

AP42 Section: 11.1

Reference Number: 386

**Title: Results Of The August 11 & 28, 1999 Air Emission
Compliance Tests On The Mathy/Northwoods
Paving Plant No. 25 Near Superior, Wisconsin,**

Interpoll Laboratories, Circle Pines, MN,

September 24, 1999.

Interpoll Laboratories, Inc.
4500 Ball Road N.E.
Circle Pines, Minnesota 55014-1819

TEL: (612) 786-6020
FAX: (612) 786-7854

**RESULTS OF THE AUGUST 11 & 28, 1999
AIR EMISSION COMPLIANCE TESTS
ON THE MATHY/NORTHWOODS
PAVING PLANT NO. 25
NEAR SUPERIOR, WISCONSIN**

Submitted to:

Mathy Construction Company
920 10th Ave. N.
Onalaska, Wisconsin 54650

Attention:

Tara Wetzel

Approved by:


Kathleen Eickstadt
Department Coordinator
Field Services Department

Report Number 9-13373
September 24, 1999
KE/kce

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ABBREVIATIONS	iii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	3
3	RESULTS	7
	3.1 Results of Gas Composition	8
	3.2 Results of Particulate Loading Determinations	11
	3.3 Results of Opacity Observations	14
	3.4 Results of Sulfur Dioxide Determinations	16
4	RESULTS OF FUEL ANALYSIS	18

APPENDICES:

- A - Sampling Train Calibration Data
- B - Location of Test Ports
- C - Field Data Sheets
- D - Interpoll Laboratories Analytical Results
- E - Computer Datalogger Printouts
- F - Gas Analyzer Specifications
- G - Measurement Systems Performance Specifications
- H - Calibration Gas Certification Sheets
- I - Process Rate Information
- J - Procedures
- K - Calculation Equations

ABBREVIATIONS

ACFM	actual cubic feet per minute
cc (ml)	cubic centimeter (milliliter)
DSCFM	dry standard cubic foot of dry gas per minute
DSML	dry standard milliliter
DEG-F (°F)	degrees Fahrenheit
DIA.	diameter
FP	finished product for plant
FT/SEC	feet per second
g	gram
GPM	gallons per minute
GR/ACF	grains per actual cubic foot
GR/DSCF	grains per dry standard cubic foot
g/dscm	grams per dry standard cubic meter
HP	horsepower
HRS	hours
IN.	inches
IN.HG.	inches of mercury
IN.WC.	inches of water
LB	pound
LB/DSCF	pounds per dry standard cubic foot
LB/HR	pounds per hour
LB/10 ⁶ BTU	pounds per million British Thermal Units heat input
LB/MMBTU	pounds per million British Thermal Units heat input
LTPD	long tons per day
MW	megawatt
mg/Nm ³	milligrams per dry standard cubic meter
ug/Nm ³	micrograms per dry standard cubic meter
microns (um)	micrometer
MIN.	minutes
ng	nanograms
ohm-cm	ohm-centimeter
PM	particulate matter
PPH	pounds per hour
PPM	parts per million
ppmC	parts per million carbon
ppm,d	parts per million, dry
ppm,w	parts per million, wet
ppt	parts per trillion
PSI	pounds per square inch
SQ.FT.	square feet
TPD	tons per day
ug	micrograms
v/v	percent by volume
w/w	percent by weight
<	≤ (when following a number)

Standard conditions are defined as 68°F (20°C) and 29.92 IN. of mercury pressure.

INTRODUCTION

On August 11 and 27, 1999 Interpoll Laboratories personnel conducted air emission compliance tests on the Mathy-Northwoods Paving Plant No. 25 located near Superior, Wisconsin. The plant was tested while fired with waste oil. On-site testing was performed on August 11 by Scott Fjelsta, Doug Peterson, and Jim Thoma. Testing on August 27 was performed by Troy Thome, Scott Fjelsta and Joe Tuma. Coordination between testing activities and plant operation was provided by Tara Wetzel of Mathy Construction. The tests were not witnessed by a representative of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

Testing on the No. 25 Asphalt Plant Stack was conducted from five test ports evenly spaced across the face of the stack. The ports are located 3.0 diameter equivalents downstream of the nearest flow disturbance and 0.50 diameter equivalents upstream of the stack exit. A 25-point traverse was used to collect representative particulate samples. Each traverse point was sampled 2.5 minutes to give a total sampling time of 62.5 minutes per run.

Particulate determinations were performed in accordance with EPA Methods 1 - 5, CFR Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A (revised July 1, 1999). A preliminary determination of the gas linear velocity profile was made before the first particulate determination to select the appropriate nozzle diameter for isokinetic sample withdrawal. An Interpoll Labs sampling train which meet or exceed specifications in the above-cited reference was used to extract particulate samples by means of heated glass-lined probes. A one-hour visible emission determination was performed on August 11 by Doug Peterson, a certified observer.

The O_2 , CO_2 , SO_2 determinations were performed in accordance with CFR Title 40, Part 60 Appendix B (revised July 1, 1998). Evaluations were performed in accordance with EPA Methods 3A and 6C. For oxygen analysis, a slip stream of sample gas was withdrawn from the exhaust gas stream using test ports (provided by the plant) on the stack using a heat-traced probe and filter assembly. After passing through the filter, the gas passed through two condenser-type moisture removal systems operating in series. The particulate-free dry gas was then transported to the oxygen analyzer with the excess exhausted to the atmosphere through a calibrated orifice which was used to ensure that the flow from the stack exceeds the requirements of the analyzer. For SO_2 and CO_2 analysis, a dilution probe based system was used. In this system, a slip stream of exhaust gas is drawn from the exhaust gas stream using

an EPM dilution probe. The sample stream is filtered and diluted (dilution during this test was 100:1) before delivery to the SO₂ and CO₂ analyzers. The analog response of the analyzers in both systems was recorded using a computer data logger and backed up with a strip chart recorder.

The O₂, SO₂, and CO₂ analyzers were calibrated with National Specialty Gases and Air Products and Chemicals standard gases. The instruments were calibrated before and after each run as per EPA Method 3A and 6C. The sample probe was moved through a three-point traverse (1/6, 3/6, 5/6 of the stack diameter) to measure total hydrocarbon and carbon monoxide concentrations.

The important results of the test are summarized in Section 2. Detailed results are presented in Section 3. Field data and all other supporting information are presented in the appendices.

2 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The important results of the air emission compliance tests are summarized in Tables 1-3. An overview of all results is presented in the tables on the following pages:

PARAMETER	LIMIT	MEASURED
<u>NO.25 ASPHALT PLANT STACK (8/11/99)</u>		
PM		
DRY CATCH ONLY (GR/DSCF)	0.04	0.15
. (LB/HR)	N/A	18
Sulfur Dioxide		
. (ppm,w)	N/A	47.9
. (LB/HR)	N/A	9.06
Opacity		
. (%)	20%	1.46
<u>NO.25 ASPHALT PLANT STACK (8/27/99)</u>		
PM		
DRY CATCH ONLY (GR/DSCF)	0.04	0.0088
. (LB/HR)	N/A	0.93

No difficulties were encountered in the field by Interpoll Labs or in the laboratory evaluation of the samples which were conducted by Interpoll Labs. On the basis of these facts and a complete review of the data and results, it is our opinion that the results reported herein are accurate and closely reflect the actual values which existed at the time the test was performed.

SO2 EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS

Mathy/Northwoods Paving Plant #25

Sulfur in Burner Fuel

$$S = 0.50\% \text{ by weight}$$

Mass of Oil = 7.43 lb/gallon

Mass Flow of Sulfur Dioxide from the Burner

Average burner fuel flow = 235 gallons/hour.

$$3235 \text{ gallons/hour} \times 7.43 \text{ lbs/gallon} \times .50/100 \text{ sulfur/oil} \times 2 \text{ SO}_2/S = \\ 17.46 \text{ lbs SO}_2/\text{hour generated in the plant drum by combustion.}$$

Mass Flow of Sulfur Dioxide from the Plant Stack

$$9.06 \text{ lbs/hour}$$

Sulfur Dioxide Capture Efficiency

$$EF = 100 \times (\text{Burner SO}_2 - \text{Stack SO}_2) / \text{Burner SO}_2$$

$$EF = 48.11 \%$$

comp\plt\so2test

Table 1. Summary of the Results of the August 11, 1999 NSPS Particulate Emission Compliance Test on the Northwoods Paving No. 25 Asphalt Plant Located Near Superior, Wisconsin.

ITEM	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
Date of test	08-11-99	08-11-99	08-11-99
Time runs were done (HRS)	840/ 945	1000/1106	1120/1225
Volumetric flow actual (ACFM) standard (DSCFM)	28184 13604	28070 14203	28322 14050
Gas temperature (DEG-F)	299	295	295
Moisture content (%V/V)	28.05	24.91	26.45
Gas composition (%V/V, dry) carbon dioxide oxygen nitrogen	5.22 13.51 81.27	4.99 13.53 81.48	5.37 13.27 81.36
Isokinetic variation (%)	98.3	94.5	98.4
Particulate concentration actual (GR/ACF) standard (GR/DSCF)	.0706 0.146	.0828 0.164	.0701 0.141
Part. emission rate (LB/HR)	17.07	19.92	17.01

Note: Dry Catch Only

Table 2. Summary of the Results of the August 27, 1999 Particulate Emission Compliance Test on the Northwoods Paving Asphalt Plant No. 25 Sited Near Superior, Wisconsin.

ITEM	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
Date of test	08-27-99	08-27-99	08-27-99
Time runs were done (HRS)	645/ 810	830/ 935	1007/1146
Volumetric flow actual (ACFM) standard (DSCFM)	28133 12844	27954 12884	25603 11434
Gas temperature (DEG-F)	309	323	323
Moisture content (%V/V)	31.20	29.24	31.44
Gas composition (%V/V, dry) carbon dioxide oxygen nitrogen	6.37 11.96 81.67	5.97 12.65 81.38	6.17 12.45 81.38
Isokinetic variation (%)	105.1	99.5	102.0
Particulate concentration actual (GR/ACF) standard (GR/DSCF)	.003367 .007378	.004470 .009703	.004093 .009169
Part. emission rate (LB/HR)	0.812	1.07	0.899

Note: Dry Catch Only

Table 3. Summary of the Results of the **Sulfur Dioxide** Emission Compliance Tests on the Northwoods Paving No. 25 Asphalt Plant Stack.

	Time	Concentration	Emission Rate
Date	(HRS)	(ppm,w)	(LB/HR)
No. 25 Asphalt Plant			
8-11-99	0840-0940	46.9	8.84
8-11-99	1000-1100	47.8	8.99
8-11-99	1120-1220	49.1	9.35
Avg		47.9	9.06

3 RESULTS

The results of all field and laboratory evaluations are presented in this section. Orsat (gas composition) and moisture is presented first followed by the computer printout of the particulate, sulfur dioxide and opacity results. Preliminary measurements including test port locations are given in the appendices.

The results have been calculated on a personal computer using programs written in Extended BASIC specifically for source testing calculations. EPA-published equations have been used as the basis of the calculation techniques in these programs. The emission rates have been calculated using the product of the concentration times flow method.

3.1 Results of Orsat and Moisture Determinations

Test No. 1
 Plant No. 25 Stack

Results of Orsat & Moisture Analyses-----Methods 3 & 4(%v/v)

	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
Date of run	08-11-99	08-11-99	08-11-99

Dry basis (orsat)

carbon dioxide.....	5.22	4.99	5.37
oxygen.....	13.51	13.53	13.27
nitrogen.....	81.27	81.48	81.36

Wet basis (orsat)

carbon dioxide.....	3.76	3.75	3.95
oxygen.....	9.72	10.16	9.76
nitrogen.....	58.47	61.18	59.84
water vapor.....	28.05	24.91	26.45
Dry molecular weight.....	29.38	29.34	29.39
Wet molecular weight.....	26.18	26.51	26.38
Specific gravity.....	0.904	0.916	0.911
Water mass flow.....(LB/HR)	14877	13217	14170

FO	1.416	1.477	1.421
----	-------	-------	-------

APPENDIX E

COMPUTER DATALOGGER PRINTOUTS

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant 25 Stack
8/11/99
Run 1

<u>Time</u>	<u>SO2 ppm, w</u>	<u>O2 %, d</u>	<u>CO2 %, w</u>
8:40 AM	50.91	12.80	4.24
8:41 AM	48.60	12.99	4.16
8:42 AM	47.33	13.14	4.08
8:43 AM	47.19	13.23	4.02
8:44 AM	46.99	13.30	4.04
8:45 AM	48.36	13.18	4.02
8:46 AM	49.16	12.86	4.16
8:47 AM	50.64	12.89	4.16
8:48 AM	50.12	13.00	4.11
8:49 AM	49.08	13.07	4.05
8:50 AM	48.99	13.17	4.01
8:51 AM	49.67	13.14	4.00
8:52 AM	50.74	12.81	4.13
8:53 AM	52.52	12.95	4.13
8:54 AM	50.84	12.94	4.10
8:55 AM	50.16	13.09	4.04
8:56 AM	50.85	13.14	4.01
8:57 AM	51.42	13.22	3.99
8:58 AM	51.26	12.96	4.08
8:59 AM	52.02	12.79	4.15
9:00 AM	51.92	13.03	4.08
9:01 AM	51.64	13.09	4.03
9:02 AM	52.07	13.16	4.00
9:03 AM	50.37	13.47	3.87
9:04 AM	47.16	13.98	3.62
9:05 AM	45.52	13.76	3.68
9:06 AM	43.86	13.93	3.60
9:07 AM	42.84	14.05	3.53
9:08 AM	41.74	14.03	3.51
9:09 AM	42.98	14.06	3.56
9:10 AM	42.90	14.05	3.53
9:11 AM	43.47	13.78	3.63
9:12 AM	46.45	13.62	3.72
9:13 AM	47.77	13.53	3.81
9:14 AM	47.25	13.58	3.79
9:15 AM	45.72	13.62	3.75
9:16 AM	45.69	13.69	3.70
9:17 AM	47.99	13.45	3.77
9:18 AM	48.23	13.28	3.91
9:19 AM	47.60	13.43	3.86

9:20 AM	47.02	13.59	3.77
9:21 AM	48.17	13.64	3.74
9:22 AM	47.80	13.67	3.74
9:23 AM	48.94	13.45	3.85
9:24 AM	48.98	13.23	3.93
9:25 AM	49.41	13.36	3.89
9:26 AM	49.61	13.43	3.86
9:27 AM	51.12	13.60	3.79
9:28 AM	48.01	14.01	3.67
9:29 AM	44.19	13.96	3.57
9:30 AM	42.64	13.71	3.65
9:31 AM	43.25	13.64	3.68
9:32 AM	44.38	13.54	3.74
9:33 AM	51.92	13.54	3.81
9:34 AM	44.71	15.84	2.97
9:35 AM	42.44	14.32	3.26
9:36 AM	40.74	13.32	3.69
9:37 AM	42.11	12.92	3.84
9:38 AM	43.12	12.91	3.96
9:39 AM	46.23	13.03	3.93
Average	47.58	13.43	3.85

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 1

VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE
NUMBER OF SAMPLE POINTS

25

<u>PT. NO.</u>		<u>DELTA P</u>	<u>SQ. RT P</u>	<u>TEMP</u>	<u>TIME</u>
1	A-1	.97	.985	298.6	8:40 AM
2	-2	1.75	1.323	298.6	
3	-3	1.5	1.225	298.6	
4	-4	1.5	1.225	298.6	
5	-5	1.55	1.245	298.6	
6	B-1	1.2	1.095	298.6	
7	-2	1.4	1.183	298.6	
8	-3	1.3	1.14	298.6	
9	-4	1.2	1.095	298.6	
10	-5	1.25	1.118	298.6	
11	C-1	.85	.922	298.6	
12	-2	1.25	1.118	298.6	
13	-3	1.1	1.049	298.6	
14	-4	1.1	1.049	298.6	
15	-5	1.2	1.095	298.6	
16	D-1	.98	.99	298.6	
17	-2	.98	.99	298.6	
18	-3	1.1	1.049	298.6	
19	-4	1.05	1.025	298.6	
20	-5	1.05	1.025	298.6	
21	E-1	.95	.975	298.6	
22	-2	.97	.985	298.6	
23	-3	1.15	1.072	298.6	
24	-4	1.1	1.049	298.6	
25	-5	1.2	1.095	298.6	
AVERAGE		1.186	1.085	298.6	9:43 AM

<u>MOISTURE CONTENT</u>	<u>DATA</u>	<u>FLOW RATE</u>	
METER VOLUME	38.52	STATIC PRESSURE	-.7
AVERAGE METER TEMP	78.6	PITOT COEF.	0.84
GAS METER COEF. (Y)	1.0019		
GAS METER DELTA H@	1.91	DUCT WIDTH(IN)	31.5
GRAMS OF WATER	303.	DUCT LENTGH(IN)	27.5
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE	28.9	DUCT AREA (SQ.FT.)	6.016
		STACK DIA. (IN)	.
STANDARD METER VOLUME	36.707	STACK AREA (SQ.FT.)	.
MOISTURE CONTENT	27.995		
		MOLECULAR WEIGHT (DRY)	29.375
OXYGEN %	13.515	MOLECULAR WEIGHT (WET)	26.191
CO 2 %	5.216	STACK PRESSURE	28.849
		FEET PER SECOND	78.063
STANDARD CFH	1,134,520	ACTUAL CFM	28175.9
K STANDARD CFM	18.909	DRY STANDARD CFM	13615.19

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 1

FIELD CALCULATIONS

RAW DATA TABLE

<u>INSTRUMENT</u>	<u>PPM OR %</u>	<u>ZERO</u>	<u>SPAN</u>	<u>GAS</u>	<u>C GAS</u>	
O2 (dry)	13.43	0.07	10.85	10.9	13.51	dry
CO2 (wet)	3.85	0.13	11.03	11.	3.76	wet
SO2 (wet)	47.58	1.27	89.85	89.8	46.95	wet
MOISTURE	27.99		STANDARD CFH		1,134.520	
FUEL FACTOR C	.		K STANDARD CFM		18.909	
DSCFM	13615.2					

RESULTS

O2 % (dry)	13.51		
CO2 % (dry)	5.22		
SO2 (dry)	65.2	SO2 LBS/HR	8.841
		Fo	1.416

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack
8/11/99
Run 2

<u>Time</u>	<u>SO2 ppm, w</u>	<u>O2 %, d</u>	<u>CO2 %, w</u>
10:00 AM	50.42	13.64	3.67
10:01 AM	50.09	13.56	3.69
10:02 AM	49.34	13.29	3.83
10:03 AM	49.34	13.43	3.80
10:04 AM	48.28	13.57	3.73
10:05 AM	48.25	13.66	3.68
10:06 AM	46.90	13.69	3.63
10:07 AM	47.20	13.72	3.68
10:08 AM	47.00	13.41	3.79
10:09 AM	47.45	13.46	3.77
10:10 AM	46.93	13.57	3.71
10:11 AM	47.75	13.74	3.65
10:12 AM	47.80	13.77	3.68
10:13 AM	48.07	13.78	3.67
10:14 AM	48.01	13.58	3.72
10:15 AM	49.79	13.40	3.84
10:16 AM	48.23	13.54	3.77
10:17 AM	48.48	13.64	3.76
10:18 AM	47.34	13.71	3.69
10:19 AM	46.83	13.73	3.68
10:20 AM	47.27	13.65	3.70
10:21 AM	49.18	13.38	3.83
10:22 AM	48.24	13.47	3.80
10:23 AM	47.06	13.58	3.75
10:24 AM	46.22	13.64	3.67
10:25 AM	44.89	13.70	3.62
10:26 AM	47.13	13.71	3.68
10:27 AM	47.50	13.41	3.81
10:28 AM	49.02	13.46	3.81
10:29 AM	48.31	13.46	3.77
10:30 AM	46.10	13.53	3.68
10:31 AM	45.89	13.62	3.70
10:32 AM	46.02	13.75	3.69
10:33 AM	46.59	13.53	3.74
10:34 AM	46.92	13.45	3.76
10:35 AM	48.53	13.33	3.77
10:36 AM	48.20	13.16	3.96
10:37 AM	49.77	13.32	3.90
10:38 AM	49.95	13.67	3.66
10:39 AM	49.16	13.15	3.94

10:40 AM	51.60	13.18	4.05
10:41 AM	51.35	13.05	4.03
10:42 AM	49.02	13.15	3.94
10:43 AM	48.26	13.29	3.85
10:44 AM	49.08	13.31	3.84
10:45 AM	49.19	13.30	3.88
10:46 AM	49.78	13.00	4.05
10:47 AM	51.16	13.07	4.04
10:48 AM	50.65	13.12	3.98
10:49 AM	49.96	13.23	3.93
10:50 AM	49.11	13.29	3.89
10:51 AM	49.12	13.37	3.88
10:52 AM	50.27	13.12	3.96
10:53 AM	49.89	13.02	3.99
10:54 AM	49.68	13.26	3.91
10:55 AM	46.44	13.59	3.79
10:56 AM	44.91	13.70	3.71
10:57 AM	44.68	13.74	3.64
10:58 AM	44.72	13.67	3.66
10:59 AM	46.00	13.45	3.74
Average	48.17	13.46	3.79

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 2

VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE
NUMBER OF SAMPLE POINTS 25

PT. NO.		DELTA P	SQ. RT P	TEMP	TIME
1	A-1	1.4	1.183	295.5	10:00 AM
2	-2	1.6	1.265	295.5	
3	-3	1.7	1.304	295.5	
4	-4	1.8	1.342	295.5	
5	-5	1.75	1.323	295.5	
6	B-1	1.1	1.049	295.5	
7	-2	1.1	1.049	295.5	
8	-3	1.2	1.095	295.5	
9	-4	1.2	1.095	295.5	
10	-5	1.1	1.049	295.5	
11	C-1	1.1	1.049	295.5	
12	-2	1.2	1.095	295.5	
13	-3	1.2	1.095	295.5	
14	-4	1.1	1.049	295.5	
15	-5	.98	.99	295.5	
16	D-1	1.08	1.039	295.5	
17	-2	1.	1.	295.5	
18	-3	1.05	1.025	295.5	
19	-4	.98	.99	295.5	
20	-5	1.05	1.025	295.5	
21	E-1	.99	.995	295.5	
22	-2	.98	.99	295.5	
23	-3	1.1	1.049	295.5	
24	-4	1.1	1.049	295.5	
25	-5	1.1	1.049	295.5	

AVERAGE 1.198 1.09 295.5

MOISTURE CONTENT

DATA

FLOW RATE

METER VOLUME	39.75	STATIC PRESSURE	-0.7
AVERAGE METER TEMP	83.6	PITOT COEF.	0.84
GAS METER COEF. (Y)	1.0019		
GAS METER DELTA H AT	1.91	DUCT WIDTH(IN)	31.5
GRAMS OF WATER	259.	DUCT LENTGH(IN)	27.5
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE	28.9	DUCT AREA (SQ.FT.)	6.0156
		STACK DIA. (IN)	0
STANDARD METER VOLUME	37.53	STACK AREA (SQ.FT.)	0.0000
MOISTURE CONTENT	24.531		
		MOLECULAR WEIGHT (DRY)	29.339
OXYGEN %	13.534	MOLECULAR WEIGHT (WET)	26.558
CO 2 %	4.986	STACK PRESSURE	28.849
		FEET PER SECOND	77.707
STANDARD CFH	1,133,974	ACTUAL CFM	28047.25
K STANDARD CFM	18.9	DRY STANDARD CFM	14263.39

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 2

FIELD CALCULATIONS

RAW DATA TABLE

<u>INSTRUMENT</u>	<u>PPM OR %</u>	<u>ZERO</u>	<u>SPAN</u>	<u>GAS</u>	<u>C GAS</u>	
O2 (dry)	13.46	0.05	10.85	10.9	13.53	dry
CO2 (wet)	3.79	0.13	10.83	11	3.76	wet
SO2 (wet)	48.17	1.65	89.13	89.8	47.76	wet
MOISTURE	24.53		STANDARD CFH		1,133,974	
FUEL FACTOR C	0		K STANDARD CFM		18.9	
DSCFM	14263.4					

RESULTS

O2 % (dry)	13.53		
CO2 % (dry)	4.99		
SO2 ppm (dry)	63.28	SO2 LBS/HR	8.989
		Fo	1.477

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack
8/11/99
Run 3

<u>Time</u>	<u>SO2 ppm, w</u>	<u>O2 %, d</u>	<u>CO2 %, w</u>
11:20 AM	50.02	13.11	4.12
11:21 AM	54.10	13.46	3.89
11:22 AM	51.84	13.41	3.86
11:23 AM	51.26	13.46	3.84
11:24 AM	52.73	13.05	4.10
11:25 AM	51.76	13.13	4.01
11:26 AM	50.52	13.27	3.90
11:27 AM	50.02	13.44	3.83
11:28 AM	50.97	13.45	3.83
11:29 AM	51.53	13.45	3.90
11:30 AM	52.79	13.16	3.98
11:31 AM	53.96	13.10	3.99
11:32 AM	53.55	13.19	3.92
11:33 AM	52.11	13.36	3.90
11:34 AM	50.42	13.39	3.89
11:35 AM	49.98	13.41	3.85
11:36 AM	50.37	13.25	3.92
11:37 AM	50.76	13.04	3.98
11:38 AM	49.84	13.14	3.98
11:39 AM	50.22	13.35	3.89
11:40 AM	49.72	13.39	3.84
11:41 AM	48.01	13.37	3.84
11:42 AM	48.01	13.34	3.82
11:43 AM	49.52	12.99	4.02
11:44 AM	49.59	13.06	3.99
11:45 AM	49.76	13.12	3.94
11:46 AM	49.26	13.18	3.88
11:47 AM	48.41	13.20	3.87
11:48 AM	48.95	13.11	3.99
11:49 AM	50.19	12.83	4.10
11:50 AM	51.16	12.82	4.11
11:51 AM	52.21	12.93	4.06
11:52 AM	51.43	13.03	4.01
11:53 AM	51.44	13.05	4.05
11:54 AM	52.40	13.10	4.00
11:55 AM	52.47	12.87	4.07
11:56 AM	52.81	12.68	4.14
11:57 AM	51.91	12.89	4.11
11:58 AM	52.01	13.02	4.08
11:59 AM	48.99	13.43	3.84

12:00 PM	48.43	13.44	3.82
12:01 PM	47.53	13.39	3.79
12:02 PM	48.45	13.16	3.98
12:03 PM	48.31	13.31	3.93
12:04 PM	47.60	13.44	3.84
12:05 PM	47.58	13.57	3.79
12:06 PM	47.57	13.51	3.77
12:07 PM	48.14	13.46	3.84
12:08 PM	46.83	12.99	3.91
12:09 PM	45.91	13.11	3.87
12:10 PM	44.66	13.30	3.77
12:11 PM	43.48	13.47	3.71
12:12 PM	45.47	13.42	3.84
12:13 PM	47.22	13.44	3.82
12:14 PM	47.23	13.12	3.88
12:15 PM	47.31	12.93	3.97
12:16 PM	47.66	12.85	3.98
12:17 PM	48.80	13.04	4.01
12:18 PM	47.80	12.99	3.95
12:19 PM	48.81	12.91	4.00
Average	49.70	13.20	3.93

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 3

VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE
NUMBER OF SAMPLE POINTS 25

<u>PT. NO.</u>		<u>DELTA P</u>	<u>SQ. RT P</u>	<u>TEMP</u>	<u>TIME</u>
1	A-1	.96	.98	294.8	11:20 AM
2	-2	1.2	1.095	294.8	
3	-3	1.1	1.049	294.8	
4	-4	1.1	1.049	294.8	
5	-5	1.3	1.14	294.8	
6	B-1	1.05	1.025	294.8	
7	-2	1.2	1.095	294.8	
8	-3	1.	1.	294.8	
9	-4	.95	.975	294.8	
10	-5	1.	1.	294.8	
11	C-1	.98	.99	294.8	12:25 PM
12	-2	1.15	1.072	294.8	
13	-3	1.15	1.072	294.8	
14	-4	1.15	1.072	294.8	
15	-5	1.1	1.049	294.8	
16	D-1	1.15	1.072	294.8	
17	-2	1.3	1.14	294.8	
18	-3	1.3	1.14	294.8	
19	-4	.98	.99	294.8	
20	-5	1.1	1.049	294.8	
21	E-1	1.3	1.14	294.8	
22	-2	1.7	1.304	294.8	
23	-3	1.6	1.265	294.8	
24	-4	1.7	1.304	294.8	
25	-5	1.85	1.36	294.8	

AVERAGE	1.215	1.097	294.8
---------	-------	-------	-------

<u>MOISTURE CONTENT</u>	<u>DATA</u>	<u>FLOW RATE</u>	
METER VOLUME	40.36	STATIC PRESSURE	-0.7
AVERAGE METER TEMP	85.8	PITOT COEF.	0.84
GAS METER COEF. (Y)	1.0019		
GAS METER DELTA H AT	1.91	DUCT WIDTH(IN)	31.5
GRAMS OF WATER	289.	DUCT LENTGH(IN)	27.5
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE	28.9	DUCT AREA (SQ.FT.)	6.015625
		STACK DIA. (IN)	0
STANDARD METER VOLUME	37.53	STACK AREA (SQ.FT.)	0
MOISTURE CONTENT	26.616		
		MOLECULAR WEIGHT (DRY)	29.39
OXYGEN %	13.268	MOLECULAR WEIGHT (WET)	26.358
CO 2 %	5.368	STACK PRESSURE	28.849
		FEET PER SECOND	78.496
STANDARD CFH	1,146,555	ACTUAL CFM	28332.15
K STANDARD CFM	19.109	DRY STANDARD CFM	14023.19

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 3

FIELD CALCULATIONS

RAW DATA TABLE

<u>INSTRUMENT</u>	<u>PPM OR %</u>	<u>ZERO</u>	<u>SPAN</u>	<u>GAS</u>	<u>C GAS</u>	
O2 (dry)	13.2	0.04	10.85	10.9	13.27	dry
CO2 (wet)	3.93	0.10	10.80	11	3.94	wet
SO2 (wet)	49.7	1.84	89.37	89.8	49.11	wet
MOISTURE	26.62		STANDARD CFH		1,146,555	
FUEL FACTOR C	0		K STANDARD CFM		19.109	
DSCFM	14023.2					

RESULTS

O2 % (dry)	13.27		
CO2 % (dry)	5.37		
SO2 ppm (dry)	66.92	SO2 LBS/HR	9.345
		Fo	1.422

APPENDIX F

GAS ANALYZER SPECIFICATIONS

Servomex

INTERPOL LABORATORIES
4500 BALL ROAD N.E.
CIRCLE PINES, MN 55014-1819
(612) 786-6020

1420 Oxygen Analyser Instruction Manual

Ref: 01420/001A/0

Order as part No. 01420001A

was (7982-2842)

INTERPOL LABORATORIES
4500 BALL ROAD N.E.
CIRCLE PINES, MN 55014-1819
(612) 786-6020

1.3 Sampling System

The sampling system of the analyser includes a combination filter/automatic flow control device, designed to keep a constant flow of sample gas through the measuring cell for varying input pressures and to prevent the entrance of particulate matter into the measuring cell. Excess flow is vented to the by-pass.

1.4 Specification

Performance Specification (typical)

Repeatability: Better than $\pm 0.2\%$ O₂ under constant conditions.

Drift: Less than 0.2% O₂ per week under constant conditions. (Excluding variation due to barometric pressure changes; reading is proportional to barometric pressure.)

Outputs

Display: 3 1/2 digit LCD reading 0.0 to 100.0% oxygen with overrange capability.

Output: 0 to 1V (non-isolated) for 0 to 100% oxygen available on 'D' type connector located on the back panel of the instrument. Output impedance is less than 10 ohms.

Option: 4 - 20mA isolated, Max impedance 500 ohms.

Flow alarm output: Change over relay contact rated at 3A/115V ac, 1A/240V ac or 1A/28V dc. 4 sets of single pole changeover contacts. Alarm becomes active when sample gas flow through the analyser fails.

Sample requirements

Condition: Clean, dry gas with dew point 5 deg C below ambient temperature.

Inlet pressure: 0.5 to 3psig (3.5 to 21kPa). Inlet pressure changes within this range will change the reading by less than 0.1% O₂. May be operated up to 10psig (70kPa) with degraded stability.

Flowrate: 1.5 to 6 litres/minute approximately depending on sample pressure.

Filtering: 0.6 micron replaceable filter integral to the automatic flow control device.

Response time: Less than 15 secs. to 90% at an inlet pressure of 3psig (21kPa).

Inlet/vent connections: 1/4 inch OD tube (stainless steel) suitable for 6mm ID flexible tubing or 1/4 inch OD compression fittings.

Materials exposed to the sample: Stainless steel, Pyrex glass, brass, platinum, epoxy resin, Viton, polypropylene and glass fibre filter.

Physical Characteristics

Case: Steel and aluminium finished in epoxy powder paint.

Case classification: IP 20 (IEC 529) when fitted into the Servomex 1400 series 19 inch case.

Dimensions: See Figure 2.1.

Weight: 10Kg (22lb) approximately.

Electrical

AC Supply: 110 to 120V AC or 220 to 240V AC, +/-10%, 48 to 62Hz. Voltage selected by a voltage selector integral to the IEC supply plug.

Power required: 15VA maximum.

Environmental Limits

Operating ambient temperature: 0 to +40 deg C (32 to 104 deg F)

Storage temp. range: -20 to +70 deg C (-4 to 158 deg F)

Relative humidity: 0-85%, non-condensing.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR ACS MODEL 3300 CO₂ NDIR

Measuring principle	NDIR single beam method
Measurable gas components and measuring range	0 - 20%
Reproducibility	±0.5% of full scale
Stability	Zero drift; ±% of full scale/24H Span drift; ±% of full scale/24H
Noise	0.5% of full scale
Ambient temperature	-5 to 45°C
Ambient humidity	Less than 90% RH
Response time (90% of final reading)	Electrical system; 2 sec, 3 sec, 5 sec (selectable with connector) Response of actual gas; Within 15 sec (depending on cell length)
Indicator	100 linear division
Output signal	OUTPUT 1; DC 0 - 1 V OUTPUT 2; DC 0 - 10 mV or DC 0 - 100 mV or DC 0 - 1 V or DC 4 - 20 mA (Allowable load resistance 500Ω max.)
Linearity	Better than ±2% of full scale (when linearizer is used)
Power supply	AC 115 V ± 10%, 60 Hz

Power consumption	Approx. 30 VA
Materials of gas- contacting parts	Measuring cell; SUS304 Window; CaF ₂ Piping; Polyethylene
Sample gas flow rate	1ℓ/min ± 0.5ℓ/min
Sample gas temperature	0 to 55°C
Purging gas flow rate	1ℓ/min (to be flowed as occasion demands)
Warmup time	Approx. 2 hours
External dimensions	200 x 250 x 541 (H x W x D) mm
Weight	Approx. 11 kg
Finish Color	MUNSELL N1.5

Remarks: For combinations of measuring ranges for the dualcomponent analyzer, inquiry should be made to the manufacturer.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR SO₂ ANALYZER
WESTERN RESEARCH MODEL 721ATZ

Measuring principle	NDUV double beam method which uses 285 nm UV light for SO ₂ measurement and 585 nm visible light to compensate for contamination of all windows, detector drift or changes in the intensity of the radiation source
Range	SO ₂ : 0 - 500 ppm and 0 - 1000 ppm; but low range may be reduced to 0 - 100 ppm with full scale analog output; total dynamic range of 0 - 5000 ppm with 1 ppm readability
Accuracy	±2% f.s., worst case. Typically better than ±1% f.s.
Temperature drift	≤0.5% f.s./°C
Noise	0.5% of full scale, worst case
Ambient temperature	0 to 40 °C
Ambient humidity	Less than 100% RH
Response time (90% of final reading)	<5 seconds
Optical cell length	35 cm
Output signal	Panel display is digital - direct reading in ppm,w; output signal: 7 field-selectable potentiometric outputs of 1V, 2V, 5V, 10V DC and 100, 200, 500 mV DC. Two outputs per range are provided at the rear of the

instrument, standard. Unit equipped also
with 4 - 20 mA

Interferences No known interferences from O₂, CO₂, CO or
hydrocarbons; internally compensated for NO
interference

Linearity ±1.5% of full scale

Power supply AC 115 V ± 10%, 60 Hz

Power consumption Less than 575 watts

Electronic span value Nominal 766 @ 77 °F and 29.92 in Hg

Sample gas flow 1.0 - 5.0 LPM to give desired response time

Sample gas temperature 0 to 40 °C

Warmup time Approximately 30 minutes

External dimensions 7 x 19 x 22 (H x W x D) inch

Weight 40 LB

APPENDIX G

MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration Error

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack
8/11/99
Test 1

SO2 TEI Model 43B)

	Cylinder Value (ppm)	Analyzer Response (ppm)	Difference (ppm)	Span Value (ppm)	% of Span
Zero	0.00	1.05	1.05	200.00	0.53
Mid Level	89.90	90.35	0.45	200.00	0.22
High Level	134.00	130.96	3.04	200.00	1.52

CO2 (TEI Model 41H)

	Cylinder Value (ppm)	Analyzer Response (ppm)	Difference (ppm)	Span Value (ppm)	% of Span
Zero	0.00	0.10	0.10	20.00	0.50
Mid Level	11.00	11.13	0.13	20.00	0.65
High Level	16.60	16.55	0.05	20.00	0.25

O2 (Servomex Series 1400)

	Cylinder Value (ppm)	Analyzer Response (ppm)	Difference (ppm)	Span Value (ppm)	% of Span
Zero	0.00	0.07	0.07	25.00	0.28
Mid Level	10.90	10.89	0.01	25.00	0.04
High Level	21.00	20.95	0.05	25.00	0.20

**** All Calibrations must be within 2% of the span value...

Calibration Drift

Mathy Constuction

Superior, WI

Plant No. 25 Stack

8/11/99

Test 1

O2					CO2					SO2					
	Initial	Final	Avg.		Initial	Final	Avg.		Initial	Final	Avg.		Initial	Final	Avg.
1	Zero	0.07	0.07	0.07	1	Zero	0.10	0.16	0.13	1	Zero	1.05	1.48	1.27	
	Upscale	10.85	10.84	10.85		Upscale	11.13	10.92	11.03		Upscale	90.35	89.35	89.85	
2	Zero	0.07	0.03	0.05	2	Zero	0.16	0.10	0.13	2	Zero	1.48	1.81	1.65	
	Upscale	10.84	10.86	10.85		Upscale	10.92	10.74	10.83		Upscale	89.35	88.90	89.13	
3	Zero	0.03	0.04	0.04	3	Zero	0.10	0.10	0.10	3	Zero	1.81	1.86	1.84	
	Upscale	10.86	10.84	10.85		Upscale	10.74	10.85	10.80		Upscale	88.90	89.83	89.37	

*** All Calibrations must be within 3% of the span value...

APPENDIX H

CALIBRATION GAS CERTIFICATION SHEETS

NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES
630 UNITED DRIVE
DURHAM, NC
27713

(919)544-3772
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS • EPA PROTOCOL MIXTURES

REFERENCE #:	88-62553	CYLINDER #:	C.C. 112307	CYL. PRESSURE:	2000 PSIG	P.O. #:	30283
EXP. DATE:	4/28/02	LAST ANALYSIS DATE:	4/28/99	CUSTOMER:	TWIN CITY OXYGEN		

METHOD: 1997.G-1 THIS STANDARD SHOULD NOT BE USED WHEN ITS GAS PRESSURE IS BELOW 1.0 MEGAPASCALS (150 PSIG).
ANALYZED ACCORDING TO EPA TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL FOR ASSAY AND CERTIFICATION OF GASEOUS CALIBRATION STANDARDS-SEPTEMBER

COMPONENT:	OXYGEN	COMPONENT:	CARBON DIOXIDE
STANDARD		STANDARD	
SRM #:	2658A	SRM #:	1675B
CYL. #:	CLM 6824	CYL. #:	CAL 014623
CONC:	9.68 %	CONC:	13.96% <i>Received 5-4-99</i>
INSTRUMENT:	BECKMAN PARAMAGNETIC	INSTRUMENT:	ROSEMOUNT NDIR
MODEL #:	755	MODEL #:	880A
SERIAL #:	1001419	SERIAL #:	2000418
LAST CAL:	4/7/99	LAST CAL:	4/14/99
MEAN CONC:	10.9% +/- 0.09 %	MEAN CONC:	11.0% +/- 0.09 %
REPLICATE CONC.		REPLICATE CONC.	
DATE:	4/28/99	DATE:	4/28/99
10.9 %		11.0 %	
10.9 %		11.0 %	
10.9 %		11.0 %	

BALANCE GAS: NITROGEN

REPLICATE DATA		REPLICATE DATA	
DATE: 4/28/99		DATE: 4/28/99	
Z 0.00	R 1931	C 2174	C 11.0
R 1930	Z 0.00	C 2173	C 11.0
Z 0.00	C 2171	R 1928	R 14.0

ANALYST:

R. SYKES

J. ERNST

Richard Sykes

Z= ZERO C=CANDIDATE R=REFERENCE

APPROVED BY:

THIS REPORT STATED ACCURATELY THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION MADE UPON THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED TO THE ANALYTICAL LABORATORY. EVERY EFFORT HAS BEEN MADE TO DETERMINE OBJECTIVELY THE INFORMATION REQUESTED. HOWEVER, IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT, NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES SHALL HAVE NO LIABILITY IN EXCESS OF ITS ESTABLISHED CHARGE FOR THE SERVICE.
ASSAYED AT: NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES, 630 UNITED DRIVE, DURHAM, NC 27713. (919)544-3772

NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES
630 UNITED DRIVE
DURHAM, NC
27713

(919)544-3772

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS - EPA PROTOCOL MIXTURES

REFERENCE #:	88-59508	CYLINDER #:	CC 46433	CYL. PRESSURE:	2000 PSIG	P.O. #:	28199
EXP. DATE:	8/17/98	LAST ANALYSIS DATE:	8/17/98	CUSTOMER:	TWIN CITY OXYGEN		

METHOD: ANALYZED ACCORDING TO EPA TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL FOR ASSAY AND CERTIFICATION OF GASEOUS CALIBRATION STANDARDS-SEPTEMBER 1997-0-1 THIS STANDARD SHOULD NOT BE USED WHEN ITS GAS PRESSURE IS BELOW 1.0 MEGAPASCALS (150 PSIG)

COMPONENT: OXYGEN		CARBON DIOXIDE	
STANDARD		STANDARD	
SRM #:	2659A	SRM #:	1675D
CYL. #:	CLM 6947	CYL. #:	CLM 6481
CONC:	20.72 %	CONC:	14.01 %
INSTRUMENT: BECKMAN PARAMAGNETIC		INSTRUMENT: ROSEMOUNT NDIR	
MODEL #:	755	MODEL #:	880A
SERIAL #:	1001419	SERIAL #:	2000418
LAST CAL.:	8/10/98	LAST CAL.:	8/9/98
MEAN CONC.:	21.0 %	MEAN CONC.:	16.6 %
REPLICATE CONC.	+/-	REPLICATE CONC.	+/-
DATE:	8/17/98	DATE:	8/17/98
21.0	%	16.6	%
21.0	%	16.7	%
21.1	%	16.6	%

ANALYZE GAS: NITROGEN

REPLICATE DATA		REPLICATE DATA		REPLICATE DATA	
DATE:	8/17/98	DATE:	8/17/98	DATE:	8/17/98
Z 0	R 20.7	C 21.0	Z 0	R 14.0	C 16.6
R 20.7	Z 0	C 21.0	R 14.0	Z 0	C 16.7
Z 0	C 21.1	R 20.7	Z 0	C 16.6	R 14.0

ANALYST: *[Signature]* **2 = ZERO C-CANDIDATE R-REFERENCE**
 THIS REPORT IS VALID ONLY IF THE ANALYST'S SIGNATURE IS PRESENT ON THE MATTER SUBMITTED TO THE ANALYTICAL LABORATORY. EVERY REPORT MUST BE SIGNED TO OBTAIN VALIDITY. REQUESTED INFORMATION IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT, NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES SHALL HAVE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE ANALYST'S OFFICE IN WRITING. (1994-1-1711)

For Technical Information Call
1-800-752-1597



Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. * 12722 S. Wentworth Avenue, Chicago, IL 60628

ISO CERTIFICATION: 9002

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS: EPA PROTOCOL GAS STANDARD

PERFORMED ACCORDING TO EPA TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL FOR ASSAY AND CERTIFICATION OF GASEOUS CALIBRATION STANDARDS (PROCEDURE #G1)

Customer: AIR PRODUCTS & CHEMICALS, INC. 373 CANTERBURY ROAD SHAKOPEE MN 55379	Order No: CSS-838253-01 Batch No: 861-43720 PO: 26902 Release:	Cylinder No: SG9153312BAL Bar Code No: DNN870 Cylinder Pressure*: 2000 psig Certification Date: 12/15/97 Expiration Date: 12/15/99
--	---	---

CERTIFIED CONCENTRATION			REFERENCE STANDARDS			ANALYTICAL INSTRUMENTATION			
Component	Certified Concentration	Cylinder Number	Standard Type	Standard Concentration	Instrument Make/Model	Serial Number	Last Calibration	Measurement	Principal
SULFUR DIOXIDE	89.8 ± 1.76 PPM	SG9137979	GMIS R	253.6 PPM	HORIB VIA-510	85079208	12/04/97	NON DISPERSIVE INFRARED	
NITROGEN Balance Gas									

* STANDARD SHOULD NOT BE USED BELOW 150 PSIG

James Laas

Approved By:
Richard Fry

For Technical Information Call
1-800-752-1597

AIR PRODUCTS

Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. * 12722 S. Wentworth Avenue, Chicago, IL 60628

ISO CERTIFICATION: 9002

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS: EPA PROTOCOL GAS STANDARD

PERFORMED ACCORDING TO EPA TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL FOR ASSAY AND CERTIFICATION OF GASEOUS CALIBRATION STANDARDS (PROCEDURE #G1)

Customer:

AIR PRODUCTS & CHEMICALS, INC.
373 CANTERBURY ROAD
SHAKOPEE MN 55379

Order No: CSS-109413-01
Batch No: 861-52469
PO:
Release:

Cylinder No: SG91488578AL
Bar Code No: DGG814
Cylinder Pressure*: 2000 psig
Certification Date: 01/05/1999
Expiration Date: 01/05/2001

CERTIFIED CONCENTRATION		REFERENCE STANDARDS			ANALYTICAL INSTRUMENTATION			
Component	Certified Concentration	Cylinder Number	Standard Type	Standard Concentration	Instrument Make/Model	Serial Number	Last Calibration	Measurement Principal
SULFUR DIOXIDE	134 ±1.5 PPM	SG91516668AL	GMIS R	252.9 PPM	HORIBA VIA-510	85079208	12/27/98	NON DISPERSIVE INFRARED
NITROGEN Balance Gas								

* STANDARD SHOULD NOT BE USED BELOW 150 PSIG

Analyst:
(16921)

James Laas
James Laas

Approved By:

Richard Fry
Richard Fry

Pub. No. 320.9702

APPENDIX I

PROCESS RATE INFORMATION

PR. HEAVY OIL

EFF % (G) 91.2
PR. HEAVY OIL

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KM QUINTOX **

DATE 88-11-22

HEAVY OIL

O2 % 7.8
CO PPM 398
PR. HEAVY OIL 0.23
EFF % (G) 82.7
XAIR % 59
CO2 % 9.9
NO2 PPM 0
REF. %O2 3.0
HEAVY OIL
FLUE .. F 428.1
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 73.9

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KM QUINTOX **

DATE 88-11-99
TIME 10:14:47

HEAVY OIL

O2 % 9.2
CO PPM 194
PR. HEAVY OIL 0.23
EFF % (G) 82.7
XAIR % 59
CO2 % 9.9
NO2 PPM 0
REF. %O2 3.0
HEAVY OIL
FLUE .. F 424.2
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 76.6

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KM QUINTOX **

DATE 88-11-99
TIME 11:27:57

HEAVY OIL

O2 % 9.9
CO PPM 253
PR. HEAVY OIL -0.03
EFF % (G) 82.1
XAIR % 75
CO2 % 9.0
NO2 PPM 235
NOX PPM 0
SO2 PPM 235
REF. %O2 3.0
HEAVY OIL
FLUE .. F 428.1
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 73.9

8/10/99

#17559

S-F ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES

7200 gal.

A Subsidiary of Sommer-Frey Laboratories

Como Lube & Supply
 P.O. Box 16987
 1108 Port Terminal Drive
 Duluth, MN 55816
 Attn: Laura Lott

Received: 03/26/1999
 Reported: 04/05/1999
 Project#: 99002029

PO: 18282

Analytical Results

Parameter	Result	MDL	Analyzed	By	Method
99A04852 : Used Oil 3/23/99					
Collected: 03/23/1999					
Amoclor 1016	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1221	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1232	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1242	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1248	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1254	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1260	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
BTU/pound	18840 BTU/lb	100	03/26/99	HTA	D240
BTU/Gallon	138100 BTU/gal	100	03/26/99	HTA	D240
Flash Point	>210 F		03/26/99	GGG	D3828
Sulfated Ash	0.85 %	0.01	03/29/99	GGG	D874
Sulfur	0.50 %	0.04	03/29/99	HTA	D129
Lead	17.7 ppm	0.1	03/29/99	GGG	6010
Halogens as Cl	456 mg/kg	100	03/29/99	HTA	D808
Sample pH	6.10 units		03/29/99	GGG	9040B
Calcium	980 mg/kg	0.1	03/29/99	GGG	6010
Arsenic	<0.5 ppm	0.5	03/29/99	GGG	6010
Cadmium	0.5 ppm	0.1	03/29/99	GGG	6010
Chromium	2.2 ppm	0.1	03/29/99	GGG	6010

GLA - WI DNR# 999917160

Gary G. Geipel
 Gary G. Geipel
 Senior Analyst

6125 West National Avenue, P.O. Box 14513, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53214

(414) 475-6700 FAX: (414) 475-7216

Toll-Free: 800-300-6700

• Dept. of Health State Certified Laboratory #168 • Dept. of Natural Resources State Certified Laboratory #241249360 •
 • USDA Accredited Laboratory #5581 • NIOSH Proficiency Analytical Testing Program •

TOTAL P.01

Environmental Programs Daily Tracking Form

Plant #: 25 Date: 8/11/99
Location: AMNCON
Plant Foreman: Richard Gale, 40

AIR QUALITY MONITORING

Production:

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage: 693.30
Diesel Fuel Gallons for Generator: 0
RAP % in mix: _____ RAP tons: _____
Mix Temperature (degrees F): 305
Hours of Operation: 4

Process fuel:

Fuel Type: Waste Amt: 1282
Burner Pressure: 42 Fuel Temp: 150
Fuel Type: _____ Amt: _____
Burner Pressure: _____ Fuel Temp: _____
Spec. Sheet Delivered: X yes _____ no

Baghouse:

Magnehelic dP: 3 inches of water
Photohelic dP: 15 inches of water
Optimum photohelic range from burner
tune-up: 15 inches of water
Photohelic malfunction: _____ yes _____ no
(If yes, next day DNR notification required)
Weekly Inspection of drum and door seals,
ducts, photohelic, damper, & other
system parts: X yes _____ no
Maintenance Performed: See below

50,000 ton Blacklight Baghouse Inspection:

X yes _____ no

Number of Bags Replaced: 14 See #9

Fugitive Dust Control:

Control Measures: X yes _____ no

Water Amt. Applied: _____

Chemical Amt. Applied: _____

Speed Limit Control (mph): 20

After a short start up #9 still
some dust. while on a rain delay change
the rest of the bags so all are
found a hole rusted to clean

Soil Remediation:

Soil Remediation Performed: _____ yes X no
Type: _____ commercial _____ own spill
Documented: _____ yes
(If yes, Complete Contaminated Soil
Tracking form and Monthly Soil
Remediation Summary form)

SPILL PREVENTION PLAN (SPCC)

Plant Inspection Conducted per SPCC
Inspection Outline (Attachment # 9)
X yes _____ no
Systems Locked out: _____ yes _____ no
Petroleum Equipment Maintenance: Clean
Scrubs & Nozzle
Weekly SPCC Meeting: X yes _____ no
Containment Water Released: _____ yes X no
(If yes, complete Water Drainage Form)

STORMWATER RUNOFF QUALITY

Best Mgmt. Practices (BMP) Applied: X yes _____ no
Petroleum Products Secure: X yes _____ no
Site Runoff Naturally Contained on Site:
X yes _____ no
If no, has containment been constructed:
_____ yes _____ no
Erosion Control Practices used (i.e. silt fence,
site seeding, slopes): _____

Rain Amount in last 24 hrs: .25 inches
Any known Petro. Contamination: _____ yes X no
Sediment Content:
X Low _____ Medium _____ High
(Water Containment Drainage
documentation is covered by SPCC)

To the best of my ability and to the extent that I am able to
observe under the conditions of this site, I have duly and
completed this daily form. Signature means that the inspections
have been completed and any observed deficiencies have been
noted and communicated to the supervisors.

Plant Foreman's Signature: _____

OPERATING DATA SUMMARY FOR ASPHALT PLANT SOURCES

Form OAS
01/29

Test Date(s): 8/10/99

General:

Plant Mfr. & Model No.: Barber-Greene BM55X350

This plant is (circle one): Portable Stationary

Type(circle all that apply): Batch Mix Continuous Mix Parallel Flow Drum Counterflow Drum
Other: _____

Pollution Control Equipment(circle one): Baghouse Venturi Scrubber Wet Scrubber
Multiclone Cyclone If wet scrubbing: _____ % scrubber water recycled

List Mfr. & Model No.: Barber-Greene

Normal pressure drop range across control equipment is .12 inches water

Was the control equipment operating normally during test?(circle one) yes no

If no, explain _____

Date & procedure of last maintenance/cleaning of control equipment: 8/2/99 black light

Fuel, Material Processed, and Control Equipment Information:

Itemize all fuels and materials added to the combustion process during the test period. List fuel type used during testing (if oil, specify type) _____. If other units of measure are used, specify and calculate appropriate heat input. What other types of fuel can be burned? _____

Test No.	Fuel Input (gal/hr)	Heat Content (Btu/gal-as received)	Heat Input (Btu/hr)	% Recycle ¹ (If Used)	% - 200 fines	%Moisture of Virgin Aggregate Material
Run 1	<u>235</u>			<u>0</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>
Run 2	<u>235</u>			<u>0</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>
Run 3	<u>235</u>			<u>0</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>

¹%R= [(Recycle) ÷ (Aggregate + Recycle)]

Other Control Equipment Parameters	Design	During Testing		
Cleaning Cycles		<u>18 sec. pulse</u>		
Air to Cloth Ratios				
No. of spray bars including delivery pressure	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
No. of nozzles per spray bar	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
		Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
pH		<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	
Pond Depth (list units)				
Total Suspended Solids				

Describe the location of the thermocouples reading exiting dryer and mix temperature: Inlet to baghouse, mix at chute to silo for mix temp

Note: All information required must be completed and submitted as part of the performance test. Failure to submit the required information will result in an incomplete performance test report.

[illegible]

OPERATING DATA SUMMARY FOR ASPHALT PLANT SOURCES

Form O.A.
01.7

Test Date(s): 8-27-99

General:

Plant Mfr. & Model No.: Barber-Greene DM-55

This plant is (circle one): Portable Stationary

Type(circle all that apply): Batch Mix Continuous Mix Parallel Flow Drum Counterflow Drum
Other: _____

Pollution Control Equipment(circle one): Baghouse Venturi Scrubber Wet Scrubber
Multiclone Cyclone If wet scrubbing: _____ % scrubber water recycled

List Mfr. & Model No.: Barber-Greene

Normal pressure drop range across control equipment is 2.5 inches water

Was the control equipment operating normally during test?(circle one) yes no

If no, explain _____

Date & procedure of last maintenance/cleaning of control equipment: _____

August 25, 1999

Fuel, Material Processed, and Control Equipment Information:

Itemize all fuels and materials added to the combustion process during the test period. List fuel type used during testing (if oil, specify type) oil. If other units of measure are used, specify and calculate appropriate heat input.

What other types of fuel can be burned? #2 diesel

Test No.	Fuel Input (gal/hr)	Heat Content (Btu/gal-as received)	Heat Input (Btu/hr)	% Recycle ¹ (If Used)	% - 200 fines	%Moisture of Virgin Aggregate Material
Run 1	<u>303</u>			<u>N/A</u>		<u>8.44</u>
Run 2						
Run 3						

¹%R = [(Recycle) ÷ (Aggregate + Recycle)]

Other Control Equipment Parameters	Design	During Testing		
Cleaning Cycles	<u>18-30 sec.</u>	<u>20</u>		
Air to Cloth Ratios				
No. of spray bars including delivery pressure				
No. of nozzles per spray bar				
		Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
pH	<u>N/A</u>			
Pond Depth (list units)				
Total Suspended Solids				

Describe the location of the thermocouples reading exiting dryer and mix temperature: Inlet to bag house & chute from drum.

Note: All information required must be completed and submitted as part of the performance test. Failure to submit the required information will result in an incomplete performance test report.

Time in 15 minute intervals	Virgin (V) Aggregate Material tons per hour	Recycle (R) Material tons per hour	Asphalt (A) Material tons per hour	Total Material Throughput (V+R+A) tons per hour	Temp. of Gases Exiting Dryer °F	Drum Mix Temp. °F	Dust Collector ΔP inches w.c.	Water Flow Rate gpm	Water Supply Pressure psig
6:45	134		7.9		355	300			
7:00	131		8.04		350	300			
7:15	139		8.02		360	312			
7:30	125		7.65		355	302			
7:45	127		7.39		340	300			
8:00	122		8.02		355	308			
8:15	126		7.96		350	304			
8:30	127		7.93		348	305			
8:45	131		7.96		340	301			
9:00	132		7.91		350	300			
9:15	134		7.87		350	299			
9:30	135		7.97		330	290			
9:45	134		7.96		340	303			
10:00	132		8.02		340	310			
10:15	137		7.97		335	303			
10:30	128		7.27		330	294			
10:45	127		7.68		340	311			
11:00	—								
11:30	110		6.52		355	320			
11:45	130		7.57		345	313			
Temp.-Temperature				gpm.-gallons per minute	°F.-degrees Fahrenheit	psi.-pounds per square inch	ΔP.-pressure drop		

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KM QUINTOX **

DATE 08-27-99
TIME 10:13:28

HEAVY OIL

O2 % 9.9
CO PPM .. 917
Pps mBar .. 0.01
EFF % CO 100.0
XAIR % 91
CO2 % 8.3
NO PPM .. 205
NO2 PPM 0
NOx PPM .. 205
SO2 PPM 3
REF. %O2 ... 3.0

NETT .. F -322.9
FLUE .. F -225.5
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 97.3

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KM QUINTOX **

DATE 08-27-99
TIME 08:42:57

HEAVY OIL

O2 % 10.2
CO PPM .. 1343
Pps mBar .. 0.01
EFF % CO 100.0
XAIR % 96
CO2 % 8.0
NO PPM .. 189
NO2 PPM 0
NOx PPM .. 189
SO2 PPM 3
REF. %O2 ... 3.0

NETT .. F -338.2
FLUE .. F -256.9
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 81.3

Environmental Programs Daily Tracking Form

Plant #: 25 Date: 8/27/99
 Location: Amn. con
 Plant Foreman: Richard G. L. L.

AIR QUALITY MONITORING

Production:

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage: 1131.35
 Diesel Fuel Gallons for Generator: 0
 RAP % in mix: _____ RAP tons: _____
 Mix Temperature (degrees F): 305
 Hours of Operation: 8

Process fuel:

Fuel Type: Waste Amt: 1892
 Burner Pressure: 42 Fuel Temp: 150
 Fuel Type: _____ Amt: _____
 Burner Pressure: _____ Fuel Temp: _____
 Spec. Sheet Delivered: X yes _____ no

Baghouse:

Magnehelic dP: 3.51 inches of water
 Photohelic dP: .15 inches of water
 Optimum photohelic range from burner
 tune-up: .15 inches of water
 Photohelic malfunction: _____ yes X no
 (If yes, next day DNR notification required)
 Weekly Inspection of drum and door seals,
 ducts, photohelic, damper, & other
 system parts: X yes _____ no
 Maintenance Performed: Stack test
to day

50,000 ton Blacklight Baghouse Inspection:
X yes _____ no

Number of Bags Replaced: _____

Fugitive Dust Control:

Control Measures: X yes _____ no
 Water Amt. Applied: _____
 Chemical Amt. Applied: _____
 Speed Limit Control (mph): 10

Soil Remediation:

Soil Remediation Performed: _____ yes X no
 Type: _____ commercial _____ own spill
 Documented: _____ yes
 (If yes, Complete Contaminated Soil
 Tracking form and Monthly Soil
 Remediation Summary form)

SPILL PREVENTION PLAN (SPCC)

Plant Inspection Conducted per SPCC
 Inspection Outline (Attachment # 9)

X yes _____ no
 Systems Locked out: X yes _____ no
 Petroleum Equipment Maintenance: Clean
Screens & Nozzle

Weekly SPCC Meeting: X yes _____ no
 Containment Water Released: _____ yes X no
 (If yes, complete Water Drainage Form)

STORMWATER RUNOFF QUALITY

Best Mgmt. Practices (BMP) Applied: X yes _____ no
 Petroleum Products Secure: X yes _____ no
 Site Runoff Naturally Contained on Site:
X yes _____ no
 If no, has containment been constructed:
 _____ yes _____ no
 Erosion Control Practices used (i.e. silt fence,
 site seeding, slopes): _____

Rain Amount in last 24 hrs: 0 inches
 Any known Petro. Contamination: _____ yes _____ no
 Sediment Content:

X Low _____ Medium _____ High
 (Water Containment Drainage
 documentation is covered by SPCC)

To the best of my ability and to the extent that I am able to
 observe under the conditions of this site. I have due diligently
 completed this daily form. Signature means that the inspections
 have been completed and any observed deficiencies have been
 noted and communicated to the supervisors.

Plant Foreman's Signature:

Richard G. L. L.

APPENDIX J

PROCEDURES

Particulate Loading and Emission Rates

The particulate emission rates were determined per EPA Methods 1 - 5, CFR Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A (revised July 1, 1992). In this procedure a preliminary velocity profile of the gases in the flue is obtained by means of a temperature and velocity traverse. On the basis of these values, sampling nozzles of appropriate diameter are selected to allow isokinetic sampling, a necessary prerequisite for obtaining a representative sample.

The sampling train consists of a heated glass-lined sampling probe equipped with a Type S pitot and a thermocouple. The probe is attached to a sampling module which houses the all-glass in line filter holder in a temperature controlled oven. The sampling module also houses the impinger case and a Drierite filled column. The sampling module is connected by means of an umbilical cord to the control module. The control module houses the dry test gas meter, the calibrated orifice, a leakless pump, two inclined manometers, and all controls required for operating the sampling train.

Particulate samples are collected as follows: The sample gas is drawn through the sampling probe isokinetically and passed through a 4-inch diameter Gelman Type A/E glass fiber filter where particulates are removed. The sample gas is then passed through an ice-cooled impinger train and a desiccant-packed column which absorbs remaining moisture. The sample gas then passes through a vacuum pump followed by a dry test gas meter. The gas meter integrates the sample gas flow throughout the course of the test. A calibrated orifice attached to the outlet of the gasmeter provides real time flow rate data.

A representative particulate sample was acquired by sampling for equal periods of time at the centroid of a number of equal area regions in the duct. The sampling rate is adjusted at each test point maintaining isokinetic sampling conditions. Nomographs are used for rapid determination of the sampling rate.

Method 6C—Determination of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions From Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

1. Applicability and Principle

1.1 Applicability. This method is applicable to the determination of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) concentrations in controlled and uncontrolled emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the regulations.

1.2 Principle. A gas sample is continuously extracted from a stack, and a portion of the sample is conveyed to an instrumental analyzer for determination of SO_2 gas concentration using an ultraviolet (UV), nondispersive infrared (NDIR), or fluorescence analyzer. Performance specifications and test procedures are provided to ensure reliable data.

2. Range and Sensitivity

2.1 Analytical Range. The analytical range is determined by the instrumental design. For this method, a portion of the analytical range is selected by choosing the span of the monitoring system. The span of the monitoring system shall be selected such that the pollutant gas concentration equivalent to the emission standard is not less than 30 percent of the span. If at any time during a run the measured gas concentration exceeds the span, the run shall be considered invalid.

2.2 Sensitivity. The minimum detectable limit depends on the analytical range, span, and signal-to-noise ratio of the measurement system. For a well designed system, the minimum detectable limit should be less than 2 percent of the span.

3. Definitions

3.1 Measurement System. The total equipment required for the determination of gas concentration. The measurement system consists of the following major subsystems:

3.1.1 Sample Interface. That portion of a system used for one or more of the following: sample acquisition, sample transport, sample conditioning, or protection of the analyzers from the effects of the stack effluent.

3.1.2 Gas Analyzer. That portion of the system that senses the gas to be measured and generates an output proportional to its concentration.

3.1.3 Data Recorder. A strip chart recorder, analog computer, or digital recorder for recording measurement data from the analyzer output.

3.2 Span. The upper limit of the gas concentration measurement range displayed on the data recorder.

3.3 Calibration Gas. A known concentration of a gas in an appropriate diluent gas.

3.4 Analyzer Calibration Error. The difference between the gas concentration exhibited by the gas analyzer and the known concentration of the calibration gas when the calibration gas is introduced directly to the analyzer.

3.5 Sampling System Bias. The difference between the gas concentrations exhibited by the measurement system when a known concentration gas is introduced at the outlet of the sampling probe and when the same gas is introduced directly to the analyzer.

3.6 Zero Drift. The difference in the measurement system output reading from the initial calibration response at the zero concentration level after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment took place.

3.7 Calibration Drift. The difference in the measurement system output reading from the initial calibration response at a mid-range calibration value after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment took place.

3.8 Response Time. The amount of time required for the measurement system to display 95 percent of a step change in gas concentration on the data recorder.

3.9 Interference Check. A method for detecting analytical interferences and excessive biases through direct comparison of gas concentrations provided by the measurement system and by a modified Method 6 procedure. For this check, the modified Method 6 samples are acquired at the sample by-pass discharge vent.

3.10 Calibration Curve. A graph or other systematic method of establishing the relationship between the analyzer response and the actual gas concentration introduced to the analyzer.

4. Measurement System Performance Specifications

4.1 Analyzer Calibration Error. Less than ± 2 percent of the span for the zero, mid-range, and high-range calibration gases.

4.2 Sampling System Bias. Less than ± 5 percent of the span for the zero, and mid— or high-range calibration gases.

4.3 Zero Drift. Less than ± 3 percent of the span over the period of each run.

4.4 Calibration Drift. Less than ± 3 percent of the span over the period of each run.

4.5 Interference Check. Less than ± 7 percent of the modified Method 6 result for each run.

5. Apparatus and Reagents

5.1 Measurement System. Any measurement system for SO₂ that meets the specifications of this method. A schematic of an acceptable measurement system is shown in Figure 6C-1. The essential components of the measurement system are described below:

5.1.1 Sample Probe. Glass, stainless steel, or equivalent, of sufficient length to traverse the sample points. The sampling probe shall be heated to prevent condensation.

5.1.2 Sample Line. Heated (sufficient to prevent condensation) stainless steel or Teflon tubing, to transport the sample gas to the moisture removal system.

5.1.3 Sample Transport Lines. Stainless steel or Teflon tubing, to transport the sample from the moisture removal system to the sample pump, sample flow rate control, and sample gas manifold.

5.1.4 Calibration Valve Assembly. A three—way valve assembly, or equivalent, for blocking the sample gas flow and introducing calibration gases to the measurement system at the outlet of the sampling probe when in the calibration mode.

5.1.5 Moisture Removal System. A refrigerator-type condenser or similar device (e.g., permeation dryer), to remove condensate continuously from the sample gas while maintaining minimal contact between the condensate and the sample gas. The moisture removal system is not necessary for analyzers that can measure gas concentrations on a wet basis; for these analyzers, (1) heat the sample line and all interface components up to the inlet of the analyzer sufficiently to prevent condensation, and (2) determine the moisture content and correct the measured gas concentrations to a dry basis using appropriate methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator. The determination of sample moisture content is not necessary for pollutant analyzers that measure concentrations on a wet basis when (1) a wet basis CO₂ analyzer operated according to Method 3A is used to obtain simultaneous measurements, and (2) the pollutant/CO₂ measurements are used to determine emissions in units of the standard.

5.1.6 Particulate Filter. An in-stack or heated (sufficient to prevent water condensation) out—of—stack filter. The filter shall be borosilicate or quartz glass wool, or glass fiber mat. Additional filters at the inlet or outlet of the moisture removal system and inlet of the analyzer may be used to prevent accumulation of particulate material in the measurement system and extend the useful life of the components. All filters shall be fabricated of materials that are nonreactive to the gas being sampled.

5.1.7 Sample Pump. A leak-free pump, to pull the sample gas through the system at a flow rate sufficient to minimize the response time of the measurement system. The pump may be constructed of any material that is nonreactive to the gas being sampled.

5.1.8 Sample Flow Rate Control. A sample flow rate control valve and rotameter, or equivalent, to maintain a constant sampling rate within 10 percent.

(Note: The tester may elect to install a back-pressure regulator to maintain the sample gas manifold at a constant pressure in order to protect the analyzer(s) from over pressurization, and to minimize the need for flow rate adjustments.)

5.1.9 Sample Gas Manifold. A sample gas manifold, to divert a portion of the sample gas stream to the analyzer, and the remainder to the by-pass discharge vent. The sample gas manifold should also include provisions for introducing calibration gases directly to the analyzer. The manifold may be constructed of any material that is nonreactive to the gas being sampled.

5.1.10 Gas Analyzer. A UV or NDIR absorption or fluorescence analyzer, to determine continuously the SO_2 concentration in the sample gas stream. The analyzer shall meet the applicable performance specifications of Section 4. A means of controlling the analyzer flow rate and a device for determining proper sample flow rate (e.g., precision rotameter, pressure gauge downstream of all flow controls, etc.) shall be provided at the analyzer.

(Note: Housing the analyzer(s) in a clean, thermally-stable, vibration-free environment will minimize drift in the analyzer calibration.)

5.1.11 Data Recorder. A strip chart recorder, analog computer, or digital recorder, for recording measurement data. The data recorder resolution (i.e., readability) shall be 0.5 percent of span. Alternatively, a digital or analog meter having a resolution of 0.5 percent of span may be used to obtain the analyzer responses and the readings may be recorded manually. If this alternative is used, the readings shall be obtained at equally spaced intervals over the duration of the sampling run. For sampling run durations of less than 1 hour, measurements at 1—minute intervals or a minimum of 30 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be obtained. For sampling run durations greater than 1 hour, measurements at 2—minute intervals or a minimum of 96 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be obtained.

5.2 Method 6 Apparatus and Reagents. The apparatus and reagents described in Method 6, and shown by the schematic of the sampling train in Figure 6C-2, to conduct the interference check.

5.3 SO_2 Calibration Gases. The calibration gases for the gas analyzer shall be SO_2 in N_2 or SO_2 in air. Alternatively, SO_2/CO_2 , SO_2/O_2 , or $\text{SO}_2/\text{CO}_2/\text{O}_2$ gas mixtures in N_2 may be used. For fluorescence-based analyzers, the O_2 and CO_2 concentrations of the calibration gases as introduced to the analyzer shall be within 1 percent (absolute) O_2 and 1 percent (absolute) CO_2 of the O_2 and CO_2 concentrations of the effluent samples as introduced to the analyzer. Alternatively, for fluorescence-based analyzers, use calibration blends of SO_2 in air and the nomographs provided by the vendor to determine the quenching correction factor (the effluent O_2 and CO_2 concentrations must be known). Use three calibration gases as specified below:

5.3.1 High-Range Gas. Concentration equivalent to 80 to 100 percent of the span.

5.3.2 Mid-Range Gas. Concentration equivalent to 40 to 60 percent of the span.

5.3.3 Zero Gas. Concentration of less than 0.25 percent of the span. Purified ambient air may be used for the zero gas by passing air through a charcoal filter, or through one or more impingers containing a solution of 3 percent H_2O_2 .

6. Measurement System Performance Test Procedures

Perform the following procedures before measurement of emissions (Section 7).

6.1 Calibration Gas Concentration Verification. There are two alternatives for establishing the concentrations of calibration gases. Alternative Number 1 is preferred.

6.1.1 Alternative Number 1—Use of calibration gases that are analyzed following the Environmental Protection Agency Traceability Protocol Number 1 (see Citation 1 in the Bibliography). Obtain a certification from the gas manufacturer that Protocol Number 1 was followed.

6.1.2 Alternative Number 2—Use of calibration gases not prepared according to Protocol Number 1. If this alternative is chosen, obtain gas mixtures with a manufacturer's tolerance not to exceed ± 2 percent of the tag value. Within 6 months before the emission test, analyze each of the calibration gases in triplicate using Method 6. Citation 2 in the Bibliography describes procedures and techniques that may be used for this analysis. Record the results on a data sheet (example is shown in Figure 6C-3). Each of the individual SO₂ analytical results for each calibration gas shall be within 5 percent (or 5 PPM, whichever is greater) of the triplicate set average; otherwise, discard the entire set, and repeat the triplicate analyses. If the average of the triplicate analyses is within 5 percent of the calibration gas manufacturer's cylinder tag value, use the tag value; otherwise, conduct at least three additional analyses until the results of six consecutive runs agree with 5 percent (or 5 PPM, whichever is greater) of their average. Then use this average for the cylinder value.

6.2 Measurement System Preparation. Assemble the measurement system by following the manufacturer's written instructions for preparing and preconditioning the gas analyzer and, as applicable, the other system components. Introduce the calibration gases in any sequence, and make all necessary adjustments to calibrate the analyzer and the data recorder. Adjust system components to achieve correct sampling rates.

6.3 Analyzer Calibration Error. Conduct the analyzer calibration error check by introducing calibration gases to the measurement system at any point upstream of the gas analyzer as follows:

6.3.1 After the measurement system has been prepared for use, introduce the zero, mid-range, and high-range gases to the analyzer. During this check, make no adjustments to the system except those necessary to achieve the correct calibration gas flow rate at the analyzer. Record the analyzer responses to each calibration gas on a form similar to Figure 6C-4.

Note: A calibration curve established prior to the analyzer calibration error check may be used to convert the analyzer response to the equivalent gas concentration introduced to the analyzer. However, the same correction procedure shall be used for all effluent and calibration measurements obtained during the test.

6.3.2 The analyzer calibration error check shall be considered invalid if the gas concentration displayed by the analyzer exceeds ± 2 percent of the span for any of the calibration gases. If an invalid calibration is exhibited, take corrective action, and repeat the analyzer calibration error check until acceptable performance is achieved.

6.4 Sampling System Bias Check. Perform the sampling system bias check by introducing calibration gases at the calibration valve installed at the outlet of the sampling probe. A zero gas and either the mid-range or high-range gas, whichever most closely approximates the effluent concentrations, shall be used for this check as follows:

6.4.1 Introduce the upscale calibration gas, and record the gas concentration displayed by the analyzer on a form similar to Figure 6C-5. Then introduce zero gas, and record the gas concentration displayed by the analyzer. During the sampling system bias check, operate the system at the normal sampling rate, and make no adjustments to the measurement system other than those necessary to achieve proper calibration gas flow rates at the analyzer. Alternately introduce the zero and upscale gases until a stable response is achieved. The tester shall determine the measurement system response time by observing the times required to achieve a stable response for both the zero and upscale gases. Note the longer of the two times as the response time.

6.4.2 The sampling system bias check shall be considered invalid if the difference between the gas concentrations displayed by the measurement system for the analyzer calibration error check and for the sampling system bias check exceeds ± 5 percent of the span for either the zero or upscale calibration gas. If an invalid calibration is exhibited, take corrective action, and repeat the sampling system bias check until acceptable performance is achieved. If adjustment to the analyzer is required, first repeat the analyzer calibration error check, then repeat the sampling system bias check.

7. Emission Test Procedure

7.1 Selection of Sampling Site and Sampling Points. Select a measurement site and sampling points using the same criteria that are applicable to Method 6.

7.2 Interference Check Preparation. For each individual analyzer, conduct an interference check for at least three runs during the initial field test on a particular source category. Retain the results, and report them with each test performed on that source category. If an interference check is being performed, assemble the modified Method 6 train (flow control valve, two midjet impingers containing 3 percent H_2O_2 , and dry gas meter) as shown in Figure 6C-2. Install the sampling train to obtain a sample at the measurement system sample by-pass discharge vent. Record the initial dry gas meter reading.

7.3 Sample Collection. Position the sampling probe at the first measurement point, and begin sampling at the same rate as used during the sampling system bias check. Maintain constant rate sampling (i.e., ± 10 percent) during the entire run. The sampling time per run shall be the same as for Method 6 plus twice the system response time. For each run, use only those measurements obtained after twice response time of the measurement system has elapsed, to determine the average effluent concentration. If an interference check is being performed, open the flow control valve on the modified Method 6 train concurrent with the initiation of the sampling period, and adjust the flow to 1 liter per minute (± 10 percent).

(Note: If a pump is not used in the modified Method 6 train, caution should be exercised in adjusting the flow rate since overpressurization of the impingers may cause leakage in the impinger train, resulting in positively biased results).

7.4 Zero and Calibration Drift Tests. Immediately preceding and following each run, or if adjustments are necessary for the measurement system during the run, repeat the sampling system bias check procedure described in Section 6.4 (Make no adjustments to the measurement system until after the drift checks are completed.) Record and analyzer's responses on a form similar to Figure 6C-5.

7.4.1 If either the zero or upscale calibration value exceeds the sampling system bias specification, then the run is considered invalid. Repeat both the analyzer calibration error check procedure (Section 6.3) and the sampling system bias check procedure (Section 6.4) before repeating the run.

7.4.2 If both the zero and upscale calibration values are within the sampling system bias specification, then use the average of the initial and final bias check values to calculate the gas concentration for the run. If the zero or upscale calibration drift value exceeds the drift limits, based on the difference between the sampling system bias check responses immediately before and after the run, repeat both the analyzer calibration error check procedure (Section 6.3) and the sampling system bias check procedure (Section 6.4) before conducting additional runs.

7.5 Interference Check (if performed). After completing the run, record the final dry gas meter reading, meter temperature, and barometric pressure. Recover and analyze the contents of the midget impingers, and determine the SO_2 gas concentration using the procedures of Method 6. (It is not necessary to analyze EPA performance audit samples for Method 6.) Determine the average gas concentration exhibited by the analyzer for the run. If the gas concentrations provided by the analyzer and the modified Method 6 differ by more than 7 percent of the modified Method 6 result, the run is invalidated.

8. Emission Calculation

The average gas effluent concentration is determined from the average gas concentration displayed by the gas analyzer, and is adjusted for the zero and upscale sampling system bias checks, as determined in accordance with Section 7.4. The average gas concentration displayed by the analyzer may be determined by integration of the area under the curve for chart recorders, or by averaging all of the effluent measurements. Alternatively, the average may be calculated from measurements recorded at equally spaced intervals over the entire duration of the run. For sampling run durations of less than 1 hour, measurements at 1—minute intervals or a minimum of 30 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be used. For sampling run durations greater than 1 hour, measurements at 2—minute intervals or a minimum of 96 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be used. Calculate the effluent gas concentration using Equation 6C-1.

$$\bar{C}_{gas} = (C - C_o) \frac{C_{ma}}{C_m - C_o} \text{ Eq. 6C-1}$$

Where:

C_{gas} = Effluent gas concentration, dry basis, PPM.

$\bar{C}$ = Average gas concentration indicated by gas analyzer, dry basis, PPM.

C_o = Average of initial and final system calibration bias check responses for the zero gas, PPM.

C_m = Average of initial and final system calibration bias check responses for the upscale calibration gas, PPM.

C_{ma} = Actual concentration of the upscale calibration gas, PPM.

9. Bibliography

1. Traceability Protocol for Establishing True Concentrations of Gases Used for Calibrations and Audits of Continuous Source Emission Monitors: Protocol Number 1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Quality Assurance Division. Research Triangle Park, NC. June 1978.
2. Westlin, Peter R. and J. W. Brown. Methods for Collecting and Analyzing Gas Cylinder Samples. Source Evaluation Society Newsletter. 3(3):5-15. September 1978.

|_See_CFR_paper_publication_for_illustration_0809

|_See_CFR_paper_publication_for_illustration_0810

APPENDIX K

CALCULATION EQUATIONS

METHOD 2
CALCULATION EQUATIONS

$$\bar{V}_s = 85.49 C_p (\sqrt{\Delta p})_{avg} \sqrt{\frac{T_{s(avg)}}{P_s M_s}}$$

$$Q_{s,d} = 60 (1 - B_{ws}) \bar{V}_s A \left(\frac{528}{T_{s(avg)}} \right) \left(\frac{P_s}{29.92} \right)$$

$$Q_a = 60 \bar{V}_s A$$

$$\dot{m}_s = \frac{4.995 Q_{s,d} G_d}{1 - B_{ws}}$$

$$RH^* = 100 (vp_{twb} - 0.0003641 P_s (T_{db} - T_{wb})) / vp_{tdb}$$

$$B_{ws}^* = RH(vp_{tdb}) / P_s$$

$$\rho = \frac{4.585 \times 10^{-2} P_s M_s}{T_{s(avg)}}$$

*Alternate equations for calculating moisture content from wet bulb and dry bulb data.

SYMBOLS

A	=	Cross Sectional area of stack, SQ. FT.
A_n	=	Cross sectional area of nozzle, SQ. FT.
B_{ws}	=	Water vapor in gas stream, proportion by volume
C_p	=	Pitot tube coefficient, dimensionless
C_s	=	Concentration of particulate matter in stack gas, wet basis, GR/ACF
C_s	=	Concentration of particulate matter in stack gas, dry basis, corrected to standard conditions, GR/DSCF
EA	=	Excess air, percent by volume
γ	=	Dry test meter correction factor, dimensionless
G_d	=	Specific gravity (relative to air), dimensionless
I	=	Isokinetic variation, percent by volume
M_d	=	Molecular weight of stack gas, dry basis, g/g - mole.
$\dot{m}_g$	=	Mass flow of wet flue gas, LB/HR
$\dot{m}_p$	=	Particulate mass flow, LB/HR
M_s	=	Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis, g/g mole.
M_p	=	Total amount of particulate matter collected, g
P_{bar}	=	Atmospheric pressure, IN. HG. (uncompensated)
P_g	=	Stack static gas pressure, IN. WC.
P_s	=	Absolute pressure of stack gas, IN. HG.
P_{std}	=	Standard absolute pressure, 29.92 IN. HG.
A_s	=	Actual volumetric stack gas flow rate, ACFM
$Q_{s, d}$	=	Dry volumetric stack gas flow rate corrected to standard conditions, DSCFM
RH	=	Relative humidity, %

T_{db}	=	Dry bulb temperature of stack gas, °F
T_{wb}	=	Wet bulb temperature of stack gas, °F
$T_{m(avg)}$	=	Absolute average dry gas meter temperature, °R
$T_{s(avg)}$	=	Absolute average stack temperature, °R
T_{std}	=	Standard absolute temperature, 528 °R (68 °F)
θ	=	Total sampling time, min.
V_{lc}	=	Total volume of liquid collected in impingers and silica gel, ml
V_m	=	Volume of gas sample as measured by dry gas meter, CF
$V_{m(std)}$	=	Volume of gas sample measured by the dry gas meter corrected to standard conditions, DSCF
$V_{w(std)}$	=	Volume of water vapor in the gas sample corrected to standard conditions, SCF
$\bar{V}_s$	=	Average actual stack gas velocity, FT/SEC
$v_{p_{tdb}}$	=	Vapor pressure at T_{db} , IN. HG.
$v_{p_{twb}}$	=	Vapor pressure at T_{wb} , IN. HG.
$\overline{\Delta H}$	=	Average pressure differential across the orifice meter, IN. WC.
ΔP	=	Velocity pressure of stack gas, IN. WC.
γ	=	Dry test meter correction coefficient, dimensionless
ρ	=	Actual gas density, LB/ACF

METHOD 3
CALCULATION EQUATIONS

$$\%EA = \frac{100(\%O_2 - 0.5\% CO)}{0.264\% N_2 - \%O_2 + 0.5\% CO}$$

$$M_d = 0.44(\%CO_2) + 0.32 (\%O_2) + 0.28 (\%N_2 + \%CO)$$

$$M_s = M_d (I - B_{ws}) + 0.18 B_{ws}$$

$$B_{ws} = \frac{V_{w(std)}}{V_{w(std)} + V_{m(std)}}$$

METHOD 5
CALCULATION EQUATIONS

$$V_{m(std)} = 17.65 V_m \gamma \left(\frac{P_{bar} + \overline{\Delta H}/13.6}{T_{m(avg)}} \right)$$

$$V_{w(std)} = 0.0472 V_{Is}$$

$$B_{ws} = \frac{V_{w(std)}}{V_{w(std)} + V_{m(std)}}$$

$$I = 0.0944 \left(\frac{T_{s(avg)} V_{m(std)}}{P_s V_s A_n \theta (I - B_{ws})} \right)$$

$$C_s = \frac{15.43 M_p}{V_{m(std)}}$$

$$C_a = \frac{272.3 M_p P_s}{T_{s(avg)} (V_{w(std)} + V_{m(std)})}$$

$$(\dot{m}_p)_1 = 8.5714 \times 10^{-3} C_s Q_{s,d}$$

$$(\dot{m}_p)_2 = \frac{1.3228 \times 10^{-1} M_p A}{\theta A_n}$$

$$\dot{m}_p = \frac{(\dot{m}_p)_1 + (\dot{m}_p)_2}{2}$$

VISIBLE EMISSIONS EVALUATOR

This is to certify that

Doug Peterson

met the specifications of Federal Reference Method 9 and qualified as a visible emissions evaluator.

Maximum deviation on white and black smoke did not exceed 7.5% opacity and no single error exceeding 15% opacity was incurred during the certification test conducted by Eastern Technical Associates of Raleigh, North Carolina. This certificate is valid for six months from date of issue.

270123

Certificate Number

Minneapolis, Minnesota

Location

April 7, 1999

Date of Issue

Thomas Fore
President

J. Michael Sanford
Director of Training

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant 25 Stack
8/11/99
Run 1

<u>Time</u>	<u>SO2 ppm, w</u>	<u>O2 %, d</u>	<u>CO2 %, w</u>
8:40 AM	50.91	12.80	4.24
8:41 AM	48.60	12.99	4.16
8:42 AM	47.33	13.14	4.08
8:43 AM	47.19	13.23	4.02
8:44 AM	46.99	13.30	4.04
8:45 AM	48.36	13.18	4.02
8:46 AM	49.16	12.86	4.16
8:47 AM	50.64	12.89	4.16
8:48 AM	50.12	13.00	4.11
8:49 AM	49.08	13.07	4.05
8:50 AM	48.99	13.17	4.01
8:51 AM	49.67	13.14	4.00
8:52 AM	50.74	12.81	4.13
8:53 AM	52.52	12.95	4.13
8:54 AM	50.84	12.94	4.10
8:55 AM	50.16	13.09	4.04
8:56 AM	50.85	13.14	4.01
8:57 AM	51.42	13.22	3.99
8:58 AM	51.26	12.96	4.08
8:59 AM	52.02	12.79	4.15
9:00 AM	51.92	13.03	4.08
9:01 AM	51.64	13.09	4.03
9:02 AM	52.07	13.16	4.00
9:03 AM	50.37	13.47	3.87
9:04 AM	47.16	13.98	3.62
9:05 AM	45.52	13.76	3.68
9:06 AM	43.86	13.93	3.60
9:07 AM	42.84	14.05	3.53
9:08 AM	41.74	14.03	3.51
9:09 AM	42.98	14.06	3.56
9:10 AM	42.90	14.05	3.53
9:11 AM	43.47	13.78	3.63
9:12 AM	46.45	13.62	3.72
9:13 AM	47.77	13.53	3.81
9:14 AM	47.25	13.58	3.79
9:15 AM	45.72	13.62	3.75
9:16 AM	45.69	13.69	3.70
9:17 AM	47.99	13.45	3.77
9:18 AM	48.23	13.28	3.91
9:19 AM	47.60	13.43	3.86

9:20 AM	47.02	13.59	3.77
9:21 AM	48.17	13.64	3.74
9:22 AM	47.80	13.67	3.74
9:23 AM	48.94	13.45	3.85
9:24 AM	48.98	13.23	3.93
9:25 AM	49.41	13.36	3.89
9:26 AM	49.61	13.43	3.86
9:27 AM	51.12	13.60	3.79
9:28 AM	48.01	14.01	3.67
9:29 AM	44.19	13.96	3.57
9:30 AM	42.64	13.71	3.65
9:31 AM	43.25	13.64	3.68
9:32 AM	44.38	13.54	3.74
9:33 AM	51.92	13.54	3.81
9:34 AM	44.71	15.84	2.97
9:35 AM	42.44	14.32	3.26
9:36 AM	40.74	13.32	3.69
9:37 AM	42.11	12.92	3.84
9:38 AM	43.12	12.91	3.96
9:39 AM	46.23	13.03	3.93
Average	47.58	13.43	3.85

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 1

VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE
NUMBER OF SAMPLE POINTS 25

PT. NO.		DELTA P	SQ. RT P	TEMP	TIME
1	A-1	.97	.985	298.6	8:40 AM
2	-2	1.75	1.323	298.6	
3	-3	1.5	1.225	298.6	
4	-4	1.5	1.225	298.6	
5	-5	1.55	1.245	298.6	
6	B-1	1.2	1.095	298.6	
7	-2	1.4	1.183	298.6	
8	-3	1.3	1.14	298.6	
9	-4	1.2	1.095	298.6	
10	-5	1.25	1.118	298.6	
11	C-1	.85	.922	298.6	
12	-2	1.25	1.118	298.6	
13	-3	1.1	1.049	298.6	
14	-4	1.1	1.049	298.6	
15	-5	1.2	1.095	298.6	
16	D-1	.98	.99	298.6	
17	-2	.98	.99	298.6	
18	-3	1.1	1.049	298.6	
19	-4	1.05	1.025	298.6	
20	-5	1.05	1.025	298.6	
21	E-1	.95	.975	298.6	
22	-2	.97	.985	298.6	
23	-3	1.15	1.072	298.6	
24	-4	1.1	1.049	298.6	
25	-5	1.2	1.095	298.6	
AVERAGE		1.186	1.085	298.6	9:43 AM

	DATA	FLOW RATE	
MOISTURE CONTENT			
METER VOLUME	38.52	STATIC PRESSURE	-.7
AVERAGE METER TEMP	78.6	PITOT COEF.	0.84
GAS METER COEF. (Y)	1.0019		
GAS METER DELTA H@	1.91	DUCT WIDTH(IN)	31.5
GRAMS OF WATER	303.	DUCT LENTGH(IN)	27.5
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE	28.9	DUCT AREA (SQ.FT.)	6.016
		STACK DIA. (IN)	.
STANDARD METER VOLUME	36.707	STACK AREA (SQ.FT.)	.
MOISTURE CONTENT	27.995		
		MOLECULAR WEIGHT (DRY)	29.375
OXYGEN %	13.515	MOLECULAR WEIGHT (WET)	26.191
CO 2 %	5.216	STACK PRESSURE	28.849
		FEET PER SECOND	78.063
STANDARD CFH	1,134,520	ACTUAL CFM	28175.9
K STANDARD CFM	18.909	DRY STANDARD CFM	13615.19

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 1

FIELD CALCULATIONS

RAW DATA TABLE

<u>INSTRUMENT</u>	<u>PPM OR %</u>	<u>ZERO</u>	<u>SPAN</u>	<u>GAS</u>	<u>C GAS</u>	
O2 (dry)	13.43	0.07	10.85	10.9	13.51	dry
CO2 (wet)	3.85	0.13	11.03	11.	3.76	wet
SO2 (wet)	47.58	1.27	89.85	89.8	46.95	wet
MOISTURE	27.99		STANDARD CFH		1,134,520	
FUEL FACTOR C	.		K STANDARD CFM		18.909	
DSCFM	13615.2					

RESULTS

O2 % (dry)	13.51		
CO2 % (dry)	5.22		
SO2 (dry)	65.2	SO2 LBS/HR	8.841
		Fo	1.416

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack
8/11/99
Run 2

<u>Time</u>	<u>SO2 ppm, w</u>	<u>O2 %, d</u>	<u>CO2 %, w</u>
10:00 AM	50.42	13.64	3.67
10:01 AM	50.09	13.56	3.69
10:02 AM	49.34	13.29	3.83
10:03 AM	49.34	13.43	3.80
10:04 AM	48.28	13.57	3.73
10:05 AM	48.25	13.66	3.68
10:06 AM	46.90	13.69	3.63
10:07 AM	47.20	13.72	3.68
10:08 AM	47.00	13.41	3.79
10:09 AM	47.45	13.46	3.77
10:10 AM	46.93	13.57	3.71
10:11 AM	47.75	13.74	3.65
10:12 AM	47.80	13.77	3.68
10:13 AM	48.07	13.78	3.67
10:14 AM	48.01	13.58	3.72
10:15 AM	49.79	13.40	3.84
10:16 AM	48.23	13.54	3.77
10:17 AM	48.48	13.64	3.76
10:18 AM	47.34	13.71	3.69
10:19 AM	46.83	13.73	3.68
10:20 AM	47.27	13.65	3.70
10:21 AM	49.18	13.38	3.83
10:22 AM	48.24	13.47	3.80
10:23 AM	47.06	13.58	3.75
10:24 AM	46.22	13.64	3.67
10:25 AM	44.89	13.70	3.62
10:26 AM	47.13	13.71	3.68
10:27 AM	47.50	13.41	3.81
10:28 AM	49.02	13.46	3.81
10:29 AM	48.31	13.46	3.77
10:30 AM	46.10	13.53	3.68
10:31 AM	45.89	13.62	3.70
10:32 AM	46.02	13.75	3.69
10:33 AM	46.59	13.53	3.74
10:34 AM	46.92	13.45	3.76
10:35 AM	48.53	13.33	3.77
10:36 AM	48.20	13.16	3.96
10:37 AM	49.77	13.32	3.90
10:38 AM	49.95	13.67	3.66
10:39 AM	49.16	13.15	3.94

10:40 AM	51.60	13.18	4.05
10:41 AM	51.35	13.05	4.03
10:42 AM	49.02	13.15	3.94
10:43 AM	48.26	13.29	3.85
10:44 AM	49.08	13.31	3.84
10:45 AM	49.19	13.30	3.88
10:46 AM	49.78	13.00	4.05
10:47 AM	51.16	13.07	4.04
10:48 AM	50.65	13.12	3.98
10:49 AM	49.96	13.23	3.93
10:50 AM	49.11	13.29	3.89
10:51 AM	49.12	13.37	3.88
10:52 AM	50.27	13.12	3.96
10:53 AM	49.89	13.02	3.99
10:54 AM	49.68	13.26	3.91
10:55 AM	46.44	13.59	3.79
10:56 AM	44.91	13.70	3.71
10:57 AM	44.68	13.74	3.64
10:58 AM	44.72	13.67	3.66
10:59 AM	46.00	13.45	3.74
Average	48.17	13.46	3.79

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 2

VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE
NUMBER OF SAMPLE POINTS 25

<u>PT. NO.</u>		<u>DELTA P</u>	<u>SQ. RT P</u>	<u>TEMP</u>	<u>TIME</u>
1	A-1	1.4	1.183	295.5	10:00 AM
2	-2	1.6	1.265	295.5	
3	-3	1.7	1.304	295.5	
4	-4	1.8	1.342	295.5	
5	-5	1.75	1.323	295.5	
6	B-1	1.1	1.049	295.5	
7	-2	1.1	1.049	295.5	
8	-3	1.2	1.095	295.5	
9	-4	1.2	1.095	295.5	
10	-5	1.1	1.049	295.5	
11	C-1	1.1	1.049	295.5	10:05 AM
12	-2	1.2	1.095	295.5	
13	-3	1.2	1.095	295.5	
14	-4	1.1	1.049	295.5	
15	-5	.98	.99	295.5	
16	D-1	1.08	1.039	295.5	
17	-2	1.	1.	295.5	
18	-3	1.05	1.025	295.5	
19	-4	.98	.99	295.5	
20	-5	1.05	1.025	295.5	
21	E-1	.99	.995	295.5	
22	-2	.98	.99	295.5	
23	-3	1.1	1.049	295.5	
24	-4	1.1	1.049	295.5	
25	-5	1.1	1.049	295.5	

AVERAGE	1.198	1.09	295.5
---------	-------	------	-------

MOISTURE CONTENT

DATA

FLOW RATE

METER VOLUME	39.75
AVERAGE METER TEMP	83.6
GAS METER COEF. (Y)	1.0019
GAS METER DELTA H AT	1.91
GRAMS OF WATER	259.
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE	28.9

STATIC PRESSURE	-0.7
PITOT COEF.	0.84
DUCT WIDTH(IN)	31.5
DUCT LENTGH(IN)	27.5
DUCT AREA (SQ.FT.)	6.0156
STACK DIA. (IN)	0
STACK AREA (SQ.FT.)	0.0000

STANDARD METER VOLUME	37.53
MOISTURE CONTENT	24.531

OXYGEN %	13.534
CO 2 %	4.986

MOLECULAR WEIGHT (DRY)	29.339
MOLECULAR WEIGHT (WET)	26.558
STACK PRESSURE	28.849
FEET PER SECOND	77.707
ACTUAL CFM	28047.25
DRY STANDARD CFM	14263.39

STANDARD CFH	1,133.974
K STANDARD CFM	18.9

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 2

FIELD CALCULATIONS

RAW DATA TABLE

<u>INSTRUMENT</u>	<u>PPM OR %</u>	<u>ZERO</u>	<u>SPAN</u>	<u>GAS</u>	<u>C GAS</u>	
O2 (dry)	13.46	0.05	10.85	10.9	13.53	dry
CO2 (wet)	3.79	0.13	10.83	11	3.76	wet
SO2 (wet)	48.17	1.65	89.13	89.8	47.76	wet
MOISTURE	24.53		STANDARD CFH		1,133,974	
FUEL FACTOR C	0		K STANDARD CFM		18.9	
DSCFM	14263.4					

RESULTS

O2 % (dry)	13.53		
CO2 % (dry)	4.99		
SO2 ppm (dry)	63.28	SO2 LBS/HR	8.989
		Fo	1.477

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack
8/11/99
Run 3

<u>Time</u>	<u>SO2 ppm, w</u>	<u>O2 %, d</u>	<u>CO2 %, w</u>
11:20 AM	50.02	13.11	4.12
11:21 AM	54.10	13.46	3.89
11:22 AM	51.84	13.41	3.86
11:23 AM	51.26	13.46	3.84
11:24 AM	52.73	13.05	4.10
11:25 AM	51.76	13.13	4.01
11:26 AM	50.52	13.27	3.90
11:27 AM	50.02	13.44	3.83
11:28 AM	50.97	13.45	3.83
11:29 AM	51.53	13.45	3.90
11:30 AM	52.79	13.16	3.98
11:31 AM	53.96	13.10	3.99
11:32 AM	53.55	13.19	3.92
11:33 AM	52.11	13.36	3.90
11:34 AM	50.42	13.39	3.89
11:35 AM	49.98	13.41	3.85
11:36 AM	50.37	13.25	3.92
11:37 AM	50.76	13.04	3.98
11:38 AM	49.84	13.14	3.98
11:39 AM	50.22	13.35	3.89
11:40 AM	49.72	13.39	3.84
11:41 AM	48.01	13.37	3.84
11:42 AM	48.01	13.34	3.82
11:43 AM	49.52	12.99	4.02
11:44 AM	49.59	13.06	3.99
11:45 AM	49.76	13.12	3.94
11:46 AM	49.26	13.18	3.88
11:47 AM	48.41	13.20	3.87
11:48 AM	48.95	13.11	3.99
11:49 AM	50.19	12.83	4.10
11:50 AM	51.16	12.82	4.11
11:51 AM	52.21	12.93	4.06
11:52 AM	51.43	13.03	4.01
11:53 AM	51.44	13.05	4.05
11:54 AM	52.40	13.10	4.00
11:55 AM	52.47	12.87	4.07
11:56 AM	52.81	12.68	4.14
11:57 AM	51.91	12.89	4.11
11:58 AM	52.01	13.02	4.08
11:59 AM	48.99	13.43	3.84

12:00 PM	48.43	13.44	3.82
12:01 PM	47.53	13.39	3.79
12:02 PM	48.45	13.16	3.98
12:03 PM	48.31	13.31	3.93
12:04 PM	47.60	13.44	3.84
12:05 PM	47.58	13.57	3.79
12:06 PM	47.57	13.51	3.77
12:07 PM	48.14	13.46	3.84
12:08 PM	46.83	12.99	3.91
12:09 PM	45.91	13.11	3.87
12:10 PM	44.66	13.30	3.77
12:11 PM	43.48	13.47	3.71
12:12 PM	45.47	13.42	3.84
12:13 PM	47.22	13.44	3.82
12:14 PM	47.23	13.12	3.88
12:15 PM	47.31	12.93	3.97
12:16 PM	47.66	12.85	3.98
12:17 PM	48.80	13.04	4.01
12:18 PM	47.80	12.99	3.95
12:19 PM	48.81	12.91	4.00
Average	49.70	13.20	3.93

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 3

VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE
NUMBER OF SAMPLE POINTS 25

<u>PT. NO.</u>		<u>DELTA P</u>	<u>SQ. RT P</u>	<u>TEMP</u>	<u>TIME</u>
1	A-1	.96	.98	294.8	11:20 AM
2	-2	1.2	1.095	294.8	
3	-3	1.1	1.049	294.8	
4	-4	1.1	1.049	294.8	
5	-5	1.3	1.14	294.8	
6	B-1	1.05	1.025	294.8	
7	-2	1.2	1.095	294.8	
8	-3	1.	1.	294.8	
9	-4	.95	.975	294.8	
10	-5	1.	1.	294.8	
11	C-1	.98	.99	294.8	
12	-2	1.15	1.072	294.8	12:25 PM
13	-3	1.15	1.072	294.8	
14	-4	1.15	1.072	294.8	
15	-5	1.1	1.049	294.8	
16	D-1	1.15	1.072	294.8	
17	-2	1.3	1.14	294.8	
18	-3	1.3	1.14	294.8	
19	-4	.98	.99	294.8	
20	-5	1.1	1.049	294.8	
21	E-1	1.3	1.14	294.8	
22	-2	1.7	1.304	294.8	
23	-3	1.6	1.265	294.8	
24	-4	1.7	1.304	294.8	
25	-5	1.85	1.36	294.8	
AVERAGE		1.215	1.097	294.8	

MOISTURE CONTENT

DATA

FLOW RATE

METER VOLUME	40.36	STATIC PRESSURE	-0.7
AVERAGE METER TEMP	85.8	PITOT COEF.	0.84
GAS METER COEF. (Y)	1.0019		
GAS METER DELTA H AT	1.91	DUCT WIDTH(IN)	31.5
GRAMS OF WATER	289.	DUCT LENTGH(IN)	27.5
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE	28.9	DUCT AREA (SQ.FT.)	6.015625
		STACK DIA. (IN)	0
STANDARD METER VOLUME	37.53	STACK AREA (SQ.FT.)	0
MOISTURE CONTENT	26.616		
		MOLECULAR WEIGHT (DRY)	29.39
OXYGEN %	13.268	MOLECULAR WEIGHT (WET)	26.358
CO 2 %	5.368	STACK PRESSURE	28.849
		FEET PER SECOND	78.496
STANDARD CFH	1,146,555	ACTUAL CFM	28332.15
K STANDARD CFM	19.109	DRY STANDARD CFM	14023.19

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack

8/11/99
Test 1 Run 3

FIELD CALCULATIONS

RAW DATA TABLE

<u>INSTRUMENT</u>	<u>PPM OR %</u>	<u>ZERO</u>	<u>SPAN</u>	<u>GAS</u>	<u>C GAS</u>	
O2 (dry)	13.2	0.04	10.85	10.9	13.27	dry
CO2 (wet)	3.93	0.10	10.80	11	3.94	wet
SO2 (wet)	49.7	1.84	89.37	89.8	49.11	wet
MOISTURE	26.62		STANDARD CFH		1,146,555	
FUEL FACTOR C	0		K STANDARD CFM		19.109	
DSCFM	14023.2					

RESULTS

O2 % (dry)	13.27		
CO2 % (dry)	5.37		
SO2 ppm (dry)	66.92	SO2 LBS/HR	9.345
		Fo	1.422

APPENDIX F

GAS ANALYZER SPECIFICATIONS

Servomex

INTERPOLL LABORATORIES
4500 BALL ROAD N.E.
CIRCLE PINES, MN 55014-1819
(612) 786-6020

1420 Oxygen Analyser Instruction Manual

Ref : 01420/001A/0

Order as part No. 01420001A

was (7982-2842)

INTERPOLL LABORATORIES
4500 BALL ROAD N.E.
CIRCLE PINES, MN 55014-1819
(612) 786-6020

1.3 Sampling System

The sampling system of the analyser includes a combination filter/automatic flow control device, designed to keep a constant flow of sample gas through the measuring cell for varying input pressures and to prevent the entrance of particulate matter into the measuring cell. Excess flow is vented to the by-pass.

1.4 Specification

Performance Specification (typical)

Repeatability: Better than $\pm 0.2\%$ O₂ under constant conditions.

Drift: Less than 0.2% O₂ per week under constant conditions. (Excluding variation due to barometric pressure changes; reading is proportional to barometric pressure.)

Outputs

Display: 3 1/2 digit LCD reading 0.0 to 100.0% oxygen with overrange capability.

Output: 0 to 1V (non-isolated) for 0 to 100% oxygen available on 'D' type connector located on the back panel of the instrument. Output impedance is less than 10 ohms.

Option: 4 - 20mA isolated, Max impedance 500 ohms.

Flow alarm output: Change over relay contact rated at 3A/115V ac, 1A/240V ac or 1A/28V dc. 4 sets of single pole changeover contacts. Alarm becomes active when sample gas flow through the analyser fails.

Sample requirements

Condition: Clean, dry gas with dew point 5 deg C below ambient temperature.

Inlet pressure: 0.5 to 3psig (3.5 to 21kPa). Inlet pressure changes within this range will change the reading by less than 0.1% O₂. May be operated up to 10psig (70kPa) with degraded stability.

Flowrate: 1.5 to 6 litres/minute approximately depending on sample pressure.

Filtering: 0.6 micron replaceable filter integral to the automatic flow control device.

Response time: Less than 15 secs. to 90% at an inlet pressure of 3psig (21kPa).

Inlet/vent connections: 1/4 inch OD tube (stainless steel) suitable for 6mm ID flexible tubing or 1/4 inch OD compression fittings.

Materials exposed to the sample: Stainless steel, Pyrex glass, brass, platinum, epoxy resin, Viton, polypropylene and glass fibre filter.

Physical Characteristics

Case: Steel and aluminium finished in epoxy powder paint.

Case classification: IP 20 (IEC 529) when fitted into the Servomex 1400 series 19 inch case.

Dimensions: See Figure 2.1.

Weight: 10Kg (22lb) approximately.

Electrical

AC Supply: 110 to 120V AC or 220 to 240V AC, +/-10%, 48 to 62Hz. Voltage selected by a voltage selector integral to the IEC supply plug.

Power required: 15VA maximum.

Environmental Limits

Operating ambient temperature: 0 to +40 deg C (32 to 104 deg F)

Storage temp. range: -20 to +70 deg C (-4 to 158 deg F)

Relative humidity: 0-85%, non-condensing.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR ACS MODEL 3300 CO₂ NDIR

Measuring principle	NDIR single beam method
Measurable gas components and measuring range	0 - 20%
Reproducibility	±0.5% of full scale
Stability	Zero drift; $\pm$ % of full scale/24H Span drift; $\pm$ % of full scale/24H
Noise	0.5% of full scale
Ambient temperature	-5 to 45°C
Ambient humidity	Less than 90% RH
Response time (90% of final reading)	Electrical system; 2 sec, 3 sec, 5 sec (selectable with connector) Response of actual gas; Within 15 sec (depending on cell length)
Indicator	100 linear division
Output signal	OUTPUT 1; DC 0 - 1 V OUTPUT 2; DC 0 - 10 mV or DC 0 - 100 mV or DC 0 - 1 V or DC 4 - 20 mA (Allowable load resistance 500Ω max.)
Linearity	Better than ±2% of full scale (when linearizer is used)
Power supply	AC 115 V ± 10%, 60 Hz

Power consumption	Approx. 30 VA
Materials of gas-contacting parts	Measuring cell; SUS304 Window; CaF ₂ Piping; Polyethylene
Sample gas flow rate	1ℓ/min ± 0.5ℓ/min
Sample gas temperature	0 to 55°C
Purging gas flow rate	1ℓ/min (to be flowed as occasion demands)
Warmup time	Approx. 2 hours
External dimensions	200 x 250 x 541 (H x W x D) mm
Weight	Approx. 11 kg
Finish Color	MUNSELL N1.5
Remarks:	For combinations of measuring ranges for the dualcomponent analyzer, inquiry should be made to the manufacturer.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR SO₂ ANALYZER
WESTERN RESEARCH MODEL 721ATZ

Measuring principle	NDUV double beam method which uses 285 nm UV light for SO ₂ measurement and 585 nm visible light to compensate for contamination of all windows, detector drift or changes in the intensity of the radiation source
Range	SO ₂ : 0 - 500 ppm and 0 - 1000 ppm; but low range may be reduced to 0 - 100 ppm with full scale analog output; total dynamic range of 0 - 5000 ppm with 1 ppm readability
Accuracy	±2% f.s., worst case. Typically better than ±1% f.s.
Temperature drift	≤0.5% f.s./°C
Noise	0.5% of full scale, worst case
Ambient temperature	0 to 40 °C
Ambient humidity	Less than 100% RH
Response time (90% of final reading)	<5 seconds
Optical cell length	35 cm
Output signal	Panel display is digital - direct reading in ppm,w; output signal: 7 field-selectable potentiometric outputs of 1V, 2V, 5V, 10V DC and 100, 200, 500 mV DC. Two outputs per range are provided at the rear of the

instrument, standard. Unit equipped also
with 4 - 20 mA

Interferences	No known interferences from O ₂ , CO ₂ , CO or hydrocarbons; internally compensated for NO interference
Linearity	±1.5% of full scale
Power supply	AC 115 V ± 10%, 60 Hz
Power consumption	Less than 575 watts
Electronic span value	Nominal 766 @ 77 °F and 29.92 in Hg
Sample gas flow	1.0 - 5.0 LPM to give desired response time
Sample gas temperature	0 to 40 °C
Warmup time	Approximately 30 minutes
External dimensions	7 x 19 x 22 (H x W x D) inch
Weight	40 LB

APPENDIX G

MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS

Calibration Error

Mathy Constuction
Superior, WI
Plant No. 25 Stack
8/11/99
Test 1

SO2 TEI Model 43B)

	Cylinder Value (ppm)	Analyzer Response (ppm)	Difference (ppm)	Span Value (ppm)	% of Span
Zero	0.00	1.05	1.05	200.00	0.53
Mid Level	89.90	90.35	0.45	200.00	0.22
High Level	134.00	130.96	3.04	200.00	1.52

CO2 (TEI Model 41H)

	Cylinder Value (ppm)	Analyzer Response (ppm)	Difference (ppm)	Span Value (ppm)	% of Span
Zero	0.00	0.10	0.10	20.00	0.50
Mid Level	11.00	11.13	0.13	20.00	0.65
High Level	16.60	16.55	0.05	20.00	0.25

O2 (Servomex Series 1400)

	Cylinder Value (ppm)	Analyzer Response (ppm)	Difference (ppm)	Span Value (ppm)	% of Span
Zero	0.00	0.07	0.07	25.00	0.28
Mid Level	10.90	10.89	0.01	25.00	0.04
High Level	21.00	20.95	0.05	25.00	0.20

**** All Calibrations must be within 2% of the span value...

Test 1

*** All Calibrations must be within 3% of the span value...

APPENDIX H

CALIBRATION GAS CERTIFICATION SHEETS

NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES
630 UNITED DRIVE
DURHAM, NC
27713

(919)544-3772

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS • EPA PROTOCOL MIXTURES

REFERENCE #:	88-62553	CYLINDER #:	C.C. 112307	CYL. PRESSURE:	2000 PSIG	P.O. #:	30283
EXP. DATE:	4/28/02	LAST ANALYSIS DATE:	4/28/99	CUSTOMER:	TWIN CITY OXYGEN		

METHOD: ANALYZED ACCORDING TO EPA TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL FOR ASSAY AND CERTIFICATION OF GASEOUS CALIBRATION STANDARDS-SEPTEMBER 1997-G-1 THIS STANDARD SHOULD NOT BE USED WHEN ITS GAS PRESSURE IS BELOW 1.0 MEGAPASCALS (150 PSIG).

COMPONENT: OXYGEN		COMPONENT: CARBON DIOXIDE	
STANDARD		STANDARD	
SRM #:	2658A	SRM #:	1675B
CYL. #:	CLM 6824	CYL. #:	CAL 014623
CONC:	9.68 %	CONC:	13.96%
INSTRUMENT: BECKMAN PARAMAGNETIC		INSTRUMENT: ROSEMOUNT NDIR	
MODEL #:	755	MODEL #:	880A
SERIAL #:	1001419	SERIAL #:	2000418
LAST CAL:	4/7/99	LAST CAL:	4/14/99
MEAN CONC:	10.9% +/- 0.09 %	MEAN CONC:	11.0% +/- 0.09 %
REPLICATE CONC.		REPLICATE CONC.	
DATE:	4/28/99	DATE:	4/28/99
10.9 %		11.0 %	
10.9 %		11.0 %	
10.9 %		11.0 %	

BALANCE GAS: NITROGEN

REPLICATE DATA				REPLICATE DATA			
DATE: 4/28/99				DATE: 4/28/99			
Z	0.00	R	1931	C	2174	R	14.0
R	1930	Z	0.00	C	2173	Z	0.00
Z	0.00	C	2171	R	1928	C	11.0
						R	14.0

ANALYST:

THIS REPORT STATED ACCURATELY THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION MADE UPON THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED TO THE ANALYTICAL LABORATORY. EVERY EFFORT HAS BEEN MADE TO DETERMINE OBJECTIVELY THE INFORMATION REQUESTED. HOWEVER, IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT, NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES SHALL HAVE NO LIABILITY IN EXCESS OF ITS ESTABLISHED CHARGE FOR THE SERVICE.

R. SYKES

Richard Sykes

J. ERNST

Z= ZERO C=CANDIDATE R=REFERENCE

APPROVED BY:

(919)544-3772

NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES
630 UNITED DRIVE
DURHAM, NC
27713

(919)544-3772

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS - EPA PROTOCOL MIXTURES

REFERENCE #:	08-59508	CYLINDER #:	CC 46433	CYL. PRESSURE:	2000 PSIG	P.O. #:	28199
EXP. DATE:	8/17/98	LAST ANALYSIS DATE:	8/17/98	CUSTOMER:	TWIN CITY OXYGEN		

METHOD: ANALYZED ACCORDING TO EPA TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL FOR ASSAY AND CERTIFICATION OF GASEOUS CALIBRATION STANDARDS-SEPTEMBER 1997.0-1 THIS STANDARD SHOULD NOT BE USED WHEN ITS GAS PRESSURE IS BELOW 1.0 MEGAPASCALS (150 PSIG)

COMPONENT:		OXYGEN		CARBON DIOXIDE	
STANDARD					
SRM #:	2659A	SRM #:	1675D		
CYL. #:	CLM 6947	CYL. #:	CLM 6681		
CONC:	20.72 %	CONC:	14.01 %		
INSTRUMENT:		DECKMAN PARAMAGNETIC		ROSEMOUNT NDIR	
MODEL #:	735	MODEL #:	880A		
SERIAL #:	1001419	SERIAL #:	2000418		
LAST CAL.:	8/10/98	LAST CAL.:	8/9/98		
MEAN CONC.:	21.0 %	+/-	0.17 %	MEAN CONC.:	16.6 %
REPLICATE CONC.				+/-	0.13 %
DATE:	8/17/98	DATE:	8/17/98		
21.0	%	16.6	%		
21.0	%	16.7	%		
21.1	%	16.6	%		

BALANCE GAS: NITROGEN

REPLICATE DATA		REPLICATE DATA		REPLICATE DATA	
DATE:	8/17/98	DATE:	8/17/98	DATE:	8/17/98
Z 0	R 20.7	C 21.0	Z 0	R 14.0	C 16.6
R 20.7	Z 0	C 21.0	R 14.0	Z 0	C 16.7
Z 0	C 21.1	R 20.7	Z 0	C 16.6	R 14.0

ANALYST:

[Signature] **Richard J. Sikes**

THIS REPORT STATES ACCURATELY THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION. THE DATE OF ANALYSIS IS 8/17/98. APPROVED BY: *[Signature]*
 CONNECTED WITH NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES. NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES IS A DIVISION OF NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES, INC. (919) 544-3772
 ASSAYED AT: NATIONAL SPECIALTY GASES, 630 UNITED DRIVE, DURHAM, NC 27713 (919) 544-3772

For Technical Information Call
1-800-752-1597



Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. • 12722 S. Wentworth Avenue, Chicago, IL 60628

ISO CERTIFICATION: 9002

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS: EPA PROTOCOL GAS STANDARD

PERFORMED ACCORDING TO EPA TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL FOR ASSAY AND CERTIFICATION OF GASEOUS CALIBRATION STANDARDS (PROCEDURE #G1)

Customer:

AIR PRODUCTS & CHEMICALS, INC.
373 CANTERBURY ROAD
SHAKOPEE MN 55379

Order No: CSS-838253-01
Batch No: 861-43720
PO: 26902
Release:

Cylinder No: SG9153312BAL
Bar Code No: DNN870
Cylinder Pressure*: 2000 psig
Certification Date: 12/15/97
Expiration Date: 12/15/99

CERTIFIED CONCENTRATION			REFERENCE STANDARDS			ANALYTICAL INSTRUMENTATION			
Component	Certified Concentration	Cylinder Number	Standard Type	Standard Concentration	Instrument Make/Model	Serial Number	Last Calibration	Measurement Principal	
SULFUR DIOXIDE	89.8±1.76 PPM	SG9137979	GMIS R	253.6 PPM	HORIB VIA-510	85079208	12/04/97	NON DISPERSIVE INFRARED	
NITROGEN	Balance Gas								

* STANDARD SHOULD NOT BE USED BELOW 150 PSIG

Analyst:

James Laas

Approved By:

Richard Fry

11/00/99

Pub No 320.9702

For Technical Information Call
1-800-752-1597



Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. * 12722 S. Wentworth Avenue, Chicago, IL 60628

ISO CERTIFICATION: 9002

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS: EPA PROTOCOL GAS STANDARD

PERFORMED ACCORDING TO EPA TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL FOR ASSAY AND CERTIFICATION OF GASEOUS CALIBRATION STANDARDS (PROCEDURE #G1)

Customer:

AIR PRODUCTS & CHEMICALS, INC.
373 CANTERBURY ROAD
SHAKOPEE MN 55379

Order No: CSS-109413-01
Batch No: 861-52469
PO:
Release:

Cylinder No: SG9148857BAL
Bar Code No: DGG814
Cylinder Pressure*: 2000 psig
Certification Date: 01/05/1999
Expiration Date: 01/05/2001

CERTIFIED CONCENTRATION		REFERENCE STANDARDS			ANALYTICAL INSTRUMENTATION			
Component	Certified Concentration	Cylinder Number	Standard Type	Standard Concentration	Instrument Make/Model	Serial Number	Last Calibration	Measurement Principal
SULFUR DIOXIDE	134 ±1.5 PPM	SG9151666BAL	GHIS R	252.9 PPM	HDRIBA VIA-510	85079208	12/27/98	NON DISPERSIVE INFRARED
NITROGEN Balance Gas								

* STANDARD SHOULD NOT BE USED BELOW 150 PSIG

Analyst:
(16921)

James Laas
James Laas

Approved By:

Richard Fry
Richard Fry

APPENDIX I

PROCESS RATE INFORMATION

PR. H58X-014 2-7-80

EFF % 0.0 91.2
RIS-PLAN 11:22

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KM QUINTOX **

DATE 08-11-88
HEAVY OIL
O2 % 7.8
CO PPM .. 398
Pr's mBar 0.33
EFF % (G) 82.7
XAIR % 59
CO2 % 9.9
NO2 PPM .. 235
REF. NO2 ... 3.0
NETT .. F 827.2
FLUE .. F 428.1
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 73.9

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KM QUINTOX **

DATE 08-11-99
TIME 10:14:47

HEAVY OIL

O2 % 9.2
CO PPM .. 194
Pr's mBar 0.33
EFF % (G) 82.7
XAIR % 59
CO2 % 9.9
NO2 PPM .. 235
REF. NO2 ... 3.0
NETT .. F 827.2
FLUE .. F 428.1
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 73.9

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KM QUINTOX **

DATE 08-11-99
TIME 11:27:57

HEAVY OIL

O2 % 8.9
CO PPM .. 253
Pr's mBar -0.03
EFF % (G) 82.1
XAIR % 75
CO2 % 9.0
NO2 PPM .. 235
Pr's mBar 0.33
EFF % (G) 82.7
XAIR % 59
CO2 % 9.9
NO2 PPM .. 235
REF. NO2 ... 3.0
NETT .. F 827.2
FLUE .. F 428.1
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 73.9

8/10/99

#17559

S-F ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES

7200 gal.

A Subsidiary of Sommer-Frey Laboratories

Como Tube & Supply
 P.O. Box 16987
 1108 Port Terminal Drive
 Duluth, MN 55816
 Attn: Laura Lott

Received: 03/26/1999
 Reported: 04/05/1999
 Project#: 99002029
 PO: 18282

Analytical Results

Parameter	Result	MDL	Analyzed	By	Method
99A04852 : Used Oil 3/23/99					
Collected: 03/23/1999					
Amoclor 1016	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1221	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1232	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1242	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1248	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1254	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
Amoclor 1260	<2.0 mg/Kg	2	04/01/99	GLA	8082
BTU/pound	18840 BTU/lb	100	03/26/99	HTA	D240
BTU/Gallon	138100 BTU/gal	100	03/26/99	HTA	D240
Flash Point	>210 F		03/26/99	GGG	D3828
Sulfated Ash	0.85 %	0.01	03/29/99	GGG	D874
Sulfur	0.50 %	0.04	03/29/99	HTA	D129
Lead	17.7 ppm	0.1	03/29/99	GGG	6010
Halogens as Cl	456 mg/kg	100	03/29/99	HTA	D808
Sample pH	6.10 units		03/29/99	GGG	90408
Calcium	980 mg/kg	0.1	03/29/99	GGG	6010
Arsenic	<0.5 ppm	0.5	03/29/99	GGG	6010
Cadmium	0.5 ppm	0.1	03/29/99	GGG	6010
Chromium	2.2 ppm	0.1	03/29/99	GGG	6010

GLA - WI DNR# 999917160

Gary G. Geipel
 Gary G. Geipel
 Senior Analyst

6125 West National Avenue, P.O. Box 14513, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53214

(414) 475-6700 FAX: (414) 475-7216

Toll-Free: 800-300-6700

• Dept. of Health State Certified Laboratory #168 • Dept. of Natural Resources State Certified Laboratory #241249360 •
 • USDA Accredited Laboratory #5581 • NIOSH Proficiency Analytical Testing Program •

TOTAL P. 01

Environmental Programs Daily Tracking Form

Plant #: 25 Date: 8/11/99
Location: AMNCON
Plant Foreman: Richard Galindo

AIR QUALITY MONITORING

Production:

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage: 693.30
Diesel Fuel Gallons for Generator: 0
RAP % in mix: _____ RAP tons: _____
Mix Temperature (degrees F): 305
Hours of Operation: 4

Process fuel:

Fuel Type: WASTE Amt: 1282
Burner Pressure: 42 Fuel Temp: 150
Fuel Type: _____ Amt: _____
Burner Pressure: _____ Fuel Temp: _____
Spec. Sheet Delivered: X yes _____ no

Baghouse:

Magnehelic dP: 3 inches of water
Photohelic dP: .15 inches of water
Optimum photohelic range from burner
tune-up: .15 inches of water
Photohelic malfunction: _____ yes _____ no
(If yes, next day DNR notification required)
Weekly Inspection of drum and door seals,
ducts, photohelic, damper, & other
system parts: X yes _____ no
Maintenance Performed: See below

50,000 ton Blacklight Baghouse Inspection:
X yes _____ no

Number of Bags Replaced: 14 See #9

Fugitive Dust Control:

Control Measures: X yes _____ no
Water Amt. Applied: _____
Chemical Amt. Applied: _____
Speed Limit Control (mph): 20

After a short start up #9 still
some dust while on a rain delay change
the rest of the bags so all are
found a hole rusted to clean

Soil Remediation:

Soil Remediation Performed: _____ yes X no
Type: _____ commercial _____ own spill
Documented: _____ yes
(If yes, Complete Contaminated Soil
Tracking form and Monthly Soil
Remediation Summary form)

SPILL PREVENTION PLAN (SPCC)

Plant Inspection Conducted per SPCC
Inspection Outline (Attachment # 9)
X yes _____ no
Systems Locked out: _____ yes _____ no
Petroleum Equipment Maintenance: Clean
Scrubs & Nozzle
Weekly SPCC Meeting: X yes _____ no
Containment Water Released: _____ yes X no
(If yes, complete Water Drainage Form)

STORMWATER RUNOFF QUALITY

Best Mgmt. Practices (BMP) Applied: X yes _____ no
Petroleum Products Secure: X yes _____ no
Site Runoff Naturally Contained on Site:
X yes _____ no
If no, has containment been constructed:
_____ yes _____ no
Erosion Control Practices used (i.e. silt fence,
site seeding, slopes): _____

Rain Amount in last 24 hrs: .25 inches
Any known Petro. Contamination: _____ yes X no
Sediment Content:
X Low _____ Medium _____ High
(Water Containment Drainage
documentation is covered by SPCC)

To the best of my ability and to the extent that I am able to
observe under the conditions of this site, I have due diligently
completed this daily form. Signature means that the inspections
have been completed and any observed deficiencies have been
noted and communicated to the supervisors.

Plant Foreman's Signature: _____

OPERATING DATA SUMMARY FOR ASPHALT PLANT SOURCES

Form OAS
01/29

Test Date(s): 8/10/99

General:

Plant Mfr. & Model No.: Barber-Greene BM55X350

This plant is (circle one): Portable Stationary

Type(circle all that apply): Batch Mix Continuous Mix Parallel Flow Drum Counterflow Drum
Other: _____

Pollution Control Equipment(circle one): Baghouse Multiclone Cyclone Venturi Scrubber Wet Scrubber
If wet scrubbing: _____ % scrubber water recycled

List Mfr. & Model No.: Barber-Greene

Normal pressure drop range across control equipment is .12 inches water

Was the control equipment operating normally during test?(circle one) yes no

If no, explain _____

Date & procedure of last maintenance/cleaning of control equipment: 8/2/99 black light

Fuel, Material Processed, and Control Equipment Information:

Itemize all fuels and materials added to the combustion process during the test period. List fuel type used during testing (if oil, specify type) _____. If other units of measure are used, specify and calculate appropriate heat input. What other types of fuel can be burned? _____

Test No.	Fuel Input (gal/hr)	Heat Content (Btu/gal-as received)	Heat Input (Btu/hr)	% Recycle (If Used)	% - 200 fines	%Moisture of Virgin Aggregate Material
Run 1	<u>235</u>			<u>0</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>
Run 2	<u>235</u>			<u>0</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>
Run 3	<u>235</u>			<u>0</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>

%R= [(Recycle) ÷ (Aggregate + Recycle)]

Other Control Equipment Parameters	Design	During Testing		
Cleaning Cycles		<u>18 sec. pulse</u>		
Air to Cloth Ratios				
No. of spray bars including delivery pressure	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
No. of nozzles per spray bar	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
		Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
pH				
Pond Depth (list units)				
Total Suspended Solids				

Describe the location of the thermocouples reading exiting dryer and mix temperature: Inlet to baghouse, mix at chute to silo for mix temp

Note: All information required must be completed and submitted as part of the performance test. Failure to submit the required information will result in an incomplete performance test report.

[illegible]

OPERATING DATA SUMMARY FOR ASPHALT PLANT SOURCES

Form OA
017

Test Date(s): 8-27-99

General:

Plant Mfr. & Model No.: Barber-Greene DM-55

This plant is (circle one): Portable Stationary

Type(circle all that apply): Batch Mix Continuous Mix Parallel Flow Drum Counterflow Drum
Other: _____

Pollution Control Equipment(circle one): Baghouse Venturi Scrubber Wet Scrubber
Multiclone Cyclone If wet scrubbing: _____ % scrubber water recycled

List Mfr. & Model No.: Barber-Greene

Normal pressure drop range across control equipment is 2.5 inches water

Was the control equipment operating normally during test?(circle one) yes no

If no, explain _____

Date & procedure of last maintenance/cleaning of control equipment: _____
August 25, 1999

Fuel, Material Processed, and Control Equipment Information:

Itemize all fuels and materials added to the combustion process during the test period. List fuel type used during testing (if oil, specify type) oil. If other units of measure are used, specify and calculate appropriate heat input. What other types of fuel can be burned? #2 diesel

Test No.	Fuel Input (gal/hr)	Heat Content (Btu/gal-as received)	Heat Input (Btu/hr)	% Recycle ¹ (If Used)	% - 200 fines	%Moisture of Virgin Aggregate Material
Run 1	<u>303</u>			<u>N/A</u>		<u>8.44</u>
Run 2						
Run 3						

¹%R = [(Recycle) ÷ (Aggregate + Recycle)]

Other Control Equipment Parameters	Design	During Testing		
Cleaning Cycles	<u>18-30 sec.</u>	<u>20</u>		
Air to Cloth Ratios				
No. of spray bars including delivery pressure				
No. of nozzles per spray bar				
		Run 1	Run 2	Run 3
pH	<u>N/A</u>			
Pond Depth (list units)				
Total Suspended Solids				

Describe the location of the thermocouples reading exiting dryer and mix temperature: Inlet to bag house & chute from drum.

Note: All information required must be completed and submitted as part of the performance test. Failure to submit the required information will result in an incomplete performance test report.

Time in 15 minute intervals	Virgin (V) Aggregate Material tons per hour	Recycle (R) Material tons per hour	Asphalt (A) Material tons per hour	Total Material Throughput (V+R+A) tons per hour	Temp. of Gases Exiting Dryer °F	Drum Mix Temp. °F	Dust Collector ΔP inches w.c.	Water Flow Rate gpm	Water Supply Pressure psig
6:45	134		7.9		385	300			
7:00	131		8.04		380	300			
7:15	139		8.02		360	312			
7:30	125		7.65		355	302			
7:45	127		7.39		340	300			
8:00	122		8.02		355	308			
8:15	126		7.96		350	304			
8:30	127		7.93		348	305			
8:45	131		7.96		340	301			
9:00	132		7.91		350	300			
9:15	134		7.87		350	299			
9:30	135		7.97		330	290			
9:45	134		7.96		340	303			
10:00	132		8.02		340	310			
10:15	137		7.97		335	303			
10:30	128		7.27		330	294			
10:45	127		7.68		340	311			
11:00	—								
11:30	110		6.52		355	320			
11:45	130		7.57		345	313			

Temp.-Temperature gpm-gallons per minute w.c.-water column °F-degrees Fahrenheit psi-pounds per square inch ΔP-pressure drop

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KN QUINTOX **

DATE 08-27-99
TIME 10:13:28

HEAVY OIL

O2 % 9.9
CO PPM .. 917
PPM MBAR 0.01
EFF % (O) 100.0
XAIR % 91
CO2 % 8.3

NO PPM .. 205
NO2 PPM 0
NOX PPM .. 205
SO2 PPM 3
REF. %O2 ... 3.0

NETT .. F -322.9
FLUE .. F -225.5
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 97.3

*** KANE-MAY ***
** KN QUINTOX **

DATE 08-27-99
TIME 08:42:57

HEAVY OIL

O2 % 10.2
CO PPM .. 1343
PPM MBAR 0.01
EFF % (O) 100.0
XAIR % 96
CO2 % 8.0

NO PPM .. 189
NO2 PPM 0
NOX PPM .. 189
SO2 PPM 3
REF. %O2 ... 3.0

NETT .. F -338.2
FLUE .. F -256.9
INLT NOT FITTED
AMBIENT F 81.3

Environmental Programs Daily Tracking Form

Plant #: 25 Date: 8/27/99
 Location: Amn. 207
 Plant Foreman: Richard G. L. L.

AIR QUALITY MONITORING

Production:

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage: 1131.35
 Diesel Fuel Gallons for Generator: 0
 RAP % in mix: RAP tons:
 Mix Temperature (degrees F): 305
 Hours of Operation: 8

Process fuel:

Fuel Type: Waste Amt: 1892
 Burner Pressure: 42 Fuel Temp: 150
 Fuel Type: Amt:
 Burner Pressure: Fuel Temp:
 Spec. Sheet Delivered: X yes no

Baghouse:

Magnehelic dP: 3.5 inches of water
 Photohelic dP: 1.15 inches of water
 Optimum photohelic range from burner
 tune-up: 1.5 inches of water

Photohelic malfunction: yes X no
 (If yes, next day DNR notification required)

Weekly Inspection of drum and door seals,
 ducts, photohelic, damper, & other
 system parts: X yes no

Maintenance Performed: Stack test
to day

50,000 ton Blacklight Baghouse Inspection:
X yes no

Number of Bags Replaced:

Fugitive Dust Control:

Control Measures: X yes no

Water Amt. Applied:

Chemical Amt. Applied:

Speed Limit Control (mph): 10

Soil Remediation:

Soil Remediation Performed: yes X no

Type: commercial own spill

Documented: yes

(If yes, Complete Contaminated Soil
 Tracking form and Monthly Soil
 Remediation Summary form)

SPILL PREVENTION PLAN (SPCC)

Plant Inspection Conducted per SPCC

Inspection Outline (Attachment # 9)

X yes no

Systems Locked out: X yes no

Petroleum Equipment Maintenance: Clean

Screens & Nozzle

Weekly SPCC Meeting: X yes no

Containment Water Released: yes X no

(If yes, complete Water Drainage Form)

STORMWATER RUNOFF QUALITY

Best Mgmt. Practices (BMP) Applied: X yes no

Petroleum Products Secure: X yes no

Site Runoff Naturally Contained on Site:

X yes no

If no, has containment been constructed:

 yes no

Erosion Control Practices used (i.e. silt fence,
 site seeding, slopes):

Rain Amount in last 24 hrs: 0 inches

Any known Petro. Contamination: yes no

Sediment Content:

X Low Medium High

(Water Containment Drainage

documentation is covered by SPCC)

To the best of my ability and to the extent that I am able to
 observe under the conditions of this site, I have due diligently
 completed this daily form. Signature means that the inspections
 have been completed and any observed deficiencies have been
 noted and communicated to the supervisors.

Plant Foreman's Signature:

Richard G. L. L.

APPENDIX J

PROCEDURES

Particulate Loading and Emission Rates

The particulate emission rates were determined per EPA Methods 1 - 5, CFR Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A (revised July 1, 1992). In this procedure a preliminary velocity profile of the gases in the flue is obtained by means of a temperature and velocity traverse. On the basis of these values, sampling nozzles of appropriate diameter are selected to allow isokinetic sampling, a necessary prerequisite for obtaining a representative sample.

The sampling train consists of a heated glass-lined sampling probe equipped with a Type S pitot and a thermocouple. The probe is attached to a sampling module which houses the all-glass in line filter holder in a temperature controlled oven. The sampling module also houses the impinger case and a Drierite filled column. The sampling module is connected by means of an umbilical cord to the control module. The control module houses the dry test gas meter, the calibrated orifice, a leakless pump, two inclined manometers, and all controls required for operating the sampling train.

Particulate samples are collected as follows: The sample gas is drawn through the sampling probe isokinetically and passed through a 4-inch diameter Gelman Type A/E glass fiber filter where particulates are removed. The sample gas is then passed through an ice-cooled impinger train and a desiccant-packed column which absorbs remaining moisture. The sample gas then passes through a vacuum pump followed by a dry test gas meter. The gas meter integrates the sample gas flow throughout the course of the test. A calibrated orifice attached to the outlet of the gasmeter provides real time flow rate data.

A representative particulate sample was acquired by sampling for equal periods of time at the centroid of a number of equal area regions in the duct. The sampling rate is adjusted at each test point maintaining isokinetic sampling conditions. Nomographs are used for rapid determination of the sampling rate.

Method 6C—Determination of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions From Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

1. Applicability and Principle

1.1 Applicability. This method is applicable to the determination of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) concentrations in controlled and uncontrolled emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the regulations.

1.2 Principle. A gas sample is continuously extracted from a stack, and a portion of the sample is conveyed to an instrumental analyzer for determination of SO₂ gas concentration using an ultraviolet (UV), nondispersive infrared (NDIR), or fluorescence analyzer. Performance specifications and test procedures are provided to ensure reliable data.

2. Range and Sensitivity

2.1 Analytical Range. The analytical range is determined by the instrumental design. For this method, a portion of the analytical range is selected by choosing the span of the monitoring system. The span of the monitoring system shall be selected such that the pollutant gas concentration equivalent to the emission standard is not less than 30 percent of the span. If at any time during a run the measured gas concentration exceeds the span, the run shall be considered invalid.

2.2 Sensitivity. The minimum detectable limit depends on the analytical range, span, and signal-to-noise ratio of the measurement system. For a well designed system, the minimum detectable limit should be less than 2 percent of the span.

3. Definitions

3.1 Measurement System. The total equipment required for the determination of gas concentration. The measurement system consists of the following major subsystems:

3.1.1 Sample Interface. That portion of a system used for one or more of the following: sample acquisition, sample transport, sample conditioning, or protection of the analyzers from the effects of the stack effluent.

3.1.2 Gas Analyzer. That portion of the system that senses the gas to be measured and generates an output proportional to its concentration.

3.1.3 Data Recorder. A strip chart recorder, analog computer, or digital recorder for recording measurement data from the analyzer output.

3.2 Span. The upper limit of the gas concentration measurement range displayed on the data recorder.

3.3 Calibration Gas. A known concentration of a gas in an appropriate diluent gas.

3.4 Analyzer Calibration Error. The difference between the gas concentration exhibited by the gas analyzer and the known concentration of the calibration gas when the calibration gas is introduced directly to the analyzer.

3.5 Sampling System Bias. The difference between the gas concentrations exhibited by the measurement system when a known concentration gas is introduced at the outlet of the sampling probe and when the same gas is introduced directly to the analyzer.

3.6 Zero Drift. The difference in the measurement system output reading from the initial calibration response at the zero concentration level after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment took place.

3.7 Calibration Drift. The difference in the measurement system output reading from the initial calibration response at a mid-range calibration value after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment took place.

3.8 Response Time. The amount of time required for the measurement system to display 95 percent of a step change in gas concentration on the data recorder.

3.9 Interference Check. A method for detecting analytical interferences and excessive biases through direct comparison of gas concentrations provided by the measurement system and by a modified Method 6 procedure. For this check, the modified Method 6 samples are acquired at the sample by-pass discharge vent.

3.10 Calibration Curve. A graph or other systematic method of establishing the relationship between the analyzer response and the actual gas concentration introduced to the analyzer.

4. Measurement System Performance Specifications

4.1 Analyzer Calibration Error. Less than ± 2 percent of the span for the zero, mid-range, and high-range calibration gases.

4.2 Sampling System Bias. Less than ± 5 percent of the span for the zero, and mid— or high-range calibration gases.

4.3 Zero Drift. Less than ± 3 percent of the span over the period of each run.

4.4 Calibration Drift. Less than ± 3 percent of the span over the period of each run.

4.5 Interference Check. Less than ± 7 percent of the modified Method 6 result for each run.

5. Apparatus and Reagents

5.1 Measurement System. Any measurement system for SO₂ that meets the specifications of this method. A schematic of an acceptable measurement system is shown in Figure 6C-1. The essential components of the measurement system are described below:

5.1.1 Sample Probe. Glass, stainless steel, or equivalent, of sufficient length to traverse the sample points. The sampling probe shall be heated to prevent condensation.

5.1.2 Sample Line. Heated (sufficient to prevent condensation) stainless steel or Teflon tubing, to transport the sample gas to the moisture removal system.

5.1.3 Sample Transport Lines. Stainless steel or Teflon tubing, to transport the sample from the moisture removal system to the sample pump, sample flow rate control, and sample gas manifold.

5.1.4 Calibration Valve Assembly. A three—way valve assembly, or equivalent, for blocking the sample gas flow and introducing calibration gases to the measurement system at the outlet of the sampling probe when in the calibration mode.

5.1.5 Moisture Removal System. A refrigerator-type condenser or similar device (e.g., permeation dryer), to remove condensate continuously from the sample gas while maintaining minimal contact between the condensate and the sample gas. The moisture removal system is not necessary for analyzers that can measure gas concentrations on a wet basis; for these analyzers, (1) heat the sample line and all interface components up to the inlet of the analyzer sufficiently to prevent condensation, and (2) determine the moisture content and correct the measured gas concentrations to a dry basis using appropriate methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator. The determination of sample moisture content is not necessary for pollutant analyzers that measure concentrations on a wet basis when (1) a wet basis CO₂ analyzer operated according to Method 3A is used to obtain simultaneous measurements, and (2) the pollutant/CO₂ measurements are used to determine emissions in units of the standard.

5.1.6 Particulate Filter. An in-stack or heated (sufficient to prevent water condensation) out—of—stack filter. The filter shall be borosilicate or quartz glass wool, or glass fiber mat. Additional filters at the inlet or outlet of the moisture removal system and inlet of the analyzer may be used to prevent accumulation of particulate material in the measurement system and extend the useful life of the components. All filters shall be fabricated of materials that are nonreactive to the gas being sampled.

5.1.7 Sample Pump. A leak-free pump, to pull the sample gas through the system at a flow rate sufficient to minimize the response time of the measurement system. The pump may be constructed of any material that is nonreactive to the gas being sampled.

5.1.8 Sample Flow Rate Control. A sample flow rate control valve and rotameter, or equivalent, to maintain a constant sampling rate within 10 percent.

(Note: The tester may elect to install a back-pressure regulator to maintain the sample gas manifold at a constant pressure in order to protect the analyzer(s) from over pressurization, and to minimize the need for flow rate adjustments.)

5.1.9 Sample Gas Manifold. A sample gas manifold, to divert a portion of the sample gas stream to the analyzer, and the remainder to the by-pass discharge vent. The sample gas manifold should also include provisions for introducing calibration gases directly to the analyzer. The manifold may be constructed of any material that is nonreactive to the gas being sampled.

5.1.10 Gas Analyzer. A UV or NDIR absorption or fluorescence analyzer, to determine continuously the SO_2 concentration in the sample gas stream. The analyzer shall meet the applicable performance specifications of Section 4. A means of controlling the analyzer flow rate and a device for determining proper sample flow rate (e.g., precision rotameter, pressure gauge downstream of all flow controls, etc.) shall be provided at the analyzer.

(Note: Housing the analyzer(s) in a clean, thermally-stable, vibration-free environment will minimize drift in the analyzer calibration.)

5.1.11 Data Recorder. A strip chart recorder, analog computer, or digital recorder, for recording measurement data. The data recorder resolution (i.e., readability) shall be 0.5 percent of span. Alternatively, a digital or analog meter having a resolution of 0.5 percent of span may be used to obtain the analyzer responses and the readings may be recorded manually. If this alternative is used, the readings shall be obtained at equally spaced intervals over the duration of the sampling run. For sampling run durations of less than 1 hour, measurements at 1—minute intervals or a minimum of 30 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be obtained. For sampling run durations greater than 1 hour, measurements at 2—minute intervals or a minimum of 96 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be obtained.

5.2 Method 6 Apparatus and Reagents. The apparatus and reagents described in Method 6, and shown by the schematic of the sampling train in Figure 6C-2, to conduct the interference check.

5.3 SO_2 Calibration Gases. The calibration gases for the gas analyzer shall be SO_2 in N_2 or SO_2 in air. Alternatively, SO_2/CO_2 , SO_2/O_2 , or $\text{SO}_2/\text{CO}_2/\text{O}_2$ gas mixtures in N_2 may be used. For fluorescence-based analyzers, the O_2 and CO_2 concentrations of the calibration gases as introduced to the analyzer shall be within 1 percent (absolute) O_2 and 1 percent (absolute) CO_2 of the O_2 and CO_2 concentrations of the effluent samples as introduced to the analyzer. Alternatively, for fluorescence-based analyzers, use calibration blends of SO_2 in air and the nomographs provided by the vendor to determine the quenching correction factor (the effluent O_2 and CO_2 concentrations must be known). Use three calibration gases as specified below:

5.3.1 High-Range Gas. Concentration equivalent to 80 to 100 percent of the span.

5.3.2 Mid-Range Gas. Concentration equivalent to 40 to 60 percent of the span.

5.3.3 Zero Gas. Concentration of less than 0.25 percent of the span. Purified ambient air may be used for the zero gas by passing air through a charcoal filter, or through one or more impingers containing a solution of 3 percent H_2O_2 .

6. Measurement System Performance Test Procedures

Perform the following procedures before measurement of emissions (Section 7).

6.1 Calibration Gas Concentration Verification. There are two alternatives for establishing the concentrations of calibration gases. Alternative Number 1 is preferred.

6.1.1 Alternative Number 1—Use of calibration gases that are analyzed following the Environmental Protection Agency Traceability Protocol Number 1 (see Citation 1 in the Bibliography). Obtain a certification from the gas manufacturer that Protocol Number 1 was followed.

6.1.2 Alternative Number 2—Use of calibration gases not prepared according to Protocol Number 1. If this alternative is chosen, obtain gas mixtures with a manufacturer's tolerance not to exceed ± 2 percent of the tag value. Within 6 months before the emission test, analyze each of the calibration gases in triplicate using Method 6. Citation 2 in the Bibliography describes procedures and techniques that may be used for this analysis. Record the results on a data sheet (example is shown in Figure 6C-3). Each of the individual SO₂ analytical results for each calibration gas shall be within 5 percent (or 5 PPM, whichever is greater) of the triplicate set average; otherwise, discard the entire set, and repeat the triplicate analyses. If the average of the triplicate analyses is within 5 percent of the calibration gas manufacturer's cylinder tag value, use the tag value; otherwise, conduct at least three additional analyses until the results of six consecutive runs agree with 5 percent (or 5 PPM, whichever is greater) of their average. Then use this average for the cylinder value.

6.2 Measurement System Preparation. Assemble the measurement system by following the manufacturer's written instructions for preparing and preconditioning the gas analyzer and, as applicable, the other system components. Introduce the calibration gases in any sequence, and make all necessary adjustments to calibrate the analyzer and the data recorder. Adjust system components to achieve correct sampling rates.

6.3 Analyzer Calibration Error. Conduct the analyzer calibration error check by introducing calibration gases to the measurement system at any point upstream of the gas analyzer as follows:

6.3.1 After the measurement system has been prepared for use, introduce the zero, mid-range, and high-range gases to the analyzer. During this check, make no adjustments to the system except those necessary to achieve the correct calibration gas flow rate at the analyzer. Record the analyzer responses to each calibration gas on a form similar to Figure 6C-4.

Note: A calibration curve established prior to the analyzer calibration error check may be used to convert the analyzer response to the equivalent gas concentration introduced to the analyzer. However, the same correction procedure shall be used for all effluent and calibration measurements obtained during the test.

6.3.2 The analyzer calibration error check shall be considered invalid if the gas concentration displayed by the analyzer exceeds ± 2 percent of the span for any of the calibration gases. If an invalid calibration is exhibited, take corrective action, and repeat the analyzer calibration error check until acceptable performance is achieved.

6.4 Sampling System Bias Check. Perform the sampling system bias check by introducing calibration gases at the calibration valve installed at the outlet of the sampling probe. A zero gas and either the mid-range or high-range gas, whichever most closely approximates the effluent concentrations, shall be used for this check as follows:

6.4.1 Introduce the upscale calibration gas, and record the gas concentration displayed by the analyzer on a form similar to Figure 6C-5. Then introduce zero gas, and record the gas concentration displayed by the analyzer. During the sampling system bias check, operate the system at the normal sampling rate, and make no adjustments to the measurement system other than those necessary to achieve proper calibration gas flow rates at the analyzer. Alternately introduce the zero and upscale gases until a stable response is achieved. The tester shall determine the measurement system response time by observing the times required to achieve a stable response for both the zero and upscale gases. Note the longer of the two times as the response time.

6.4.2 The sampling system bias check shall be considered invalid if the difference between the gas concentrations displayed by the measurement system for the analyzer calibration error check and for the sampling system bias check exceeds ± 5 percent of the span for either the zero or upscale calibration gas. If an invalid calibration is exhibited, take corrective action, and repeat the sampling system bias check until acceptable performance is achieved. If adjustment to the analyzer is required, first repeat the analyzer calibration error check, then repeat the sampling system bias check.

7. Emission Test Procedure

7.1 Selection of Sampling Site and Sampling Points. Select a measurement site and sampling points using the same criteria that are applicable to Method 6.

7.2 Interference Check Preparation. For each individual analyzer, conduct an interference check for at least three runs during the initial field test on a particular source category. Retain the results, and report them with each test performed on that source category. If an interference check is being performed, assemble the modified Method 6 train (flow control valve, two midjet impingers containing 3 percent H_2O_2 , and dry gas meter) as shown in Figure 6C-2. Install the sampling train to obtain a sample at the measurement system sample by-pass discharge vent. Record the initial dry gas meter reading.

7.3 Sample Collection. Position the sampling probe at the first measurement point, and begin sampling at the same rate as used during the sampling system bias check. Maintain constant rate sampling (i.e., ± 10 percent) during the entire run. The sampling time per run shall be the same as for Method 6 plus twice the system response time. For each run, use only those measurements obtained after twice response time of the measurement system has elapsed, to determine the average effluent concentration. If an interference check is being performed, open the flow control valve on the modified Method 6 train concurrent with the initiation of the sampling period, and adjust the flow to 1 liter per minute (± 10 percent).

(Note: If a pump is not used in the modified Method 6 train, caution should be exercised in adjusting the flow rate since overpressurization of the impingers may cause leakage in the impinger train, resulting in positively biased results).

7.4 Zero and Calibration Drift Tests. Immediately preceding and following each run, or if adjustments are necessary for the measurement system during the run, repeat the sampling system bias check procedure described in Section 6.4 (Make no adjustments to the measurement system until after the drift checks are completed.) Record and analyzer's responses on a form similar to Figure 6C-5.

7.4.1 If either the zero or upscale calibration value exceeds the sampling system bias specification, then the run is considered invalid. Repeat both the analyzer calibration error check procedure (Section 6.3) and the sampling system bias check procedure (Section 6.4) before repeating the run.

7.4.2 If both the zero and upscale calibration values are within the sampling system bias specification, then use the average of the initial and final bias check values to calculate the gas concentration for the run. If the zero or upscale calibration drift value exceeds the drift limits, based on the difference between the sampling system bias check responses immediately before and after the run, repeat both the analyzer calibration error check procedure (Section 6.3) and the sampling system bias check procedure (Section 6.4) before conducting additional runs.

7.5 Interference Check (if performed). After completing the run, record the final dry gas meter reading, meter temperature, and barometric pressure. Recover and analyze the contents of the midget impingers, and determine the SO_2 gas concentration using the procedures of Method 6. (It is not necessary to analyze EPA performance audit samples for Method 6.) Determine the average gas concentration exhibited by the analyzer for the run. If the gas concentrations provided by the analyzer and the modified Method 6 differ by more than 7 percent of the modified Method 6 result, the run is invalidated.

8. Emission Calculation

The average gas effluent concentration is determined from the average gas concentration displayed by the gas analyzer, and is adjusted for the zero and upscale sampling system bias checks, as determined in accordance with Section 7.4. The average gas concentration displayed by the analyzer may be determined by integration of the area under the curve for chart recorders, or by averaging all of the effluent measurements. Alternatively, the average may be calculated from measurements recorded at equally spaced intervals over the entire duration of the run. For sampling run durations of less than 1 hour, measurements at 1—minute intervals or a minimum of 30 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be used. For sampling run durations greater than 1 hour, measurements at 2—minute intervals or a minimum of 96 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be used. Calculate the effluent gas concentration using Equation 6C-1.

$$\bar{C}_{gas} = (C - C_o) \frac{C_{ma}}{C_m - C_o} \text{ Eq. 6C - 1}$$

Where:

C_{gas} = Effluent gas concentration, dry basis, PPM.

$\bar{C}$ = Average gas concentration indicated by gas analyzer, dry basis, PPM.

C_o = Average of initial and final system calibration bias check responses for the zero gas, PPM.

C_m = Average of initial and final system calibration bias check responses for the upscale calibration gas, PPM.

C_{ma} = Actual concentration of the upscale calibration gas, PPM.

9. Bibliography

1. Traceability Protocol for Establishing True Concentrations of Gases Used for Calibrations and Audits of Continuous Source Emission Monitors: Protocol Number 1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Quality Assurance Division. Research Triangle Park, NC. June 1978.
2. Westlin, Peter R. and J. W. Brown. Methods for Collecting and Analyzing Gas Cylinder Samples. Source Evaluation Society Newsletter. 3(3):5-15. September 1978.

|_See_CFR_paper_publication_for_illustration_0809

|_See_CFR_paper_publication_for_illustration_0810

APPENDIX K

CALCULATION EQUATIONS

METHOD 2
CALCULATION EQUATIONS

$$\bar{V}_s = 85.49 C_p (\sqrt{\Delta p})_{avg} \sqrt{\frac{T_{s(avg)}}{P_s M_s}}$$

$$Q_{s,d} = 60 (1 - B_{ws}) \bar{V}_s A \left(\frac{528}{T_{s(avg)}} \right) \left(\frac{P_s}{29.92} \right)$$

$$Q_a = 60 \bar{V}_s A$$

$$\dot{m}_s = \frac{4.995 Q_{s,d} G_d}{1 - B_{ws}}$$

$$RH^* = 100 (vp_{twb} - 0.0003641 P_s (T_{db} - T_{wb})) / vp_{tdb}$$

$$B_{ws}^* = RH(vp_{tdb}) / P_s$$

$$\rho = \frac{4.585 \times 10^{-2} P_s M_s}{T_s (avg)}$$

*Alternate equations for calculating moisture content from wet bulb and dry bulb data.

SYMBOLS

A	=	Cross Sectional area of stack, SQ. FT.
A_n	=	Cross sectional area of nozzle, SQ. FT.
B_{ws}	=	Water vapor in gas stream, proportion by volume
C_p	=	Pitot tube coefficient, dimensionless
C_s	=	Concentration of particulate matter in stack gas, wet basis, GR/ACF
C_d	=	Concentration of particulate matter in stack gas, dry basis, corrected to standard conditions, GR/DSCF
EA	=	Excess air, percent by volume
γ	=	Dry test meter correction factor, dimensionless
G_d	=	Specific gravity (relative to air), dimensionless
I	=	Isokinetic variation, percent by volume
M_d	=	Molecular weight of stack gas, dry basis, g/g - mole.
m_g	=	Mass flow of wet flue gas, LB/HR
m_p	=	Particulate mass flow, LB/HR
M_s	=	Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis, g/g mole.
M_p	=	Total amount of particulate matter collected, g
P_{bar}	=	Atmospheric pressure, IN. HG. (uncompensated)
P_g	=	Stack static gas pressure, IN. WC.
P_s	=	Absolute pressure of stack gas, IN. HG.
P_{std}	=	Standard absolute pressure, 29.92 IN. HG.
A_a	=	Actual volumetric stack gas flow rate, ACFM
$Q_{s, d}$	=	Dry volumetric stack gas flow rate corrected to standard conditions, DSCFM
RH	=	Relative humidity, %

032294-G:STACK/WP/METHODS-EQ.15

T_{db}	=	Dry bulb temperature of stack gas, °F
T_{wb}	=	Wet bulb temperature of stack gas, °F
$T_{m(avg)}$	=	Absolute average dry gas meter temperature, °R
$T_{s(avg)}$	=	Absolute average stack temperature, °R
T_{std}	=	Standard absolute temperature, 528 °R (68 °F)
θ	=	Total sampling time, min.
V_{lc}	=	Total volume of liquid collected in impingers and silica gel, ml
V_m	=	Volume of gas sample as measured by dry gas meter, CF
$V_{m(std)}$	=	Volume of gas sample measured by the dry gas meter corrected to standard conditions, DSCF
$V_{w(std)}$	=	Volume of water vapor in the gas sample corrected to standard conditions, SCF
$\bar{V}_s$	=	Average actual stack gas velocity, FT/SEC
$v_{p_{tdb}}$	=	Vapor pressure at T_{db} , IN. HG.
$v_{p_{twb}}$	=	Vapor pressure at T_{wb} , IN. HG.
$\overline{\Delta H}$	=	Average pressure differential across the orifice meter, IN. WC.
ΔP	=	Velocity pressure of stack gas, IN. WC.
γ	=	Dry test meter correction coefficient, dimensionless
ρ	=	Actual gas density, LB/ACF

METHOD 3
CALCULATION EQUATIONS

$$\%EA = \frac{100(\%O_2 - 0.5\% CO)}{0.264\% N_2 - \%O_2 + 0.5\% CO}$$

$$M_d = 0.44(\%CO_2) + 0.32 (\%O_2) + 0.28 (\%N_2 + \%CO)$$

$$M_s = M_d (I - B_{ws}) + 0.18 B_{ws}$$

$$B_{ws} = \frac{V_{w(std)}}{V_{w(std)} + V_{m(std)}}$$

METHOD 5
CALCULATION EQUATIONS

$$V_{m(std)} = 17.65 V_m \gamma \left(\frac{P_{bar} + \overline{\Delta H}/13.6}{T_{m(avg)}} \right)$$

$$V_{w(std)} = 0.0472 V_s$$

$$B_{ws} = \frac{V_{w(std)}}{V_{w(std)} + V_{m(std)}}$$

$$I = 0.0944 \left(\frac{T_{s(avg)} V_{m(std)}}{P_s V_s A_n \theta (I - B_{ws})} \right)$$

$$C_s = \frac{15.43 M_p}{V_{m(std)}}$$

$$C_a = \frac{272.3 M_p P_s}{T_{s(avg)} (V_{w(std)} + V_{m(std)})}$$

$$(\dot{m}_p)_1 = 8.5714 \times 10^{-3} C_s Q_{s,d}$$

$$(\dot{m}_p)_2 = \frac{1.3228 \times 10^{-1} M_p A}{\theta A_n}$$

$$\dot{m}_p = \frac{(\dot{m}_p)_1 + (\dot{m}_p)_2}{2}$$

VISIBLE EMISSIONS EVALUATOR

This is to certify that

Doug Peterson

met the specifications of Federal Reference Method 9
and qualified as a visible emissions evaluator.

Maximum deviation on white and black smoke did not
exceed 7.5% opacity and no single error exceeding
15% opacity was incurred during the certification test
conducted by Eastern Technical Associates of Raleigh,
North Carolina. This certificate is valid for six months
from date of issue.

270123

Certificate Number

Minneapolis, Minnesota

Location

April 7, 1999

Date of Issue

Thomas Fore
President

Michael J. Sanford
Director of Training