

Note: This material is related to a section in *AP42, Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume I Stationary Point and Area Sources*. AP42 is located on the EPA web site at [www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/](http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/)

The file name refers to the file number, the AP42 chapter and then the section. The file name "rel01\_c01s02.pdf" would mean the file relates to AP42 chapter 1 section 2. The document may be out of date and related to a previous version of the section. The document has been saved for archival and historical purposes. The primary source should always be checked. If current related information is available, it will be posted on the AP42 webpage with the current version of the section.



IIT Research Institute  
10 West 35 Street, Chicago, Illinois 60616  
312/225-9630

January 25, 1974

Mr. William Vatavuk  
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Research Triangle Park,  
North Carolina 27711

Dear Bill:

Attached, please find 3 copies of the proposed publication for the Emission Factors work performed under Contract No. 68-02-0641.

We would appreciate it, if you could review this publication and notify us of any comments that you might have concerning it. We also formally request permission from the EPA to allow us to publish the article in a pertinent journal.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'John'.

John D. Stockham  
Manager  
Fine Particles Research

JDS/nh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
FOREST SERVICE  
Southeastern Forest Experiment Station  
Southern Forest Fire Laboratory  
Post Office Box 5106  
Macon, Georgia 31208

1630

August 9, 1973



Mr. William M. Vatavuk  
Office of Air Quality Planning  
and Standards  
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Research Triangle Park, N. C. 27711

Dear Bill:

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to review the IIT Research Institute's Report on forest fires and atmospheric emissions. Dr. Yamate has brought together a great deal of information which bears on the emission problems. We hope it will be put to good use.

In a few instances, we had some trouble with figures--particularly fuel loadings. Perhaps this is due to the fact that we still have difficulty with the various fuel loading categories. For example, most of us agree that "available fuel" is that fuel which will be consumed under a given set of, or prevailing, weather conditions. We have a little more trouble with "total fuel." Most of us think of "total fuel" as all the material that would burn under the most severe weather and burning conditions. This would generally include litter, grass, small debris, bark, needles, leaves, and twigs up to  $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch in diameter. Beyond that, we might say that we have "potential fuel"--all the larger material (logs, limbs, and twigs above  $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch in diameter) that is considered fuel but would not burn under even high-intensity wildfire situations.

In the first table, for example, (Complete Summary of Emissions and Emission Factors) there appear to be some errors. If the wildfire fuel consumption in the Rocky Mountain Group is 60 tons per acre, shouldn't the region consumptions average out at the same figure? Where did the 30 tons per acre come from for the Southern Group? How about the 12 tons? We found this summary table difficult to follow.

On page 39, an estimate of wildfire fuel consumption by geographic areas is given. The range for the Southern Area appears to be extremely high. Our best estimate would be 1 to 50 tons per acre with an average of about 9 tons. We suspect that the other area figures might also be high. Consumption estimates are meant to reflect, to our way of thinking, "available fuel."

In discussing fuels in Region 1 (pages 41-44), the report states that 75 percent of the logging slash is made up of pieces larger than 4 inches in diameter--55 to 65 percent of the total available fuel burns.

What is "total available fuel?" We're mixing two terms here.

If the statements are true, it hardly seems possible that 60 percent of the 100 tons of fuel would burn if 75 percent of the weight is 4 inches and larger.

On pages 59 and 60, it is stated that "wildfires consume 2 to 2.25 million acres with a fuel loading of 2 to 4 tons per acre (average of 3 tons per acre)." This is wrong. For prescribed fires, it's about right. In the South, wildfires consumed on the average of 2.3 million acres annually during the past 10-year period. Fuel loadings of 1 to 50 tons per acre are encountered, with an average consumption of about 2 tons per acre.

We wondered why these figures differed so much from those in other parts of the report?

Also, on page 60 (first complete sentence), upper litter layers constitute first part of the fuel to be consumed.

We cannot agree with rules-of-thumb on page 75--some misunderstanding here.

Some of the expansions are confusing. For example, on page 88, the statement is made that  $2 \times 10^{22}$  particles per acre are released from grass fires. Using the density of wood smoke as 1.3 gm. per cc. (for sawdust fires--may not be correct for grass fires), an estimate is made that the weight of particulates would amount to 5,700 pounds per acre. If the Australian figure of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  to 2 percent of litter quantities in the form of particulates is correct, this would mean that a loading of 140 to 200 tons of grass per acre might be expected. This is pretty ridiculous.

We found the Recommendations for Future Work, References, and Appendices to be valuable additions to the report.

When the final report is complete, we would appreciate it if several copies might be made available for our use here at the Laboratory.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



ROBERT W. COOPER  
Program Manager

Estimation of Emissions from

~~^ Emission Factors for~~ Forest Wildfires

by

G. Yamate and J. Stockham  
IIT Research Institute  
10 West 35th Street

and

W. Vatavuk  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Research Triangle Park, N.C.  
27711

#### Acknowledgement

This study was supported by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under contract 68-02-0641. The co-operation of personnel in the U.S. Forest Service and cooperating universities who contributed documents, data, demonstrations, and many valuable hours of discussion is gratefully acknowledged.

## ABSTRACT

Emission factors have been developed for estimating atmospheric emissions from forest <sup>wild</sup>fires, particularly ~~wildfires~~. The emission factors cover five pollutants: particulates, hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur oxides. The factors, expressed as pounds of pollutant released to the atmosphere <sup>per</sup> ~~for each~~ acre of forest land burned, were developed using pollutant yield data, (pounds of pollutant released per ton of forest fuel consumed,) and estimates of the fuel consumed per acre by a wildfire. Fuel consumed estimates were developed from available fuel inventories prepared by regional foresters. Pollutant yield data were obtained from measurements made on laboratory, burning tower, and field experimental and managed fires. Each ton of forest fuel consumed yields 17 lbs of particulates, 140 lbs of CO, 24 lbs of hydrocarbons <sup>as methane</sup> and 4 lbs of nitrogen oxides. These yields appear independent of the type of fuel. Sulfur oxide yields are negligible. Emission factors are presented for each of the 9 forest regions, the 5 forest groups, and for the United States. Hawaii is excluded. Emissions from wildfire for the year 1971, the last year for which published wildfires statistics are available, are presented.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page No.</u>
1.	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
2.	WILDFIRE STATISTICS . . . . .	4
3.	FOREST FUELS . . . . .	4
3.1	Region 1, Northern Region . . . . .	10
3.2	Region 2, Rocky Mountain Region . . . . .	10
3.3	Region 3, Southwest Region . . . . .	13
3.4	Region 4, Intermountain Region . . . . .	13
3.5	Region 5, California Region . . . . .	13
3.6	Region 6, Pacific Northwest . . . . .	14
3.7	Region 8, Southern Region . . . . .	14
3.8	Region 9, Eastern Region . . . . .	14
3.9	Region 10, Alaska Region . . . . .	16
4.	POLLUTANT YIELDS . . . . .	16
5.	EMISSION FACTORS, AND ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.	23

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1. Nationwide Estimates of Emissions from . . Forest Fires . . . . .	2
2. Wildfire Statistics, 1971 . . . . .	6
3. Fuel Loadings in the National Fire Danger Rating Systems . . . . .	8
4. Estimated Regional Fuel Consumed Values .	11
5. Fuel Loadings, Region 2, Rocky Mountain Region . . . . .	12
6. Fuel Loadings, Region 3, Southwestern Region . . . . .	12
7. Fuel Loadings, Region 4, Intermountain . . Region . . . . .	12
8. Fuel Loadings, Region 5, California. . . . Region . . . . .	15
9. Forest Acreage by Fuel Model, Region 6, Pacific Northwest . . . . .	15
10. Fuel Loadings, Region 9, Eastern Region .	17
11. Published Pollutant Yields . . . . .	19
12. Pollutant Yields from the Burning of Forest Fuels . . . . .	20
13. Summary of Emission Factors and Emissions.	22



## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Monitoring and Data Analysis Division, of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is responsible for developing and reporting ~~emission factors~~ <sup>emission factors</sup> for both natural and man-made pollutant sources. The objective of this study was to develop improved emission factors for estimating atmospheric emissions from forest fires, ~~especially wildfires~~. Forest fires ~~produce~~ <sup>emit</sup> considerable quantities of pollutants into the atmosphere. In 1970, nationwide estimates <sup>(1)</sup> indicate forest fires accounted for about 1% of the nitrogen oxides and hydrocarbon emissions, 2.7% of the carbon monoxide, and 5.3% of the particulates. Emission trends (Table 1) show that the emissions have consistently declined over the past 30 years; in 1970, emissions were 14% of the 1940 levels. The reduction in emissions is probably in part due to the highly successful fire prevention programs of the U.S. Forest Service. However, fire in the nation's forest lands is not necessarily all evil; ~~many~~ <sup>many</sup> benefits occur from fire. ~~Included in the benefits are the~~ <sup>included in the benefits are the</sup> prolongation of the familiar fire-resistant, seral, species we recognize in our forests, hazard reduction through litter removal, and insect and disease control.

The EPA defines the emission factor as a statistical average of the rate at which a pollutant is released to the atmosphere as a result of some activity, such as combustion or industrial production, divided by the level of that activity. The level of activity specified by the EPA for forest wildfires was the number of acres burned, a more convenient activity level indicator in this instance than weight of fuel consumed. ~~The EPA has published a collection of emission factors.~~ <sup>(2,3)</sup>

mean less  
servative -  
50?

Table 1

Nationwide Estimates of Emissions  
from Forest Fires *Wildfire*

Pollutant	Emissions, 10 <sup>3</sup> tons per year						(1)
	1940	(2)	1950	1960	1968	1969	1970
sulfur oxides (SO <sub>2</sub> )	neg.		neg.	neg.	neg.	neg.	neg.
particulates	5930		2910	1680	1220	1960	835
carbon monoxide	17700		8670	5000	3640	5750	2460
hydrocarbons (C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	1410		693	405	291	460	197
nitrogen oxides (NO <sub>2</sub> )	699		343	198	144	230	98

Source: Nationwide Air Pollutant Emission Trends, 1940-1970, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, N. C. Publ. AP-115, January 1973.

(1) Includes prescribed burning.

(2) Negligible (less than 50,000 tons/year.)

*During the preparation of this paper,*  
 Four elements of information were essential to the *estimation of these* ~~objective~~  
~~of this study.~~ These were:

1. Pollutant yields.
2. Fuel consumed *per acre.*
3. Environmental effects on fire.
4. Wildfire statistics.

Pollutant yields, as a function of forest fuel type, were sought for five pollutants: particulates, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, and sulfur oxides. Yields ~~are~~ <sup>were</sup> expressed as the weight of pollutant released to the atmosphere per unit weight of forest fuel consumed. The fuel consumed per acre of burned-over land was needed to develop the emission factors using the expression:

$$(EF)_p = Y_p \times F_c$$

where

$(EF)_p$  = emission factors for pollutant, p, in lbs/acre,

$Y_p$  = yield factor for pollutant, p, in lbs per ton of forest fuel consumed

$F_c$  = the tons of forest fuel consumed by the wildfire per acre of forest burned.

Fire behavior is influenced substantially by the environment. Information on how terrain, wind, and humidity effect emission was sought. The effect of these variables was to be expressed as adjustments to the emission factors. Finally, statistics <sup>on</sup> ~~of~~ wildfires, particularly the acreage of forest lands consumed by wildfires, were needed to estimate emissions using the expression:

$$E_p = (EF)_p \times A_c$$

where

$E_p$  = the pounds of pollutant, p, emitted to the atmosphere from forest fires

$A_c$  = the acreage of forest land burned-over by forest fires.

Information on each of the above elements was sought in the open literature, sequestered reports, documents with limited distribution, results of on-going experiments and studies, and from discussions with key personnel working in forest fire science and environmental engineering.

## 2. WILDFIRE STATISTICS

The U.S. Forest Service, Division of Cooperative Forest Fire Control, U.S. Department of Agriculture is the principal source of wildfire statistics. Data are reported for each administrative unit in the Forest Service. The Forest Service is divided into 5 groups, 9 regions, and 8 experimental stations. The geographical boundaries of the regions and stations are shown in Figure 1.

Wildfire statistics for 1971 <sup>(4)</sup> are summarized in Table 2. Number of fires 101  
2. In 1971, 108,398 fires burned over 4,278,472 acres of forest land. Approximately 46.5% of the fires occurred in the Pacific Group. About 94% of the fires were small; burning less than 100 acres each. Only 0.3% of the fires burned 1000 or more acres apiece. These few large fires, however, consumed about 52.5% of the total acres burned.

## 3. FOREST FUELS

The determination of fuel consumed in a forest fire was crucial to the task of developing improved emission factors. The Forest Service considers fuel assessment to be of major importance. However, the service is primarily concerned with fire ignition potential, rate of spread, intensity, and containment and not emissions and air quality.

The Rocky Mountain Experiment Station is presently developing the National Fire Danger Rating System (NFDR) <sup>(5)</sup>. This system uses fuel models to plan fire control activities.

# ADMINISTRATIVE REGIONS AND EXPERIMENT STATIONS, U.S. Forest Service




Figure 1

But this is the type map I meant.

They are all screwed up.  
 5 Yes, Jim, but (as they say) "beauty is in the eye of the bureaucrat"!

Explain in footnote how total "United States" figures were obtained.

Table 2  
Wildfire Statistics, 1971

Forest Group Forest Region	Rocky Mountain 1. Northern 2. Rocky Mountain 3. Southwestern 4. Intermountain	Pacific 5. California 6. Pacific Northwest 10. Alaska	Southern 8. Southern 9. Eastern	North Central 9. Eastern	United States
Forest area, <del>in</del> acres	454,033	452,167	250,767	90,073	1,326,202
Percent protected (1)	95	85	96	96	92
Number of fires	14,088	9295	60,157	11,832	108,398
Area burned, acres	774,405	1,162,728	1,992,339	232,749	4,278,472
Number of wildfires by size class (2)					
A-0.25 acres and less	7647	7168	7729	2669	5277
B-0.26 - 9	4191	1556	37886	5572	6626
C-10 - 99	1237	361	11682	1698	971
D-100 - 299	215	84	1071	185	106
E-300 - 999	147	52	318	35	35
F-1000 - 4999	74	41	100	7	10
G-5000 and more acres	27	33	28	1	1
Acres burned by size class (2)					
A-0.25 acres and less	124	56	8	55	398
B-0.26 - 9	12414	3527	188,897	15006	233,244
C-10 - 99	39736	13572	342,986	49933	472,044
D-100 - 299	37455	14266	170,123	28904	268,872
E-300 - 999	91741	29014	163,273	18793	319,428
F-1000 - 4999	183,565	97733	194,522	8238	505,206
G-5000 and more acres	399,445	1,004,560	312,278	9320	1,746,703

- (1) Protected by the Cooperated Fire Control Program, the U.S. Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, National Park Service Bureau of Indian Affairs, Fish and Wildlife Service, Tennessee Valley Authority.
- (2) Protected area only.

The models organize forest fuel information and environmental factors as inputs into nine fire spread models which were first introduced by Rothermel <sup>(6)</sup>. The fuel models are not based on cover types, but on how much fuel, by classes, is present and how it is arranged. Rather diverse cover types are grouped together because they have similar fuel properties. The fuel loadings for various types of fuels are given in Table 3.

Classifying forests other than by botanical descriptions or geographical demarcations is a relatively recent development. Schroeder <sup>(7)</sup> divided the country into homogeneous areas based on the combination of fire climate and fuels. Komarek <sup>(8)</sup> proposed seven lightning, bio-climate regions for North America. The Rand Corporation, <sup>(9)</sup> while investigating the possible extent of wildland fires that might result from a large scale nuclear attack, reduced wildland vegetation to 15 fuel types. Fahnestock <sup>(10)</sup> characterized forest fuels using two keys: fire spread potential and crowning potential. Region 6 has a guide for fuel type identification; <sup>(11)</sup> but, unfortunately, fuel loading estimates are not included.

Deriving fuel consumed figures from fuel loading estimates is not straightforward. A precise definition of the word "fuel loading" is lacking and it has not received consistent usage in fire science. The term has been used to describe forest fuels vastly different in physical and combustion properties. In the NFDR system, concerned with ignition and spreading potential, the smaller sized fuel particles are emphasized. Adding to the confusion, is the fact that wildfires seldom, if ever, consume all the combustible material in the fire path. Light surface fires consumed only the top litter layer, brush fires typically consume most of the litter and brush, <sup>while</sup> high intensity fires and crown fires burn all litter, fine fuel particles, and the entire organic soil mantle.

Table 3

Fuel Loadings in the National Fire Danger Rating Systems

<u>Fuel Type</u>	<u>Fuel Loading Tons/Acre</u>
Grass, short	3/4
Grass, tall	3
Brush, not chaparral	6
Chaparral	25
Timber, grass and understory	4
Timber, litter	15
Timber, litter and understory	30
Hardwood, litter	15
Logging slash, light	40
Logging slash, medium	120
Logging slash, heavy	200



7  
However, material over 4 inches in diameter is seldom consumed completely. Davis (12) reports about 33% of Ponderosa pine duff was consumed in a prescribed burn in the Coconino National Forest. Fosberg and Davis (13) report that about 2/3 of the chaparral fuels were consumed during a fire behavior study. Kilgore (14) studied a managed burn in a Sequoia-mixed Conifer forest. Before the burn, 50 tons/acre of total litter and duff fuels were measured. After the burn, 7.7 tons/acre remained. There was a 75% reduction in litter fuels and a 85% in duff fuels. Log fuel weights decreased from 12.8 to 2.8 tons/acre (78% reduction). As a first approximation the fuel loadings designated in the NFDR models would be totally consumed in a wildfire. Also, fuel loadings are not constant but vary over time.

In many areas of the United States accumulation rates exceed decomposition rates. Unless removed by fire, forest fuels can accumulate to hazardous levels. Dodge (15) reports annual accumulation rates of 0.45 to 1.3 tons/acre in the Southern California Chaparral and 0.89 to 2.8 tons/acre in the central Sierra Nevada. Litter from Eucalyptus accumulates in most forests at a rate of 1/2 to 1 ton/acre per year for at least 25 years (16). Pace (17) measured the litter production under chaparral in center Arizona. He found annual accumulation rates of 1.03 tons/acre on the north slopes and 0.93 tons/acre on the south slopes. Beaufait (18) referring to a study by Bray and Gorham indicates the organic mantle is enriched at a rate of 3 to 4 tons per acre per year in the North Temperate Zone. Weather extremes can greatly effect accumulation rates. The cold 1972-73 winter in the California Bay Area killed and injured 2-3 million Eucalyptus trees and caused litter levels amounting to 50 tons/acre. Only when applied to broad land masses can the fuel loadings be considered constants.

Although fuel assessment is <sup>usually</sup> an inexact, it presents the best approach toward developing fuel consumption figures. Trained foresters can make reasonable and consistent estimates. A more reliable and periodic fuel inventory should be forthcoming as the Forest Service adopts their nationwide uniform fuel identification system. Eventually, fuel loadings should reflect the breakdown given for acreage burned by states, as in the Wildfire Statistics. ~~For the present~~, regional foresters were requested to supply information on "available fuel" loadings by fuel types for their regions. Available fuel was defined as fuel that would be consumed under usual fire conditions that prevail for that fuel type and region during the fire season. The fuel loading values obtained from the regional foresters, along with supportive evidence in the literature, were combined with acreage figures by fuel type and used to calculate average fuel loading values for each region, Table 4. Information for each forest region is summarized in the following sections.

### 3.1 Region 1, Northern Region

The Northern region has 16.8 million acres of commercial forest land dominated by pine, western larch and Engelmann spruce. One study <sup>(19)</sup> of logged areas in Western Montana indicates that logging debris, dead and down material, including duff on site before logging ranged from 50 to 150 tons/acre, averaging just over 100 tons/acre. Another study <sup>(20)</sup> indicates that the total organic matter of Douglas fir slash, non-commercial residue, and duff averaged 64 tons/acres.

### 3.2 Region 2, Rocky Mountain Region

Table 5 is a broad subjective estimate of fuel loadings by type prepared by Sanderson and Phillips <sup>(21)</sup>. Based upon the distribution of forest type throughout the 22 million acres of forest land in this region, an average fuel loading of 30 tons/acre is estimated.

Table 4

## Estimated Regional Fuel Consumed Values

*Region is relative to available fuel*

Group or Region (2)	Estimated Available Fuel (1) tons/acre
Rocky Mountain Group	37
Northern Region 1	60
Rocky Mountain, Region 2	30
Southern, Region 3	10
Intermountain, Region 4	8
Pacific Group	19
California, Region 5	18
Pacific Northwest, Region 6	60
Alaska, Region 10	16
Southern Group	9
Eastern & North Central Groups, Region 9	11

(1) available fuel and fuel consumed are synonymous

(2) there is no Region 7

*Shouldn't fuel tables  
beak at 4?*

Table 5

Fuel Loadings, Region 2, Rocky Mountain Region

<u>NFDR Fuel type</u>	<u>Estimated millions of acres</u>	<u>Fuel Loading tons/acre</u>
Unburnable	1.0	-----
A	2.5	1-3
C	7.8	20-40
G	4.8	50-100
H	5.0	100-150
All others	0.9	5-20

Table 6

Fuel Loadings, Region 3, Southwestern Region

<u>NFDR Fuel Type</u>	<u>Principal Vegetation</u>	<u>Fuel Loading tons/acre</u>
A	grass and herbaceous plants	0.5
A	grass, herbaceous plants and less than 1/3 pinyon pine, juniper	1
B	ponderosa pine	
B	Chaparral	20
C	coniferous slash with needles attached	100
C	open ponderosa pine	8
C	ponderosa pine	20
G	pinyon pine or juniper	5
H	spruce	20
	mixed conifer	50

Table 7

Fuel Loadings, Region 4, Intermountain Region

<u>Principal Vegetation</u>	<u>millions of acres</u>	<u>Fuel Loading tons/acre</u>
Pinyon - juniper	9.3	
Mountain brush (Gambel Oak)	1.0	5.0
Other non-commercial	0.6	8.8
Aspen	1.3	5.0
Fir - spruce	1.0	12-20
other conifers	1.7	20
		8-20

### 3.3 Region 3, Southwest Region

The fuel loadings for the Southwest Region, Table 6, were prepared by Hurst (22). We estimate the average fuel loading for this region at 10 tons/acre. The forest area in Arizona covers 20.6 million acres, Pinyon-juniper and Chaparral cover 12.2 million and 4.4 million acres, respectively. ~~Commercial forests, dominated by Ponderosa pine, occupy (4 million acres) about 1/3 is commercial forest.~~ Ponderosa pine (69%) and Douglas fir (16%), ~~dominate the commercial forest.~~ Of the non-commercial forest land, pinyon-juniper dominates (89% or 11.2 million acres). The rest is chaparral. The forest floor under Arizona ponderosa pine was measured by Ffolliott (23) at a mean weight of 9.3 tons/acre. Under chaparral the forest floor varied from 4.1 to 12.1 tons/acre (17).

### 3.4 Region 4, Intermountain Region

Qualitative data on Region 4 fuels are not readily available (24). The best available estimates are based on Region 3 data, Table 7. An average fuel loading for this region is estimated at 8 tons/acre.

### 3.5 Region 5, California Region

Region 5 covers the states of California and Hawaii. One-half of California is covered by vegetation: coniferous forest, woodland savanna, chaparral, and grassland. It is estimated that 15-24 million acres of brush (8 million in chaparral) exist in California. Countryman (25) reported on chaparral fuels in Southern California: Light chaparral fuel contained 12.5 tons/acre, of which 49% was duff and litter and 18% living; medium chaparral fuel contained 21.1 tons/acre of which 29% was duff and 46% was living, and heavy chaparral fuel contained 39.4 tons/acre of which 21% was duff and 73% was living. Kilgore (14) found 50 tons/acre of total litter and duff fuel and 12.8 tons/acre of log fuel weights in a sequoia-mixed conifer forest.

In Region 5, the NFDR fuel models do not describe the fuel types accurately, especially in uneven aged timber stands with significant amounts of logging debris. Lundeen <sup>(26)</sup> provided the fuel loading estimates for this region, Table 8. The NFDR tonnage figures have been adjusted to give a better estimate of the fuel consumed in a wildfire. An average fuel loading of 18 tons/acre is estimated for this region.

### 3.6 Region 6, Pacific Northwest

Region 6 recently completed a summary of the total acres for each NFDR fuel model found in the region <sup>(27)</sup>. This data is presented in Table 9. Fuel loading estimates were not available. However, by applying representative values obtained from other sources an average fuel loading of 60 tons/acre appears reasonable for this Region.

### 3.7 Region 8, Southern Region

The Southern Region is divided into three areas: Plains, Mountain, and Intermediate. A list of fuel types described by the NFDR fuel models was provided by Ruziska <sup>(28)</sup>. The principal fuel models were: A, C, D, E, and H. In the central hardwoods of Tennessee forest floor litter average 4 tons/acres, about 1/5 of that found in a pine forest <sup>(29)</sup>. The Region is highly skilled in the use of managed fires as a pine management tool.

Fuel loadings have been measured as part of extensive prescribed burning studies <sup>(30, 31, 32, 33)</sup>. These managed fires consume about 2 to 4 tons/acre of fuel. Only the brushy fuel and upper litter layer are removed however in these managed fires. We estimate the average fuel loading for the Southern Region at 9 tons/acre.

### 3.8 Region 9-Eastern Region

Region 9 is unique in that it covers two Forest Groups, the North Central and the Eastern. A broad classification of fuel models used in the Eastern Region National Forest and

Table 8

Fuel Loadings, Region 5, California Region

<u>Fuel Model</u>	<u>Vegetation</u>	<u>Fuel Loading Tons/acre</u>
A	Grass	3
B	Brush	10
C	Open pine timber	
	West side of Sierra Nevadas	40
	East side of Sierra Nevadas	20
D	Young brush	12
G	dense conifers	80
I	logging slash	
	light	30
	medium	35-70
	heavy	80-150

Table 9

Forest Acreage by Fuel Model, Region 6, Pacific Northwest

<u>Fuel Model</u>	<u>Acreage, Millions</u>
A	1.93
B	1.43
C	5.50
D	2.59
E	0.04
F	0.15
G	12.0
H	0.08
I	1.32

their respective fuel loadings is given in Table 10. The information was provided by Heilman <sup>(34)</sup> who also estimates the fuel loading for the Northeast mixed conifers at 7 to 12 tons/acre and for Northeast mixed hardwood at about 12 tons/acre. The average fuel loading for the region is estimated at 11 tons/acre.

### 3.9 Region 10, Alaska Region

Region 10's forests are divided into two sub-regions for inventory purpose, Coastal and interior. The Coastal forest of 13.3 million acres is an extension of the rain belt forests of Oregon, Washington, and California. Western hemlock and Sitka spruce account for 96% of the Coastal Forest Area; Douglas fir is not found. The Coastal Forest is well protected from fire by the heavy rainfall. The interior forests cover 106 million acres and are a mixture of white spruce, paper birch, aspen, and balsam poplar. The timber stands are similar to those found in the Great Lake States.

Accurate information on fuel loadings is difficult to obtain because of the remoteness of the forests. We projected the fuel loading estimate (60 tons/acre) from the Pacific Northwest Region to the Coastal Forests and the Great Lakes States estimate (11 tons/acre) to the Interior Forests. Taking into account the forest area for each sub-Region, an average fuel loading for Region 10 is 16 tons/acre.

## 4. POLLUTANT YIELDS

Pollutant yields from forest wildfires, have not been measured. However, data are available from the burning of forest and agricultural fuels in the laboratory, burning towers, and managed field fires. Experienced fire scientists caution against scaling such data to wildfires. Their doubts require consideration. Wildfire behavior, except for grass and stubble fuels, has not been closely simulated by laboratory or small scale field burns. Experimental studies usually entail an



Table 10

Fuel Loadings, Region 9, Eastern Region

<u>National Forest</u>	<u>NFDR Fuel Model (1)</u>	<u>Fuel Loading tons/acre</u>
Allegheny	H	3.0
Chequamegon	H, C	5.5
Chippewa	C, A	3.75
Clark	E	2.5
Green Mountain	H	3.0
Hiawatha	C, H	5.5
Huron-Manistee	C	2.5
Mark Twain	E	2.5
Monongahela	H, E	5.5
Nicolet	H, C	5.5
Ottawa	H	3.0
Shawnee	E	2.5
Superior	C, H, I	5.5
Wayne-Hoosier	E, C	5.0
White Mountain	H	3.0

(1) first model is the predominant model

artificial selection and arrangement of fuels, and atypical burning conditions. Intuitively, these conditions lead experienced observers to conclude that wildfire emissions are underestimated by such tests. Where burning conditions can be closely simulated, such as grass and stubble, field and laboratory studies correlate quite satisfactorily. Despite the reservations, the laboratory and field studies represent the best approximations of pollutant yields available at this time. *They are admittedly very ~~biased~~ on the low & conservative side of reality.*

Original published data on experimentally measured emissions from burning forest and related fuels are given in Table 11. The reported data is relatively meager and incomplete; no investigator has tested the complete spectrum of emissions of concern to the EPA. Many good review articles are available (35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42) but most reference the test data in Table 11. Analysis of the measured emissions indicate that they generally vary within one order of magnitude. When one considers the dependence of the measured values on the temperature, residence time, pyrolysis-combustion relationships, and point of sampling the reported values are remarkably consistent. A rule of thumb for particulate yields is: the total weight of solid particulate matter emitted is 1-2% of the fuel weight. Reactive and non-reactive hydrocarbons have only been categorized for brush and grass fuels (44, 46, 48). *define about 1000*

Two investigators, Dr. Ellis Darley at the U. of California, Riverside, and Dr. Robert Cooper at the Southern Forest Fire Laboratory, *at the University of California*, have ongoing, comprehensive studies to determine the atmospheric emissions from forest fuels. Results from these studies are unreported. During informal discussions at the 13th Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference (1973), references were made to the close agreement among the emission measurement made by the Darley and Cooper teams and an Australian research team. *Phase 15*

What is purpose of other fuels in this table?

Table 11  
Published Pollutant Yields

Geographical Area	Fuel Type	Pollutant Yield, lbs/ton (1)				Reference
		Particulates	HC (2)	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	
California Bay Area California Bay Area	-	24	196	600	-	Feldstein (43)
	Fruit Prunings, 11% MC (3)	-	5.6	46	-	Darley (44)
	Fruit Prunings, 35% MC	-	12.9	66	-	
	Native Brush, 5% MC	-	6.3	65	-	
	Native Brush, 13% MC	-	5.9	55	-	
	Fir Chips, 5% MC	-	3.7	35	-	
	Redwood	-	2.9	70	-	
California San Joaquin Valley	Native Brush, dry	-	6.3	70	-	Darley (44)
	Native Brush, dry & green	-	20.3	81	-	
	Native Brush, green	-	26.5	134	-	
California	Agricultural & Forest Fuels dry, Range	-	5.3-24	40-140	-	Darley (45)
	Agricultural & Forest Fuels dry, Av.	-	18.7	92	-	
	Grass	16	-	-	-	
	Woody Material	11-17	-	-	-	
Oregon Willamette Valley	Straw and Stubble Residue, Grass, Range	10-17	5.3-25.4	56-147	-	Boubel (46)
	Straw and Stubble Residue, Grass, Av.	15.6	16.4	101	-	
	Field studies on above	15.6	14.1	132.2	-	
Washington	Hemlock, laboratory burn	4.0	3.2	76	-	Fritschen (47)
	Douglas fir, laboratory burn	4.6	4.3	64	-	
	Red Cedar, laboratory burn	4.0	5.9	114	-	
Washington Montana	Landscape refuse	17	65	-	4	Gerstle (48)
	Douglas fir Lumber, Ponderosa Pine Sticks	5.5	-	-	-	Philpot (49)

(1) Sulfur oxide emissions are negligible  
(2) Total hydrocarbons as methane  
(3) moisture content

Table 12

Pollutant Yields from the Burning  
of Forest Fuels

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Pollutant Yield lbs/ton of Fuel</u>
Total particulates	17
Carbon monoxide	140
Total hydrocarbons (as methane)	24
Oxides of Nitrogen (as NO <sub>2</sub> )	4
Oxides of Sulfur (as SO <sub>2</sub> )	<u>negligible</u>

Average yields for the 5 pollutants are reported in Table 12. Unpublished results and opinions of Darley and Cooper were weighted heavily in arriving at these values. Because of the reservations voiced by fire scientists that results from experimental burns underestimate wildfire emissions yields, the higher values were chosen in the range of values reported by Darley.

Table 13  
Summary of Emission Factors and Emissions

Forest Unit	Area Consumed by Wildfires 10 <sup>3</sup> acres (1)	Fuel Consumed tons/acre (2)	Emission Factors, lbs/acre (3)			Emissions, 10 <sup>3</sup> tons (1)		
			Particulates	CO	HC	Particulates	CO	HC
Rocky Mountain Group	774	37	629	5180	888	244	2006	344
Northern, Region 1	352	60	1020	8400	1440	179	1477	253
Rocky Mountain, Region 2	163	30	510	4200	720	42	342	59
Southwestern, Region 3	207	10	170	1400	240	18	145	25
Intermountain Region 4	53	8	136	1120	192	4	30	5
Pacific Group	1162	19	323	2660	456	188	1544	265
California, Region 5	47	18	306	2520	432	7	59	10
Alaska, Region 10	1047	16	272	2240	384	142	1172	201
Pacific N. W. Region 6	68	60	1020	8400	1440	35	284	49
Southern Group, Region 8	1992	9	153	1260	216	152	1255	215
Region 9	349	11	187	1540	264	33	269	46
Northcentral Group	233	11	187	1540	264	22	179	31
Eastern Group	116	11	187	1540	264	11	90	15
Total United States	4278	17	289	2380	408	618	5089	872

(1) for the year 1971

(2) see Table 4

(3) uses pollutant yields listed in Table 12

Note: minor errors are due to rounding; SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are negligible.

## 5. EMISSION FACTORS, EMISSIONS, AND ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

Emission factors and emissions for each forest group and region for the year 1971 are presented in Table 13. The emission factors were developed using the pollutant yields in Table 12 and the fuel loadings given in Table 4. Because of the variability in fuel loadings, each region and group has an independent emission factor. However, an estimated emission factor for the entire United States is given. The emissions were calculated using the wildfire statistics for 1971 reported in Table 2.

Our extensive literature search did not uncover any reliable data on the effect of environmental variables on emissions. While such variables as wind, humidity, and topography, on fire ignition, spread, and containment are well appreciated and documented, their effect on emissions is only qualitative at this time. Some qualitative observations are: the burning of dry fuels produce relatively little smoke compared to burning green fuels, about 1/3 as much; head fires burn dirtier than back fires, because flaming combustion predominates over glowing combustion. The literature indicates that the fuel properties are the main factors governing wildfire emissions, and environmental factors are secondary. Also, some environmental factors such as humidity and moisture levels are already incorporated into the NFDR fuel models. We conclude that our understanding of how environmental factors affect emissions is insufficient at this time to develop correction or adjustment factors to the emission factors. Their use would only serve to complicate emission calculations without improving their reliability.

Try to be  
a little  
more  
technical

### Literature Cited

1. Nationwide Air Pollutant Emission Trends, 1940-1970, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C. Publ. AP-115, January 1973. (Second Edition)
2. Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors, (Revised), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C. Publ. AP-42, February 1972. April 1973.
3. Supplement No.1 for Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Second Edition, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C. July 1973.
4. 1971 Wildfire Statistics, USDA, Forest Service, Washington, D.C., 1972.
5. Deeming, J, Lancaster J, Foshberg M, Furman R, and Schroeder M. National Fire Danger Rating System, USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-84, February 1972.
6. Rothermel R. A Mathematical Model for Predicting Fire Spread in Wildland Fuels, USDA Forest Service Research Paper INT-115, January 1972.
7. Schroeder, M. Synoptic Weather Types Associated with Critical Fire Weather, Pacific Southwest Forest Range Station, 1964.
8. Komarek, E. Lightning and Lightning Fires as Ecological Forces, Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference No. 8, 1968.
9. Huschke, R. The Simultaneous Flammability of Wildland Fuels in the United States, The Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California, 1966.
10. Fahnestock, G. Two Keys for Appraising Forest Fire Fuels. USDA Forest Service Research Paper, PNW-99, 1970.
11. Guide for Fuel Identification, U.S. Forest Service, Region 6, 1968.
12. Davis J, Ffolliott, P., Clary W., A Fire Prescription for Consuming Ponderosa Pine Duff. USDA Forest Service Research Note RM-115, 1968.
13. Foshberg M, Davies J. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ft. Collins, Colorado. Personal Communication, 1973.



14. Kilgore , B. Impact of Prescribed Burning on a Sequoia-Mixed Conifer Forest, Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference, No. 12, 1972.
15. Dodge M. Forest Fuel Accumulation-A Growing Problem, Science, 177, 139, 1972.
16. Mount A., Eucalypt Ecology as Related to Fire, Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference, No. 9, 1969
17. Pace, C., Litter Production by Oak-Mountain Mahogany Chaparral in Central Arizona, USDA Forest Service Research Note. RM-214, 1972.
18. Beaufait, W., Fire and Smoke in Montana Forests. Forest Land Use and Environment, Montana Forest and Conservation Experiment Station, School of Forestry, U. of Montana, Missoula, Montana, 1971.
19. Beaufait, W. Scheduling Prescribed Fires to Alter Smoke Production and Dispersion. Prescribed Burning and Management of Air Quality Seminar, Southwest Interagency Fire Council, Tucson, Arizona, 1968.
20. Steele R., Beaufait W. Spring and Autumn Broadcast Burning of Interior Douglas-Fir Slash, Bulletin 36, Montana. Forest and Conservation Experiment Station, School of Forestry, U. of Montana, Missoula, Montana, 1969.
21. Sanderson J. Phillips D. USDA Forest Service, Denver, Colorado. Personal Communications, 1973.
22. Hurst W. USDA Forest Service, Albuquerque, New Mexico. Personal Communication, 1973.
23. Ffolloitt, P., Clary W, Davis, J., Some Characteristics of the Forest Floor Under Ponderosa Pine in Arizona, USDA Forest Service Research Note, RM-127, 1968.
24. McBride R, USDA Forest Service, Ogden, Utah, Personal Communication, 1973.
25. Countryman C. Mass Fires and Fire Behavior, USDA Forest Service Research Paper, PSW-19, 1964.
26. Lundeen C. USDA Forest Service, San Francisco, California. Personal Communication, 1973.

27. Wilson K, Beal C. USDA Forest Service, Portland, Oregon, Personal Communication, 1973.
28. Ruziska, W. USDA Forest Service, Atlanta, Georgia. Personal Communication, 1973.
29. Thor, E. and G. M. Nichols. Some Effects of Fire on Litter, Soil and Hardwood Regeneration in Middle Tennessee. Talk Presented at the 13th Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference, Tallahassee, Florida, 1973.
30. Cooper, Robert W., 1971. The Pros and Cons of Prescribed Burning in the South. *Forest Farmer* 31 (2) 10-12, 39-40, 1971.
31. Sackett, Stephen S. and D. D. Wade. Prescribed Burning at Night. *Forest Farmer* 29 (5) 11, 18, 1970.
32. Wade, Dale D., Research on Logging Slash Disposal by Fire. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference No. 9, 229-234, 1969.
33. Fahnestock, George R. and W. K. Key,. Weight of Brushy Forest Fire Fuels from Photographs, *Forest Science* 17 (1) 119-124, 1971.
34. Edward G. Heilman; Personal Communication. Region 9, U.S. Forest Service, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203, 1973.
35. Dieterich, John H. Prescribed Burning and Air Quality. 29th Annual Forestry Symposium, Southern Pine Management-Today and Tomorrow. Crow A. Bigler, editor, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, La., 168 pp. 1971.
36. Hall, J. Alfred,. Forest Fuels, Prescribed Fire, and Quality. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, Oregon, 44 pp, 1972.
37. Murphy, J. L., L. J. Fritschen, and O. P. Cramer. Research Looks at Air Quality and Forest Burning. *J. of Forestry* 68 (9), 530-535, 1970.
38. Komarek, E. V., Sr. Controlled Burning and Air Pollution: An Ecological Review. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference No. 10, 141-173, 1970.
39. Ward, D. E. and R. C. Lamb,. Prescribed Burning and Air Quality-Current Research in the South. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference, No. 10, 129-140.

40. Burckle, J. O. and J. A. Dorsey,. Air Pollution and Open Burning in Forestry Operations. Joint Meeting of the Southern Fire Chiefs and I&E Chiefs, Houston, Texas 14 pp.
41. Southwest Interagency Fire Council,. Prescribed Burning and Management of Air Quality - A Seminar, Tucson, Arizona, 81 pp.
42. Robinson, E. and R. C. Robbins,. Emissions, Concentrations, and Fate of Gaseous Atmospheric Pollutants. Air Pollution Control, Part II, edited by W. Strauss, Wiley-Interscience, (1-93), 1968.
43. Feldstein, M., S. Duckworth, H. C. Wohlers, and B. Linsky,. The Contribution of the Open Burning of Land Clearing Debris to Air Pollution. J. of Air Pollution Control Association 13 (11) 542-545, 564, 1963.
44. Darley, E. F., F. R. Burleson, E. H. Mateer, J. T. Middleton, and V. P. Osterli. Contribution of Burning of Agricultural Wastes to Photochemical Air Pollution. J. of Air Pollut. Contr. Assn. 16, 685-690, 1966.
45. Darley, E. F., H. H. Biswell, G. Miller, and J. Goss. Air Pollution from Forest and Agricultural Burning. Presented at the Spring Meeting, Western States Section/ The combustion Institute, Seattle, Washington, 10 pp, 1972.
46. Boubel, R. W., E. F. Darley, and E. A. Schuck,. Emissions from Burning Grass Stubble and Straw. J. of Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 19 (7) 497-500, 1969.
47. Fritschen, L., H. Bovee, K. Buettner, R. Charlson, L. Monteith, S. Pickford, J. Murphy, and E. F. Darley. Slash Fire Atmospheric Pollution. USDA Forest Service Research Paper PNW-97, 42 pp, 1970.
48. Gerstle, R. W. and D. A. Kemnitz. Atmospheric Emissions from Open Burning. J. of Air Pollution Control Assn. 17, 324-327, 1967.
49. Philpot, C. W., C. W. George, A. D. Blakely, G. M. Johnson, and W. H. Wallace, Jr. The Effect fo Two Flame Retardants on Particulate and Residue Production. U. S. Forest Service Research Paper INT-117, 1972.