OPACITY READINGS

Opacity was read by a qualified observer using the procedures of Federal Register* Method 9. Readings were taken every 15 seconds over a 12 minute period at each site.

*Federal Register, Vol. 42, No. 16, August 18, 1977.

PEDCo ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

11499 CHESTER ROAD
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45246
(513) 782-4700
TELEX (513) 782-4807

DRAFT

VEGETABLE OIL EXTRACTION PLANT
MEAL SAMPLING AND OPACITY TEST
MFA SOYBEANS
MEXICO, MISSOURI

JULY 6, 1979

Prepared by:

PEDCo Environmental, Inc.
11499 Chester Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45246

79-VEG-6C
Contract No. 68-02-2811
Task No. 19

PN 3333-S

Project Technical Manager: Nancy McLaughlin

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Emission Measurement Branch



BRANCH OFFICES

DALLAS, TEXAS
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI

COLUMBUS, OHIO
DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	2
2.1 Meal Samples	2
2.2 Stack Opacity	2
3 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	5
APPENDICES	
A Meal Sampling Log Sheet	A-1
B Meal Samples Laboratory Analysis Report	B-1
C Stack Opacity Data Sheets	C-1

SECTION 1
INTRODUCTION

As part of the program to gather emissions data from vegetable oil extraction plants, on July 6, 1979, a site visit to the MFA Soybean Plant in Mexico, Missouri was conducted for the purpose of collecting meal samples (to be analyzed for hexane content), monitoring process conditions, and checking selected sites for visible emissions. Meal samples and opacity measurements were taken by personnel from PEDCo Environmental, Inc. Representatives from Research Triangle Institute were on hand to monitor processing conditions.

Meal samples were taken in triplicate every hour for seven hours after each of the following process steps:

1. Desolventizer-Toaster (D T)
2. Meal cooler
3. Meal grinding

A total of six sites were checked for visible emissions.

SECTION 2

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

2.1 MEAL SAMPLES

Table 2-1 presents the average hexane content for each of the triplicate meal samples taken on both a wet and dry meal basis. The dry weight of the meal was determined by placing the sample in a drying oven after analysis and reweighing the sample after the moisture and hexane had been driven off. The average change in hexane concentration from wet to dry meal basis was about 26 percent.

Concentrations remained fairly constant at all of the sites through out the day with average values of 267 $\mu\text{g/g}$ of wet meal at the D T , 154 $\mu\text{g/g}$ of wet meal at the grinder and 210 $\mu\text{g/g}$ of wet meal at the meal cooler.

The laboratory analysis report showing the concentrations, sample weights, and the date of analysis for each sample is in Appendix B of this report. The sampling log sheet is in Appendix A.

2.2 OPACITY READINGS

Stack opacity was read at the following six sites according to the procedures of Method 9 of the Federal Register:*

* Federal Register, Vol. 42, No. 160, August 18, 1977.

TABLE 2-1. AVERAGE HEXANE CONCENTRATION IN MEAL
MFA - MEXICO, MISSOURI

JULY 6, 1979

Approximate sample time	Hexane concentration ^a					
	D T ^b		Grinder		Cooler	
	Wet µg/g	Dry µg/g	Wet µg/g	Dry µg/g	Wet µg/g	Dry µg/g
10:20	297	- ^c	153	193	265	405
11:20	220	303	148	- ^c	117	153
12:20	320	457	123	160	190	257
1:20	277	383	143	180	203	253
2:20	236	300	150	187	150	173
3:20	290	360	153	210	260	310
4:15	<u>227</u>	<u>320</u>	<u>206</u>	- ^c	<u>287</u>	- ^c
Average	267	354	154	186	210	259

^a Average of triplicate samples.

^b D T - Desolventizer-Toaster.

^c Dry weight not recorded.

MFA

<u>Location</u>	<u>Type of Control Device</u>
Hull aspirator	Baghouse
8 Dryer	None
6 Hexane extraction facility (HDS)	None
5 Cooler	Cyclone
Meal preparation building	Baghouse
3 Bean conditioner	None

In all cases there were no visible emissions and opacity was recorded as zero. The opacity data sheets are in Appendix C of this report.

<u>Location</u>	<u>Type of Control Device</u>
Hull aspirator	Baghouse
9 Dryer	None
6 Hexane extraction facility (MOS)	None
5 Cooler	Cyclone
Meal preparation building	Baghouse
3 Bean conditioner	None

In all cases there were no visible emissions and opacity was recorded as zero. The opacity data sheets are in Appendix C of this report.

SECTION 3

SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

3.1 MEAL SAMPLES

The meal sampling and analytical technique was adopted from a volitilization head-space sampling procedure developed at Texas A&M University.¹ Sample bottles used were 100 ml glass serum bottles with septum caps, tare weighed in the lab with two layers of filter paper in the bottom of each. In the field just prior to sampling, 0.5 ml of water was added to wet the filter paper, using an automatic pipette. A long handled scoop was used to take a sample from the conveyor belt. A small portion of this scoop was then transferred to each of the triplicate samples using a small spoon and a funnel. Septum caps were replaced immediately on the samples. An aluminum cap was then crimped tightly over the septum for a final seal. Each bottle was then weighed to determine the amount of sample collected. Meal samples were stored in a cooler with ice for shipment back to the PEDCo laboratory and stored in a refrigerator until analysis. Ideally a 2.0 gram sample should be taken each time. However, sampling had to be done quickly to prevent evaporation losses, and the actual sample weight varied from 1.2 g to 3.5 g.

¹P. J. Wan, M. Chittwood, C. M. Cater, "Determination of Residual Hexane in Solvent Extracted Meal," Food Protein R&D Center, Texas A&M University.

Analysis was done by placing the sample bottle into a sand-bath for two hours at 125°C and then gradually cooling the sample to room temperature. A 1.0 ml head space sample is then injected into a gas chromatograph. Calibration standards are made by adding a known amount of 99 mole percent n-hexane to processed meal that has been completely dried. To determine the dry weight of the meal sampled after analysis, the samples were placed in a drying oven uncapped and reweighed after the moisture and hexane had been driven off.

3.2 OPACITY READINGS

Opacity was read by a qualified observer using the procedures of Federal Register* Method 9. Readings were taken every 15 seconds over a 12 minute period at each site.

*Federal Register, Vol. 42, No. 16, August 18, 1977.

APPENDIX A
MEAL SAMPLING LOG SHEETS

Bottle #	① (g) Bottle Wt	MFA	DATE	TIME		
		GRINDER	7-6-79	2:18		
238	93.10	"	"	"		
239	92.80	GRINDER	"	"		
240	93.09	COOLER	"	2:23		
241	92.63	"	"	"		
242	93.00	"	"	"		
243	92.76	P.T.	"	2:26		
244	92.83	"	"	"		
245	92.66	"	"	"		
246	92.67	GRINDER	"	4:11		
247	92.60	"	"	"		
248	92.84	COOLER	"	4:15		
249	92.98	GRINDER	"	4:11		
250	92.77	"	"	4:15		
251	93.13	"	"	"		
252	93.12	P.T.	"	4:16		
253	93.15	"	"	"		
254	92.95	"	"	"		
255	92.87	GRINDER	7-6-79	3:20		
256	93.04	"	"	"		
257	93.01	"	"	"		
258	92.82	COOLER	"	3:24		
259	93.00	"	"	"		
260	93.45	"	"	"		
261	93.01	P.T.	"	3:26		
262	92.99	"	"	"		
263	92.83	"	"	"		
264	92.79					
265	92.74					
266	92.66					
267	92.92					
268	92.72					
269	92.79					
270	92.78					
271	92.76					
272	92.73					
273	92.91					
274	92.67					

APPENDIX B
MEAL SAMPLES LABORATORY ANALYSIS REPORT

DATA SHEET

Plant: M.F.A., Mexico Missouri

Date: July 6, 1979

Date Analysis	Sample No.-Location	Sample Date	Time	Wet Wt. (g)	Wet (ug/g)	Dry (ug/g)
8/1/79	201 Grinder	7/6/79	10:18 pm	3.19	200	250
8/1/79 (A)	202 Grinder	7/6/79	10:18 pm	2.40	120	150
8/1/79	203 Grinder	7/6/79	10:18 pm	2.61	140	180
7/18/79	204 Cooler	7/6/79	10:23 pm	1.19	790	- ①
8/1/79	205 Cooler	7/6/79	10:23 pm	2.35	220	410
8/1/79	206 Cooler	7/6/79	10:23 pm	2.74	310	400
8/1/79	207 D.T.	7/6/79	10:27 pm	2.23	160	210
7/18/79	208 D.T.	7/6/79	10:27 pm	1.57	460	- ①
8/1/79	209 D.T.	7/6/79	10:27 pm	2.21	270	370
8/1/79	210 Grinder	7/6/79	11:17 pm	2.79	89	133
8/1/79	211 Grinder	7/6/79	11:17 pm	2.11	95	110
7/18/79	212 Grinder	7/6/79	11:17 pm	1.56	260	- ①
8/1/79	213 Cooler	7/6/79	11:25 pm	2.64	120	160
8/1/79	214 Cooler	7/6/79	11:25 pm	2.61	110	150
8/1/79	215 Cooler	7/6/79	11:25 pm	2.54	120	150
8/1/79 (A)	216 D.T.	7/6/79	11:28 pm	2.27	200	270
8/1/79	217 D.T.	7/6/79	11:28 pm	1.82	250	360
8/1/79	218 D.T.	7/6/79	11:28 pm	2.31	210	280
8/1/79	219 Grinder	7/6/79	12:22 pm	2.15	110	150
8/1/79	220 Grinder	7/6/79	12:22 pm	2.58	110	140
8/6/79	221 Grinder	7/6/79	12:22 pm	2.17	150	190
8/6/79	222 Cooler	7/6/79	12:25 pm	2.87	230	290
8/6/79	223 Cooler	7/6/79	12:25 pm	2.15	150	240
8/6/79	224 Cooler	7/6/79	12:25 pm	2.60	190	240
8/6/79	225 D.T.	7/6/79	12:28 pm	2.18	320	440
8/6/79	226 D.T.	7/6/79	12:28 pm	2.16	350	500
8/6/79	227 D.T.	7/6/79	12:28 pm	2.55	290	430
8/7/79	228 Grinder	7/6/79	1:20 pm	1.90	190	250
8/7/79	229 Grinder	7/6/79	1:20 pm	2.64	110	130
8/7/79	230 Grinder	7/6/79	1:20 pm	2.32	130	160

① Dry weight was not recorded.

(A) Duplicate injection of this sample produced a 5 to 10% difference.

DATA SHEET

Plant: M.F.A., Mexico MissouriDate: July 6, 1979

<u>Date Analysis</u>	<u>Sample No.-Location</u>	<u>Sample Date</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Wet Wt. (g)</u>	<u>Wet (µg/g)</u>	<u>Dry (µg/g)</u>
8/7/79	231 Cooler	7/6/79	1:24 pm	2.89	220	280
8/7/79	232 Cooler	7/6/79	1:24 pm	3.15	180	220
8/7/79	233 Cooler	7/6/79	1:24 pm	3.31	210	260
8/7/79	234 D.T.	7/6/79	1:28 pm	2.56	230	320
8/7/79	235 D.T.	7/6/79	1:28 pm	1.89	300	420
8/7/79	236 D.T.	7/6/79	1:28 pm	2.25	300	410
8/7/79	237 Grinder	7/6/79	2:18 pm	2.64	120	150
8/7/79	238 Grinder	7/6/79	2:18 pm	2.41	160	190
8/7/79	239 Grinder	7/6/79	2:18 pm	2.25	170	220
8/8/79	240 Cooler	7/6/79	2:23 pm	2.10	120	130
8/8/79	241 Cooler	7/6/79	2:23 pm	2.50	150	180
8/8/79	242 Cooler	7/6/79	2:23 pm	2.40	180	210
8/8/79	243 D.T.	7/6/79	2:26 pm	1.82	200	260
8/8/79	244 D.T.	7/6/79	2:26 pm	2.11	320	390
8/8/79	245 D.T.	7/6/79	2:26 pm	1.75	190	250
8/7/79	246 Grinder	7/6/79	4:11 pm	2.46	150	200
8/7/79	247 Grinder	7/6/79	4:11 pm	2.18	140	190
7/18/79	249 Grinder	7/6/79	4:11 pm	1.63	330	- ①
8/7/79	248 Cooler	7/6/79	4:15 pm	2.73	340	- ①
8/7/79	250 Cooler	7/6/79	4:15 pm	2.55	300	760
8/7/79	251 Cooler	7/6/79	4:15 pm	2.61	220	300
8/7/79	252 D.T.	7/6/79	4:16 pm	1.86	220	310
8/7/79	253 D.T.	7/6/79	4:16 pm	1.81	250	360
8/7/79	254 D.T.	7/6/79	4:16 pm	2.10	210	290
8/7/79	255 Grinder	7/6/79	3:20 pm	2.16	170	230
8/7/79	256 Grinder	7/6/79	3:20 pm	2.49	150	200
8/7/79	257 Grinder	7/6/79	3:20 pm	2.32	140	200
8/8/79	258 Cooler	7/6/79	3:24 pm	2.28	230	280
8/8/79	259 Cooler	7/6/79	3:24 pm	2.52	330	390
8/8/79	260 Cooler	7/6/79	3:24 pm	2.30	220	260
8/8/79	261 D.T.	7/6/79	3:26 pm	2.32	230	290
8/8/79	262 D.T.	7/6/79	3:26 pm	2.01	350	440
8/8/79	263 D.T.	7/6/79	3:26 pm	2.05	290	350

① Dry weight was not recorded.

APPENDIX C
STACK OPACITY DATA SHEETS

PAGE. / of 2

HOURS OF OBSERVATION 1.60
OBSERVER ROGER SCHUMER
OBSERVER CERTIFICATION DATE 5/16/79
OBSERVER AFFILIATION PESCB
POINT OF EMISSIONS BLOWER WITH #1
HEIGHT OF DISCHARGE POINT 3' ABOVE ROOF

Initial			Final
8'			
W			
ROCK TOP			
WHITE GRAIN TOWER			
FROM EAST			
6-9			
72°F			
SCATTERED CLOUDS			
NONE VISIBLE			

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE OPACITY

[illegible]

Readings ranged from ___ to ___ % opacity. The source was not in compliance with ___ at the time evaluation was made.

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD

PAGE 2 OF 2

COMPANY YFA
 LOCATION MEXICO, MISSOURI
 TEST NUMBER 7-6-74
 DATE 7-6-74
 OBSERVER ROGER SCHUMER
 TYPE FACILITY ASPIRATOR
 POINT OF EMISSIONS 1 SQUARE
BLOWER EXHAUST

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45	60	Attached	Detached	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
5	0	0	0	0	0	0			
6	0	0	0	0	0	0			
7	0	0	0	0	0	0			
8	0	0	0	0	0	0			
9	0	0	0	0	0	0			
10	0	0	0	0	0	0			
11	0	0	0	0	0	0			
12	0	0	0	0	0	0			
13	0	0	0	0	0	0			
14	0	0	0	0	0	0			
15	0	0	0	0	0	0			
16	0	0	0	0	0	0			
17	0	0	0	0	0	0			
18	0	0	0	0	0	0			
19	0	0	0	0	0	0			
20	0	0	0	0	0	0			
21	0	0	0	0	0	0			
22	0	0	0	0	0	0			
23	0	0	0	0	0	0			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0			

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD

PAGE 1 OF 1

COMPANY _____
 LOCATION _____
 TEST NUMBER _____
 DATE _____
 OBSERVER _____
 TYPE FACILITY _____
 POINT OF EMISSIONS _____

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45	60	Attached	Detached	
30									
31									
32									
33									
34									
35									
36									
37									
38									
39									
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
45									
46									
47									
48									
49									
50									
51									
52									
53									
54									
55									
56									
57									
58									
59									

[ETD Dec 74-2010 Filed 11-11-74; 8:46 am]

COMPANY MPA
LOCATION MEXICO, MISSOURI
TEST NUMBER _____
DATE 7/6/79
TYPE FACILITY CRVE
CONTROL DEVICE CRVE

HOURS OF OBSERVATION 60
OBSERVER ROGER H. SCHUMER
OBSERVER CERTIFICATION DATE 5/16/79
OBSERVER AFFILIATION PEPCO
POINT OF EMISSIONS BLOWER
HEIGHT OF DISCHARGE POINT 41

Initial			Final
15'			
EAST			
GROUND			
LEVEL			
WHITE			
SKY			
FROM			
EAST			
6-A			
720			
8409			
2000S			
ATTACHED			
STEP			
15			

CLOCK TIME 1150-2:02

OBSERVER LOCATION
Distance to Discharge

Direction from Discharge

Height of Observation Point

BACKGROUND DESCRIPTION

WEATHER CONDITIONS
Wind Direction

Wind Speed

Ambient Temperature

SKY CONDITIONS (clear, overcast, & clouds, etc.)

Color

Distance Visible

OTHER INFORMATION

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE OPACITY

[illegible]

Readings ranged from ___ to ___ % opacity

The source was/was not in compliance with ____ at the time evaluation was made.

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD

COMPANY MFA OBSERVER ROGER H. SCHUMER
 LOCATION MEXICO, NUSCOAR 1 TYPE FACILITY PEPPER
 TEST NUMBER 716-179 POINT OF EMISSIONS PEPPER
 DATE 7/16/79

COMPANY _____ OBSERVER _____
 LOCATION _____ TYPE FACILITY _____
 TEST NUMBER _____ POINT OF EMISSIONS _____
 DATE _____

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45	59	Attached	Detached	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
5	0	0	0	0	0	0			
6	0	0	0	0	0	0			
7	0	0	0	0	0	0			
8	0	0	0	0	0	0			
9	0	0	0	0	0	0			
10	0	0	0	0	0	0			
11	0	0	0	0	0	0			
12	0	0	0	0	0	0			
13	0	0	0	0	0	0			
14	0	0	0	0	0	0			
15	0	0	0	0	0	0			
16	0	0	0	0	0	0			
17	0	0	0	0	0	0			
18	0	0	0	0	0	0			
19	0	0	0	0	0	0			
20	0	0	0	0	0	0			
21	0	0	0	0	0	0			
22	0	0	0	0	0	0			
23	0	0	0	0	0	0			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45	59	Attached	Detached	
0	30								
1	31								
2	32								
3	33								
4	34								
5	35								
6	36								
7	37								
8	38								
9	39								
10	40								
11	41								
12	42								
13	43								
14	44								
15	45								
16	46								
17	47								
18	48								
19	49								
20	50								
21	51								
22	52								
23	53								
24	54								
25	55								
26	56								
27	57								
28	58								
29	59								

[PR Doc 76-35160 Filed 11-11-76; 0:46 am]

PAGE 1 of 2

HOURS OF OBSERVATION 60
OBSERVER ROGER H. SCHUMER
OBSERVER CERTIFICATION DATE 5/16/79
OBSERVER AFFILIATION PEPCO
POINT OF EMISSIONS 8" Chim Pipe 25' W. of
HEIGHT OF DISCHARGE POINT 35'

Initial			Final
100'			
SOUTH			
GIFOUND LEVEE			
WHITE SKY			
FAIR			
FAIR			
7-8			
SCALY BLUE			
NONE VISIBLE			

CLOCK TIME 12:03-12:15

OBSERVER LOCATION
Distance to Discharge

Direction from Discharge

Height of Observation Point

BACKGROUND DESCRIPTION

WEATHER CONDITIONS
Wind Direction

Wind Speed

Ambient Temperature

SKY CONDITIONS (clear, overcast, & clouds, etc.)

PLUME DESCRIPTION
Color

Distance Visible

OTHER INFORMATION

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE OPACITY

[illegible]

Readings ranged from _____ to _____ % opacity

The source was/was not in compliance with ____ at the time evaluation was made.

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD (Continued)

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD

COMPANY MFA OBSERVER ROGER SCHUMER
 LOCATION MEXICOMISSOURI TYPE FACILITY HEXANE EXTRACTION
 TEST NUMBER 116179 POINT OF EMISSIONS 8" dia pipe exhausting downwind
 DATE 11-11-74

COMPANY MFA OBSERVER ROGER SCHUMER
 LOCATION MEXICOMISSOURI TYPE FACILITY HEXANE EXTRACTION
 TEST NUMBER 116179 POINT OF EMISSIONS 8" dia pipe exhausting downwind
 DATE 11-11-74

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45		Attached	Detached	
	30								
	31								
	32								
	33								
	34								
	35								
	36								
	37								
	38								
	39								
	40								
	41								
	42								
	43								
	44								
	45								
	46								
	47								
	48								
	49								
	50								
	51								
	52								
	53								
	54								
	55								
	56								
	57								
	58								
	59								

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45		Attached	Detached	
	0								
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10								
	11								
	12								
	13								
	14								
	15								
	16								
	17								
	18								
	19								
	20								
	21								
	22								
	23								
	24								
	25								
	26								
	27								
	28								
	29								

[PR Doc:74-26160 Filed 11-11-74; 8:45 AM]

PAGE 1 of 2

COMPANY MFA
LOCATION MEXICO, MISSOURI
TEST NUMBER _____
DATE 7-6-79
TYPE FACILITY COLDER
CONTROL DEVICE CYCLONE-HIGH

HOURS OF OBSERVATION 60
OBSERVER ROGER SCHUMER
OBSERVER CERTIFICATION DATE 5/16/79
OBSERVER AFFILIATION PEI
POINT OF EMISSIONS ENHAW
HEIGHT OF DISCHARGE POINT 6'

EVIDENCE DOWNWARD

[illegible]

Readings ranged from ___ to ___ % opacity

Initial	Final
20'	
SOUTH	
GROUND	
LEVEL	
GRAY	
MATERIAL	
FROM	
EAST	
6-9	
72°F	
SCATTERED	
CLOUDS	
NONE	
VISIBILITY	

CLOCK TIME 1158

OBSERVER LOCATION
Distance to Discharge

Direction from Discharge

Height of Observation Point

BACKGROUND DESCRIPTION

WEATHER CONDITIONS
Wind Direction

Wind Speed

Ambient Temperature

SKY CONDITIONS (clear, overcast, & clouds, etc.)

PLUME DESCRIPTION
Color

Distance Visible

OTHER INFORMATION

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD

PAGE 2 OF 2

COMPANY MFA
 LOCATION MEXICO, MISSOURI
 TEST NUMBER 7/6/79
 DATE 7/6/79

OBSERVER BOYER SCHUMER
 TYPE FACILITY COAL FUELED
 POINT OF EMISSIONS SQUARE DUCT
1.5' X 1.5'

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45	60	Attached	Detached	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
5	0	0	0	0	0	0			
6	0	0	0	0	0	0			
7	0	0	0	0	0	0			
8	0	0	0	0	0	0			
9	0	0	0	0	0	0			
10	0	0	0	0	0	0			
11	0	0	0	0	0	0			
12	0	0	0	0	0	0			
13	0	0	0	0	0	0			
14	0	0	0	0	0	0			
15	0	0	0	0	0	0			
16	0	0	0	0	0	0			
17	0	0	0	0	0	0			
18	0	0	0	0	0	0			
19	0	0	0	0	0	0			
20	0	0	0	0	0	0			
21	0	0	0	0	0	0			
22	0	0	0	0	0	0			
23	0	0	0	0	0	0			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0			

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD
(Continued)

PAGE ___ OF ___

COMPANY _____
 LOCATION _____
 TEST NUMBER _____
 DATE _____

OBSERVER _____
 TYPE FACILITY _____
 POINT OF EMISSIONS _____

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45	60	Attached	Detached	
30									
31									
32									
33									
34									
35									
36									
37									
38									
39									
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
45									
46									
47									
48									
49									
50									
51									
52									
53									
54									
55									
56									
57									
58									
59									

[FBI Doc. 74-30160 Filed 11-11-74; 8:40 am]

COMPANY MEA
LOCATION MEXICO MISSOURI
TEST NUMBER
DATE 7/6/79
TYPE FACILITY MEAL PREP BLDG
CONTROL DEVICE RACHOUSE

HOURS OF OBSERVATION 160
OBSERVER ROGER SCHUMER
OBSERVER CERTIFICATION DATE 5/16/79
OBSERVER AFFILIATION FEI
POINT OF EMISSIONS 2' dia. direct above
HEIGHT OF DISCHARGE POINT 3'

CLOCK TIME 11:45

OBSERVER LOCATION
Distance to Discharge

Direction from Discharge

Height of Observation Point

BACKGROUND DESCRIPTION

WEATHER CONDITIONS
Wind Direction

Wind Speed

Ambient Temperature

SKY CONDITIONS (clear, overcast, & clouds, etc.)

[illegible]

Distance Visible

OTHER INFORMATION

Initial	Final
20'	
N	
GROUND LEVEL	
GRAY METAL	
FROM EAST	
b-9	
72°F	
SCATTERED CLOUDS	
NINE VISIBLE	

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE OPACITY

[illegible]

Readings ranged from ___ to ___ % opacity

The source was/was not in compliance with ___ at the time evaluation was made.

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD (Continued)

PAGE 1 OF 1

COMPANY MEA OBSERVER ROGER SCHUMER
 LOCATION MEXICO, MISSOURI TYPE FACILITY MEAL PREP BLDG
 TEST NUMBER 2167 POINT OF EMISSIONS 2167
 DATE 11/16/74 *discharging downward*

COMPANY _____
 LOCATION _____
 TEST NUMBER _____
 DATE _____

OBSERVER _____
 TYPE FACILITY _____
 POINT OF EMISSIONS _____

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45	59	Attached	Detached	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
5	0	0	0	0	0	0			
6	0	0	0	0	0	0			
7	0	0	0	0	0	0			
8	0	0	0	0	0	0			
9	0	0	0	0	0	0			
10	0	0	0	0	0	0			
11	0	0	0	0	0	0			
12	0	0	0	0	0	0			
13	0	0	0	0	0	0			
14	0	0	0	0	0	0			
15	0	0	0	0	0	0			
16	0	0	0	0	0	0			
17	0	0	0	0	0	0			
18	0	0	0	0	0	0			
19	0	0	0	0	0	0			
20	0	0	0	0	0	0			
21	0	0	0	0	0	0			
22	0	0	0	0	0	0			
23	0	0	0	0	0	0			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45	59	Attached	Detached	
30	0	0	0	0	0	0			
31	0	0	0	0	0	0			
32	0	0	0	0	0	0			
33	0	0	0	0	0	0			
34	0	0	0	0	0	0			
35	0	0	0	0	0	0			
36	0	0	0	0	0	0			
37	0	0	0	0	0	0			
38	0	0	0	0	0	0			
39	0	0	0	0	0	0			
40	0	0	0	0	0	0			
41	0	0	0	0	0	0			
42	0	0	0	0	0	0			
43	0	0	0	0	0	0			
44	0	0	0	0	0	0			
45	0	0	0	0	0	0			
46	0	0	0	0	0	0			
47	0	0	0	0	0	0			
48	0	0	0	0	0	0			
49	0	0	0	0	0	0			
50	0	0	0	0	0	0			
51	0	0	0	0	0	0			
52	0	0	0	0	0	0			
53	0	0	0	0	0	0			
54	0	0	0	0	0	0			
55	0	0	0	0	0	0			
56	0	0	0	0	0	0			
57	0	0	0	0	0	0			
58	0	0	0	0	0	0			
59	0	0	0	0	0	0			

[PR Doc.74-38160 Filed 11-11-74; 8:46 am]

COMPANY MFA
LOCATION MEXICO, MISSOURI
TEST NUMBER _____
DATE 7-6-79
TYPE FACILITY BEAN CONDITIONER
CONTROL DEVICE NONE

HOURS OF OBSERVATION 60
OBSERVER ROGER H. SCHUMER
OBSERVER CERTIFICATION DATE 5/16/79
OBSERVER AFFILIATION PEECO
POINT OF EMISSIONS 1' SQUARE DUCT WITH HATCH
HEIGHT OF DISCHARGE POINT 12' ABOVE ROOF

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE OPACITY

Initial	Final
81	
NORTH	
ROOFTOP	
BLUE SKY	
FROM EAST	
6-9	
720K	
SCATTERED CLOUDS	
NONE VISIBLE	

CLOCK TIME 12:53-1:05

OBSERVER LOCATION
Distance to Discharge
Direction from Discharge
Height of Observation Point

BACKGROUND DESCRIPTION

WEATHER CONDITIONS
Wind Direction
Wind Speed
Ambient Temperature

SKY CONDITIONS (clear,
overcast, % clouds, etc.)

PLUME DESCRIPTION
Color
Distance Visible
OTHER INFORMATION

[illegible]

Readings ranged from _____ to _____ % opacity

The source was/was not in compliance with _____ at the time evaluation was made.

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD (Continued)

FIGURE 9-2 OBSERVATION RECORD

COMPANY MFA OBSERVER ROGER SCHUMER
 LOCATION MEXICO, MISSOURI TYPE FACILITY BEAN CONDITIONER
 TEST NUMBER 1 POINT OF EMISSIONS top of bin
 DATE 7-6-79 DATE 7-6-79

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45		Attached	Detached	
	30								
	31								
	32								
	33								
	34								
	35								
	36								
	37								
	38								
	39								
	40								
	41								
	42								
	43								
	44								
	45								
	46								
	47								
	48								
	49								
	50								
	51								
	52								
	53								
	54								
	55								
	56								
	57								
	58								
	59								

Hr.	Min.	Seconds					STEAM PLUME (check if applicable)		COMMENTS
		0	15	30	45		Attached	Detached	
	0	0	0	0	0				
	1	0	0	0	0				
	2	0	0	0	0				
	3	0	0	0	0				
	4	0	0	0	0				
	5	0	0	0	0				
	6	0	0	0	0				
	7	0	0	0	0				
	8	0	0	0	0				
	9	0	0	0	0				
	10	0	0	0	0				
	11	0	0	0	0				
	12	0	0	0	0				
	13								
	14								
	15								
	16								
	17								
	18								
	19								
	20								
	21								
	22								
	23								
	24								
	25								
	26								
	27								
	28								
	29								

[PR Doc 74-36100 Filed 11-11-74; 9:46 AM]