NPDES FORM 6100-28



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC 20460 ANNUAL REPORT FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH INDUSTRIAL ACTUATY LINDER THE NIPLES MILETING GENERAL PERMIT

FORM Approved OMB No.

	6100-28	VLIA		NDER THE NPDES MULTI-SECTOR GENERAL PERMIT	2040-0004
	Permit Information				
	Report Year: 2018				
	NPDES ID: IDR053180				
	Facility Information				
	Facility Name: IDAHO FOREST GROUP LLC				
Facility Point of Contact					
	First Name Middle Initial Last Name: Chris Pease				
	Organization:				
	Title:				
	Phone: 208-255-3252		Ext.		
	Email: cpease@idfg.com				
	Facility Mailing	Address			
	Address Line 1: 3082 ROOS	EVELT DRIVE			
	Address Line 2:			City: MOYIE SPRINGS	
	ZIP/Postal Code: 83845			State: ID	
	County or Similar Division:	BOUNDARY			

## General Findings

Provide a summary of your past year's routine facility inspection documentation (see Part 3.1.2 of the permit). In addition, if you are an operator of an airport facility (Sector S) that is subject to the airport effluent limitations guidelines, and are complying with the MSGP Part 8.S.8.1 effluent limitation through the use of non-urea-containing deicers, provide a statement certifying that you do not use pavement deicers containing urea (e.g., "Urea was not used at [name of airport] for pavement deicing in the past year and will also not be used in 2015." (Note: Operators of airport facilities that are complying with Part 8.S.8.1 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for ammonia do not need to include this statement.)

Quarterly facility inspections performed, documented and filed. No corrective actions required as a result of these inspections. One contro I measure (the upper berm) was damaged in a mud slide and has been repaired. This was discovered during routine plant operations and was repaired within a month. Did not result in water run off to leave the plant site.

Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly visual assessment documentation (see Part 3.2.2 of the permit).

Quarterly visual assessments of water runoff were all from the continuous spring that flows off of the plant site. All were clear, with no fo am, sheen, or odor. Minor floating solids and pH well within acceptable ranges. No corrective actions needed.

For any four-sample (minimum) average benchmark monitoring exceedance, if after reviewing the selection, design, installation, and implementation of your control measures and considering whether any modifications are necessary to meet the effluent limits in the permit, you determine that no further pollutant reductions are technologically available and economically practicable and achievable in light of best industry practice, provide your rationale for why you believe no further reductions are achievable (see Part 6.2.1.2 of the permit). Enter "NA" if not applicable.

The lower spring was sampled each quarter. All samples were within acceptable ranges and did not exceed any benchmarks.

Provide a summary of your past year's corrective action documentation (See Part 4.4 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the time of submission of this annual report, you must describe the status of any outstanding corrective action(s).) Also describe any incidents of noncompliance in the past year or currently ongoing, or if none, provide a statement that you are in compliance with the permit.

There were no corrective actions required as a result of quarterly inspections or benchmark monitoring. There was one corrective action due to the discovery during routine plant operation on 11-2-18 of damage to the upper berm as the result of a mudslide. The corrective a ction for the berm failure was twofold. 1) rebuild and repair the berm, 2) installed equipment to monitor slope stability. These corrective actions were completed on 12-4-18.

## Certification Information

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Certified By: Craig A. Jensen (CRAIGJENSEN)

Certified On: 12/26/2018 2:06 PM