

# CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN IN THE COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN

January 29, 2020

Willamette River Toxics  
Reduction Partnership Meeting

# INTRODUCTION

## Columbia R. Basin Restoration Act Working Group – Contaminants of Concern (CoC) Subgroup

### Agenda:

1. Background on 2007 prioritization work
2. Overview of effort to update contaminants of concern (Aug. 2019 – Present)
3. Feedback & discussion

# 2007 PRIORITIZATION FACTORS

## **1. Is it recognized as an existing problem?**

(See “Evidence of the Problem” column in accompanying Excel spreadsheet)

Is it listed on the 303 (d) list?

Does a TMDL exist for this contaminant?

Is a Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic Chemical Action Plan being developed?

Have concentrations of concern been detected in the Columbia River Basin?

Is emerging science identifying this contaminant as a “new” concern?

## **2. Is it an ecological threat, a human health threat, or both?**

(See “Biological Effects” column in accompanying Excel spreadsheet)

Are there fish advisories associated with this contaminant?

Is there evidence of this contaminant in fish and wildlife?

Is it identified as persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic?

Is the contaminant a suspected or known carcinogen?

Is the contaminant identified as a suspected or known endocrine disrupter?

Are noncancer effects associated with this contaminant?

## **3. Is there an implementation plan/reduction strategy in place?**

(See “Reduction Strategies” column in accompanying Excel spreadsheet)

Does a TMDL exist for this contaminant?

Is a Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic Chemical Action Plan being developed for it?

Is there a Pesticide Stewardship Program developed to address it?

Has the contaminant been addressed under EPA's Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)?

Is this contaminant included in EPA's National Strategic Plan for the Columbia River?

Are there other implementation/reduction strategies taking place for this contaminant?

Tier I (highest priority)	Tier II	Tier III
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DDT (and metabolites)</li> <li>• PCBs</li> <li>• Mercury (including methylmercury)</li> <li>• PBDEs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PAHs</li> <li>• Arsenic</li> <li>• Dioxins/furans</li> <li>• Lead</li> <li>• Organophosphate Insecticides (azinphos methyl, chlorpyrifos, diazinon)</li> <li>• Copper</li> <li>• Estrogenic compounds (Bisphenol A, AHTN, natural and synthetic estrogens, Nonylphenol)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organochlorines (including alpha BHC, aldrin, dieldrin, chlordane)</li> <li>• Trace elements</li> <li>• Current use pesticides (including carbamates, triazine herbicides, fipronil)</li> <li>• Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products</li> <li>• Other wastewater compounds (plasticizers, detergents, surfactants)</li> <li>• Hormones</li> <li>• Synthetic pyrethroids</li> <li>• Phthalates</li> </ul>

## Goal:

Identify highest priority toxics for the Columbia River Toxics Reduction Working Group.

The 2009 State of the River Report summarized existing information on the Tier I pollutants.

Source:  
<https://www.epa.gov/columbiariver/prioritization-toxics-columbia-river#documents>

# 2007 PRIORITIZATION OF TOXICS

Name	Affiliation
Diane Barton	CRITFC
Catherine Corbett	LCEP
Alix Danielsen	Hood R. Watershed Group
Scott Hauser	USRTF
Andy James	University of Washington
Darrin Kron	Montana DEQ
Jessica Lundin	NOAA
Katie Markowski	Montana DEQ
Kevin Masterson	Oregon DEQ
James Mc Ateer	QA/QC Consulting
Dave McBride	Washington Dept. of Health
Jim Medlen	Washington Ecology

Name	Affiliation
Jennifer Morace	USGS
Elena Nilson	USGS
Karl Rains	Washington Ecology
Laura Shira	Yakama Nation
Nat Scholtz	NOAA
Peter Brumm	US EPA Region 8
David Gruen	US EPA Region 10
Lon Kissinger	US EPA Region 10
Burt Shepard	US EPA Region 10
Mary Lou Soscia	US EPA Region 10
Michelle Wilcox	US EPA Region 10
Ashley Zanolli	US EPA Region 10

## THANKS TO THE COLUMBIA RIVER CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN SUBGROUP

# BENEFITS OF UPDATING THE COC LIST

- Align with state priority lists
- Help prioritize monitoring, outreach, or clean-up projects
- Communicate to management/the public
- Guide collaboration with partners on the ground
- Connect to TMDL implementation efforts
- Identify current data gaps/needs

Pathways	Actions				
	Keep Sediment in Place	Reduce Runoff/Discharges	Source Reductions	Clean-up Contamination	Other?
Agriculture	DDT				
Forestry		Glyphosate			
Mining			Cyanide		
Urban/Stormwater				PAHs	
Wastewater Treatment Plants			PFAS		
Industrial Use		PCBs			
Air Deposition	Mercury				

Note: the above graphic for example purposes only.

# UPDATED FRAMEWORK STRUCTURE

Incorporate updates/additions



Final subgroup call – February (TBD)



Finalize framework & supplemental spreadsheet



Present framework at Columbia River Basin Restoration Act Working Group Meeting in May

## NEXT STEPS



# FEEDBACK & DISCUSSION



WHAT DO YOU  
**LIKE** ABOUT THE  
FRAMEWORK?



WHAT GIVES YOU  
**HEARTBURN?**



WOULD YOU **USE**  
THE LIST?  
IF SO, HOW?

# THANKS FOR YOUR TIME AND ENGAGEMENT!

We want to hear from you:

**Jennifer Morace**, COC Subgroup Co-lead,  
USGS, [jlmorace@usgs.gov](mailto:jlmorace@usgs.gov)

**Ashley Zanolli**, COC Subgroup Co-lead,  
US EPA, [zanolli.ashley@epa.gov](mailto:zanolli.ashley@epa.gov)

**David Gruen**, COC Subgroup Support,  
ORISE Fellow, [gruen.david@epa.gov](mailto:gruen.david@epa.gov)

