NPDES FORM 6100-28



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC 20460 ANNUAL REPORT FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY UNDER THE NPDES MULTI-SECTOR GENERAL PERMIT

FORM Approved OMB No. 2040-0004

Permit Information

Report Year: 2018

NPDES ID: IDR05l314

Facility Information

Facility Name: YOUNG LIVING LAVENDER FARMS, ST. MARIES, IDAHO, FACILITY

Facility Point of Contact

First Name Middle Initial Last Name: Kevin Sagers

Organization:

Title:

Phone: 208-245-2745 Ext.

Email: ksagers@youngliving.com

Facility Mailing Address

Address Line 1: 701 NORTH FORK COON CREEK ROAD

Address Line 2: City: ST. MARIES

ZIP/Postal Code: 83861 State: ID

County or Similar Division: BENEWAH

General Findings

Provide a summary of your past year's routine facility inspection documentation (see Part 3.1.2 of the permit). In addition, if you are an operator of an airport facility (Sector S) that is subject to the airport effluent limitations guidelines, and are complying with the MSGP Part 8.S.8.1 effluent limitation through the use of non-urea-containing deicers, provide a statement certifying that you do not use pavement deicers containing urea (e.g., "Urea was not used at [name of airport] for pavement deicing in the past year and will also not be used in 2015." (Note: Operators of airport facilities that are complying with Part 8.S.8.1 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for ammonia do not need to include this statement.)

Three routine facility inspections were conducted during 2018 for Young Living Lavendar Farms in St Maries, ID. Quarter 2 of 2018 was the first full quarter following the facility's date of discharge authorization.

6/22/18: Inspection was conducted by Kevin Sagers (Farm Manager) during a rain event. No previously unidentified discharges of pollutant s had occurred since the last inspection. No discharges were occurring at the time of the inspection. Control measures were operating effectively across all industrial activity areas. All outfalls and conveyances were clear and did not show any signs of issues. There were no incidents of non-compliance observed and no additional control measures were deemed necessary to comply with permit requirements based on conditions at the time of the inspection.

8/27/18: Inspection was conducted by Kevin Sagers (Farm Manager) during clear weather. No previously unidentified discharges of polluta nts had occurred since the last inspection. No discharges were occurring at the time of the inspection. Control measures were operating ef fectively across all industrial activity areas. All outfalls and conveyances were clear and did not show any signs of issues. There were no in cidents of non-compliance observed and no additional control measures were deemed necessary to comply with permit requirements base d on conditions at the time of the inspection.

12/3/18: Inspection was conducted by Kevin Sagers (Farm Manager) during dry weather. No previously unidentified discharges of pollutant s had occurred since the last inspection. No discharges were occurring at the time of the inspection. Control measures were operating effectively across all industrial activity areas. All outfalls and conveyances were clear and did not show any signs of issues. There were no incidents of non-compliance observed and no additional control measures were deemed necessary to comply with permit requirements based on conditions at the time of the inspection.

6/22/18: Outfall 01: Sample was collected from rainfall runoff and was separated from the most recent storm event by at least 72 hours. The sample had no odor, was slightly cloudy, and exhibited small amounts of suspended particles and settled clay - sand sized sediments. No foam, oil sheen, or other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution were visible in the sample.

Outfall 02: Sample was collected from rainfall runoff and was separated from the most recent storm event by at least 72 hours. The samp le had no odor, was slightly cloudy, and exhibited small amounts of suspended particles and settled clay - sand sized sediments. No foam, oil sheen, or other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution were visible in the sample.

No runoff generating events occurred during quarter 3 of 2018.

12/27/18 Outfall 01: Sample was collected from snowmelt runoff and was separated from the most recent storm event by at least 72 hour s. The sample had no odor, was cloudy, and exhibited small amounts of settled clay sized sediments. No foam, oil sheen, or other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution were visible in the sample.

Outfall 02: Sample was collected from snowmelt runoff and was separated from the most recent storm event by at least 72 hours. The sa mple had no odor, was cloudy, and exhibited small amounts of settled clay sized sediments. No foam, oil sheen, or other obvious indicator s of stormwater pollution were visible in the sample.

For any four-sample (minimum) average benchmark monitoring exceedance, if after reviewing the selection, design, installation, and implementation of your control measures and considering whether any modifications are necessary to meet the effluent limits in the permit, you determine that no further pollutant reductions are technologically available and economically practicable and achievable in light of best industry practice, provide your rationale for why you believe no further reductions are achievable (see Part 6.2.1.2 of the permit). Enter "NA" if not applicable.

Sample results were below benchmarks for all monitored constituents in samples collected from outfall 002 during 2018. No samples were collected from outfall 001.

The facility will continue benchmark monitoring in 2019 and evaluate the average of sample results against benchmark values once four samples have been collected from each outfall.

Provide a summary of your past year's corrective action documentation (See Part 4.4 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the time of submission of this annual report, you must describe the status of any outstanding corrective action(s).) Also describe any incidents of noncompliance in the past year or currently ongoing, or if none, provide a statement that you are in compliance with the permit.

Regular maintenance was conducted on stormwater controls including conveyances and outfalls to maintain proper working order during 2 018. No incidents of noncompliance were observed during the past year. To the best of the knowledge of facility staff, this facility is in compliance with the permit.

Certification Information

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Certified By: Kevin Sagers (STMARIESFARM)
Certified On: 01/29/2019 6:28 PM